



The Brexit options

The choices facing British MPs in upcoming 'indicative votes' on alternative Brexit paths



The Brexit options

The choices British MPs face in upcoming 'indicative votes' on alternative Brexit paths

1 Second referendum

2 Revoke Article 50

3 Single market (No customs union)

4 Permanent customs union

5 Single market + customs union

6 The prime minister's deal

7 Free trade agreement (FTA)

8 Others? (Malthouse, 'Managed no deal')

On Monday evening, British MPs voted to take control of the Brexit process and allow time for indicative votes on alternative paths. This decision follows another bumpy few days in Westminster, where PM Theresa May has struggled to get the support she needs to get her Brexit deal through Parliament.

The indicative votes process will kick-off on Wednesday afternoon, and the big question is whether any single option can command a majority among lawmakers. There is no preset list of options, and instead, lawmakers will be able to put forward their preferred choices and the Speaker of the House of Commons will select the most popular. Out of all the alternative paths, we suspect a permanent customs union is still most likely to emerge as the preferred option.

The process for these votes is still being decided. But one idea that seems to be gaining traction is to allow MPs to select all their favoured options on a piece of paper. The most popular ones would then go on to a second-round knockout stage (reportedly next Monday). The key advantage of this process is that it guarantees at least one option is selected at the end of it - although we would note that there is a risk that lawmakers put forward and settle upon an option which is not acceptable to the EU.

Whatever happens, none of these votes are binding. However if the Government chooses not to action Parliament's instructions, it's possible that lawmakers will attempt to pass new laws to force the prime minister to return to Brussels and change course.

The bigger question is whether PM May has the political capital to change course. Mrs May has been highly reluctant to seek cross-party consensus on Brexit, amid fears that this could split the Conservative Party. If Parliament opts to take Brexit in a 'softer' direction, then many Conservative Eurosceptic ministers and backbench MPs may be prepared to vote against the government in another no-confidence vote. Some commentators have also suggested that PM May herself may view an election as preferable if MPs back a second referendum or another unpalatable alternative.

One way or another, the next two weeks are going to be a 'tug of war' between the government and Parliament. However, we think some form of 'softer Brexit' is still the most likely scenario to prevail, and this would likely precipitate a further extension to the Article 50 extension period (assuming the UK accepts it must hold EU elections).

At a glance: The options facing UK lawmakers

	UK red lines			Business priorities		EU red line
	Ends Free Movement	Negotiate global trade deals	End EU budget payments	No new customs barriers	No new regulatory barriers	Irish backstop required
1 EU member: 2 Remain/revoke	X	X	X	✓	✓	X
3 Single market Like Norway	X	✓*	X	X	✓	✓
4 Customs union Like Turkey	✓	X	✓	✓	X	✓
5 Single market + Customs union	X	X	X	✓**	✓	✓
6 Free-trade deal 7 Essentially PM May's deal	✓	✓	✓	X	X	✓

* Trade deals possible, although more restricted in what can be offered by UK

** Assuming UK can negotiate, so that it doesn't need to participate in Efta free trade agreements and instead remains in an EU customs union

What the options would mean for the economy

1 Second referendum

What? A second vote on EU membership, most likely Remain vs. PM May's deal

Economic impact: 'Remain' would be an economic positive, although lengthy process would prolong uncertainty in the short-term – particularly if 'no deal' is put on the ballot paper

2 Revoke Article 50

What? Unilaterally revoke the Article 50 notification and remain within the EU

Economic impact: Economically positive if designed to remain permanently. But if done to allow time to reconsider Brexit plan, this would prolong uncertainty – albeit it would remove the 'no deal' risk

3 EEA*/Single market

What? Allows free movement of goods, capital, services and people

Economic impact: Removes need for border checks to ensure EU rule compliance, and allows continued access for services. Doesn't quite equate to frictionless trade, as some customs declarations would still have to be performed. UK also essentially a rule-taker in this set-up

5 Single market + customs union

What? Combines options three and four

Economic impact: This would more-or-less equate to frictionless trade, so probably the least economically damaging option

4 Permanent customs union

What? Free trade area with common external tariffs. Removes need for tariff and rules of origin documentation. Limits ability to agree global trade deals (a key Brexiteer demand)

Economic impact: Eases some of the burden, but outside of the single market there would be non-tariff barriers, potential frictions at ports. No provisions for services, which makes up bulk of UK economy

7 Free trade agreement (FTA)

What? Agreement to reduce trade barriers (tariffs, non-tariff barriers, rules of origin etc) but not eliminate them entirely

Economic impact: UK would be outside of the single market and customs union (if no Irish backstop), creating trade frictions for goods. Typically very limited services access within existing FTAs


6 The prime minister's deal

What? While the part of the deal that looks at future trade is vague, it more-or-less aims for a free trade agreement type of relationship


Economic impact: Same as option seven

*EEA = European Economic Area


What option is most likely to succeed?



How long would Article 50 need to be extended to facilitate an alternative path?



The process for indicative votes is still yet to be confirmed



“USD: Making everyone (else) great again!”

“When caution’s not enough for the euro”

“What we really think of the Bank of England’s rate decision”

“Some Brexit clarity at last?”

“Riding the cryptocoaster”

“Normalisation will be a long, uncomfortable journey”

“Trade war: What is it good for?”

“G10 FX: Careless Central Bank Whispers”

“Trump: The next 100 days”

www.ing.com/THINK

Get all our latest thoughts and ideas on our new website from economic and financial analysis



Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group NV and its subsidiary and affiliated companies). The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. The producing legal entity ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is subject to limited regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA). ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.