

The US confidence conundrum

While the very strong September reading for the Conference Board measure of consumer confidence is good news, it is somewhat at odds with the much weaker University of Michigan index. This leaves ongoing uncertainty over the path of consumer spending at a time of rising Covid-19 cases and growing election uncertainty



The Conference Board measure of consumer confidence has jumped the most in 17 years to stand at 101.8 versus the 90.0 consensus and the August reading of 84.8. Expectations rose 19 points – taking us to the highest reading since March. There were major improvements in all of the key components, suggesting that the economy continues to heal, yet we have to remember that the headline reading remains well down on the February figure of 132.6.

The main issue is that this is significantly different to the story being told by the University of Michigan sentiment index, which while improving, is not anywhere near as encouraging on the prospects of consumer spending as the chart below shows.

Conference Board versus University of Michigan sentiment readings



Source: Macrobond, ING

The sampling size and polling dates do differ between the two surveys with the Conference Board polling up to ten times the number of people, while the timing of sharp equity market moves or gasoline price changes can be a factor, but we don't think that is the case this time.

The other major difference is the perception that the Conference Board measure puts more emphasis on the state of the jobs market while the University of Michigan puts more weight on personal finances and overall business conditions. Today's Conference Board measure would therefore suggest we should be looking for a very decent payrolls number on Friday, but again there are contradictions with the ratio of jobs plentiful to jobs hard to get not exactly suggesting a major bounce in jobs by historical standards.

Jobs plentiful versus jobs hard to get



Source: Macrobond, ING

While today's Conference Board figure is on the face of it a fantastic result, we have to remain a little cautious given the mixed evidence from other sources. Moreover, with Covid-19 cases on the rise again we can't rule out the potential for renewed containment measures, such as those being re-introduced in Europe, which would be economically damaging. Also, with the election battle set to intensify as the first Presidential debate gets underway later today, the the prospect of a deeply divisive election with the possibility of a delayed result could also all blur the outlook for spending.

Author

James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

james.knightley@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.