

Crude oil: Crunch time for OPEC+

This week could not have come soon enough for OPEC, with ICE Brent having fallen by more than 30% since early October. Now the question is, will OPEC+ cut output and by how much? Russia appears to be the main obstacle. However, we believe the group has little option but to cut



Source: Shutterstock

OPEC meeting schedule

The remainder of this week is packed with various OPEC+ meetings. Wednesday sees the Joint Ministerial Monitoring Committee (JMMC) meeting in Vienna, where the group will certainly discuss the best course of action to take and ensure all parties are on board for proposed cuts.

The JMMC meeting will be followed by the official semi-annual OPEC meeting on Thursday morning, with a press conference at 13.00 CET, although as previous meetings have shown, this is often delayed. Then finally on Friday morning, OPEC+ will be meeting, which importantly includes Russia. Once again a press conference at 13.00 CET is scheduled, following the meeting.

Uncertainty still remains

The message following the G-20 summit in Argentina was pretty clear- Russia was keen to

continue its cooperation with Saudi Arabia into 2019, laying the groundwork for production cuts to be announced at the OPEC meeting in Vienna on Thursday and Friday.

However, more recent reports suggest that while Russia is willing to cut output, it's not willing to cut as much as some think is needed. Media reports suggest that Russia wants to cut by a maximum of 150Mbbls/d, which, if based on November productions levels, would still leave Russian output around 250Mbbls/d above its target production under the 2016 deal. This would mean that OPEC, and in particular Saudi Arabia, would have to shoulder a larger share of the cuts. OPEC's Economic Commission Board last week recommended that the group cut production by 1.3MMbbls/d.

The other big unknown is how President Trump will react to any production cuts. He has been very vocal about keeping oil prices low, and the concern for the market is that the US administration will use the murder of journalist Jamal Khashoggi as leverage. While we believe that President Trump will be reluctant to escalate the situation, the Saudis are likely to choose the wording of any statement with regards to cuts very carefully.

What do we see happening?

Given the scale of the surplus over 1H19 we believe that OPEC+ will have to agree to a cut of around 1.2MMbbls/d for the first six months of next year. A reduction of this size should leave the market balanced in the new year. Previously, we were expecting a cut of closer to 1.5MMbbls/d, however Canada has helped the group out by announcing that producers in Alberta will be cutting output by 325Mbbls/d as a result of deeply discounted differentials.

Still, given the pressure from President Trump on Saudi Arabia to maintain output at current levels, we may see an announcement from the group that does not give an explicit number. Instead, there is the possibility that they say producers will strive to return to individual compliance of the 2016 deal, rather than looking at compliance as a group. If this is the case we would need to see fairly large cuts from Saudi, the UAE, Kuwait and Russia. Theoretically, Iraq would also have to make significant cuts, but given that they have not complied with the deal since it was implemented, it is unlikely that they will start now.

We believe confirmation of a 1.2MMbbls/d + cut should see ICE Brent trending back towards US\$70/bbl in the near term. Given the level of uncertainty going into these meetings, speculators do hold a fairly neutral position, and so a positive outcome would leave them with significant room to increase their long positioning. The latest COT data shows that speculators held a net long of 168,512 lots as of the 27 November- the smallest net long held since the end of 2015, and off from a peak of 632k lots back in April this year. Meanwhile, for every spec short in the market there are 2.6 spec longs- this ratio was above 20 earlier in the year.

What will the market view as disappointing?

We believe that anything below a 1MMbbls/d cut will be viewed as bearish by the market, as this still leaves the market with a fairly sizeable surplus over 1H19, even taking into consideration the cuts from Canada. This outcome would likely see us revise our price forecasts lower for 2019.

Author

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.