

## Why Chile needs the Fed to start cutting pronto

Chile has been off to the races, with big cuts delivered to date. They're far from finished, but should they cut next week, as expected, they will move into the "dodgy zone". This is one where Fed cuts are really needed to justify further easing. Why? Key rate differentials will have flipped to below medium-term averages. No panic, but caution is warranted



Headquarters of the Central Bank of Chile, Banco Central de Chile.

Banco de Chile has already cut by 400bp, from 11.25% to 7.25%. Progress on inflation falling has stalled somewhat, but yet we are leaning into a likely cut at the next policy meeting based off behaviour to date and some extrapolation thereof.

However, if such a cut is delivered, our calculations show that a weighted average of key interest spreads moves to below the historical average. While not necessarily outright prohibitive, it does move us to a point where cuts from the Federal Reserve will be required to justify further moves, else vulnerabilities abound while in this "dodgy zone".


As an aside, we have done the same analysis for Banxico [here](#), BCB [here](#), BanRep [here](#) in the past

number of weeks. The respective outcomes show a material rates gap for Mexico and Colombia (ample room to cut), but none for Brazil (not suggesting Brazil can't cut. Just saying they have entered the "dodgy zone" too).

We use the same three ingredients to help identify room for policy rate manoeuvre:

1. The nominal policy rate differential (to the Fed)
2. The domestic real rate (Chilean policy rate less inflation)
3. The real policy rate differential (to the US)

## Inputs to the calculation process for the official rate and market rates




For Chile:

1. The nominal policy rate differential is 1.9%, versus a 3.1% average. The delta is -1.2%.
2. The domestic real rate is 2.8%, versus a 0.1% average. The delta is +2.7%.
3. The real policy rate differential to the US is 0.6%, vs a 1.5% average. The delta is -0.9%.

There is a cushion in the official rates differential in the sense that it's above average. However, both the policy rate differential and real policy rate differential are through their respective historical averages.

Applying an equal weighting gives us 0.2%. This means that Banco de Chile has room to cut by a cumulative 20bp before hitting the weighted average of the aforementioned spreads. The calculations are illustrated below.

## Here’s how we calculate rate cut potential for Banco de Chile based off a steady state




The market is discounting another cut at the upcoming meeting. If delivered, it would push the policy rate through it's neutral valuation based off where inflation currently is in Chile and the US, and where the Fed funds rate is.

But, let's take things one step forward. Hypothesise the following,

- Chilean inflation falls by about 1% (to c.3%),
- The Fed cuts by 2.25%, and
- US inflation also falls by 1%.

Then we'd have the following:

## Adding some future dynamics – lower inflation and Fed cuts. What then?



Now we'd have,

1. The nominal policy rate differential at 0.3% above average,
2. The domestic real policy rate at 1.2% above average, and
3. The real policy rate differential to the US at 0.4% above average.

Now, an interest rate gap has re-opened for Banco de Chile. Applying equal weighting gives us 1.8% for that gap.

So, Banco de Chile can ultimately chop its official rate to as low as 5.25% – that is assuming falls in inflation and, crucially, 225bp of cuts from the Fed. In fact, most of the manoeuvre from here on out is coming from Fed rate cuts. If these don't come, then Banco de Chile really shouldn't deliver. Or if it does, it runs a (calculated) risk.

## Markets are already pricing a lot – FX weak and market rates 'low'

And what does all this mean for market rates? Currently, the 10yr spread to the US is 1.2%, and the 2yr spread is 0.5%. These are well through the historical average (back to 2008). Both spreads are some 70bp above historical lows, so technically, there is room to the downside for Chilean market rates. But that downside potential is premised on heading back towards historical extremes that were briefly touched; extremes that were also significantly below average. Vulnerabilities are likely to emerge as we journey along this route, and any frustration on delivery of Fed cuts would frustrate the ability of Banco de Chile to get towards 5%.

And what about the FX angle? USD/CLP is now in the 965 area, and the forwards see it at 970 on an 18-month view, which is a very moderate implied depreciation in the CLP. Our FX strategists note that the CLP stands out as the worst performer in the Latin space, driven by the aggressive Banco de Chile easing cycle. From here, it could be at a tipping point into a rout. Or it could be viewed as being at a concessional level from which it really should not get much weaker. For choice, we're in the latter camp. See more [here](#).

However, Banco de Chile likely need to pay more heed to room for policy rate chopping ahead. We've identified the next cut as taking them through weighted historical averages, and for that to occur without harm, it needs to be backed up with Fed cuts. The problem is, the Fed is not set to cut for at least another number of months. Implied market vulnerabilities can elevate on further cuts.

### **Banco de Chile can get to 5.25%, but they really need big Fed cuts for validation**

A weighted average of key interest spreads moves to below the historical average on the next cut from Banco de Chile. We enter the "dodgy zone". For this to be a sustainable outcome, the Federal Reserve needs to start cutting rates. The problem is the likelihood for a Fed cut has slipped into mid-summer, and realistically to July (on the market discount). That's quite a waiting game, one that means exposure to vulnerability.

The CLP has already built up a concession, which can act as a buffer against outsized harm. But there is no such concession in market rates, which trade well below historical averages to the US. Spread to the US have been tighter, so technically there is a route for Chilean market rates to fall. But that's still running the gauntlet until the Fed actually delivers a first cut.

### **Author**

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.