


The next Minsky Moment

Financial markets remain buoyant but some imbalances that led to the crisis are building up again. Volatility and risk might pick up slightly next year but 2019 might get even trickier. Will it be different this time?



Source: Wikimedia Commons

The Goldilocks economy

The world economy seems to be doing fine. All the 45 countries, the OECD follows are expected to show two consecutive years of positive growth in 2017-18. The last time we saw such a phase of synchronised positive growth was ten years ago. However, contrary to then, inflation is hardly on the rise and remains remarkably subdued across the board. So there is really no need for rapid monetary tightening, resulting in relatively low-interest rates.

Market participants like to call this the "Goldilocks economy", with the economic environment

neither too hot, nor too cold, but just about right. In such circumstances, it's hardly a surprise that stock market volatility has fallen back to historically low levels, while credit spreads are also increasingly tight, a result of the search for yield.

Are we having a 'Wile E. Coyote' moment?

While our base scenario anticipates the recovery to continue at a healthy pace over the next few years, which is market supportive, one should not be blind to the possibility of a less benign outcome. Growth disappointments or less generous financing conditions can shake financial markets. It is, therefore, worthwhile to refer to the research of Hyman Minsky, an economist whose work was rediscovered with the outbreak of the banking crisis in 2007.

The Minsky moment is comparable to the Looney Tunes character Wile E. Coyote who, in his zeal to catch the Road Runner, sometimes runs off a cliff, but only realises he's standing on thin air when he looks down


In short, [Minsky's thesis, aka the financial instability hypothesis](#), puts forward the idea that long periods of stability encourage companies and households to take on more leverage, which ultimately makes the system very vulnerable. In those circumstances, central bank tightening on the back of higher inflation could put the more indebted parts of the economy in trouble, ultimately leading to a financial market correction, sometimes referred to as “a Minsky moment”.

One could compare it to the slapstick Looney Tunes character Wile E. Coyote who, in his zeal to catch the Road Runner, sometimes runs off a cliff, but only plunges after having looked down and realising he's standing on thin air.

[Read Minsky's full hypothesis here](#)

Stock market volatility and corporate spreads

Both stock market volatility and credit spreads are at very low levels.



Source: Thomson Reuters, Datastream

It's easy to cry wolf!

In its recent [financial stability report](#), the IMF highlighted the increase in both public and private indebtedness, with total debt levels in most big economies now even higher than 2006, in terms of GDP.


To be sure, this is mostly due to a sharp increase in public debt, while private debt has not yet reached the levels we saw back then. US consumers still have less debt, but US companies have higher leverage than they did in 2006. This seems to suggest private debt ratios might still have to climb a bit further before trouble sets in.

Admittedly, we are not the only ones feeling a bit uneasy in these circumstances questioning the multiplying financial markets buoyancy. Even the Chinese central bank governor has been warning of a Minsky moment in China. But it's easy to cry wolf and a lot more challenging to get the timing of a trend reversal right.

Remember, Alan Greenspan spoke of irrational exuberance many years before the stock market actually tanked. Likewise, reports on the unsustainable boom on the US real estate markets began to crop up in 2005, but it still took nearly two years before the first stress on financial markets actually appeared.

[Read the full IMF report here](#)

Volatility lags monetary policy



Source: Thomson Reuters, Datastream

Too early to panic: 2018 might still be OK

Historically volatility has been a lagging indicator, mimicking short-term interest rates with an approximately two years lag. In other words, interest rate hikes today might lead to more volatility in two years' time.

To be sure, the European Central Bank or the Bank of Japan is not even thinking of a rate hike, meaning central banks are not yet tightening across the board. This reduces the risk of significant market corrections in the short run. On top of that, the ECB will still be buying plenty of corporate bonds until at least September 2018, which all but limits the risk of

notable spread widening. That said, in the past increasing volatility and rising risk premia in the US have often spread across the globe.

Even on that count, it still looks a bit early to panic. Looking at monetary policy in the US, historical evidence would suggest that 2018 could see at most a slight increase in volatility and risk premia, but that 2019 might be a lot more tricky in this regard. But of course, as we have heard before, this time it might be different.

Author

Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.