

Opinion | 4 November 2019

# The great wealth tax debate

Inequality is back at the forefront of economic policy debates, for good reason. A wealth tax is no panacea, and not even an ideal response to growing inequality at the top. But absent a better alternative, it can serve as a reasonable second-best policy, writes Jean Pisani-Ferry



# Differing stance on wealth tax

In 1990, 12 advanced economies had a tax on household wealth. Now only four do, after French President Emmanuel Macron scrapped his country's version in 2017. Yet, a fierce debate has erupted in the United States over the proposal by Senator Elizabeth Warren, a leading Democratic presidential candidate, to introduce a tax of 2% on the wealth of "ultra-millionaires" (and 3% on that of billionaires).

The top 1% of US households now own 40% of the country's wealth

In a new book, economists Emmanuel Saez and Gabriel Zucman of the University of California,

Berkeley, who have advised Warren, claim that her tax would tackle growing wealth concentration in the US and yield some \$250 billion per year, or 1.2% of GDP. But critics such as Larry Summers, a former US Secretary of the Treasury under President Bill Clinton, and Greg Mankiw, who served as President George W. Bush's chief economic adviser, argue that a wealth tax would yield little revenue, distort investor behavior, and fail to curb the billionaires' power. The ongoing controversy over the wealth tax is bound to be a defining one for the Democrats.

The starting point of this debate is fairly clear. As Lucas Chancel of the Paris School of Economics noted at a recent conference on combating inequality organized by the Peterson Institute for International Economics, the increase in wealth concentration is unmistakable, at least in the US. According to Saez and Zucman, the top 1% of US households now own 40% of the country's wealth, while the bottom 90% hold only one-quarter. Since 1980, the 1% and the 90% have traded places.

# Wealth taxation gives rise to disputes

Economists are generally reluctant to make normative judgments about wealth inequality, because theory does not provide them with a proper yardstick for doing so. If innovators become immensely rich, it is presumably because their innovation was immensely valuable – in which case their wealth is deserved – or because they have managed to turn their idea into a monopoly rent, which should be addressed via competition policy, not taxation. Although many economists advocate curbing Amazon's growing monopoly power, for example, most do not propose taxing away the value of Jeff Bezos's innovation.

If innovators become immensely rich, it is presumably because their innovation was immensely valuable

Furthermore, wealth taxation itself gives rise to disputes. As Mankiw suggests, consider two high-flying professionals with comparable incomes but different lifestyles. Why should the one who saves and invests be taxed more than the one who uses a private jet to go skiing? Surely, the saver contributes more to collective wellbeing; if anything, the tax burden should fall on the skier.

For that reason, many economists advocate a combination of a progressive income tax and an inheritance tax, rather than a tax on wealth. But there are two problems with this idea. The first is that many of the super-rich have little income. As Saez and Zucman point out, Warren Buffett and Mark Zuckerberg earn little more than they spend. Their wealth increases as a result of capital gains, not saved income. And because such gains are taxable only when the corresponding assets are sold, their annual increase in wealth essentially escapes taxation.

# Politically unsustainable

The second obstacle is that inheritance tax is politically toxic. Opinion polls consistently show that while economists love the idea, most voters hate it. Politicians understandably tend to steer clear of what most voters reject.

But if the income tax does not apply to capital gains and the estate tax does not redistribute wealth when someone dies, wealth inequality is bound to increase further. Some will say there is

nothing wrong with that, provided capital is put to productive or collectively beneficial use. In Germany, for example, private companies are exempt from inheritance tax so that family-owned Mittelstand firms – which are essential to the country's prosperity – can be transferred to the next generation.

However, a society of heirs in which a person's lifetime labor income matters less than the capital they inherit from their parents is morally indefensible, unlikely to be politically sustainable, and may not be economically efficient. Heirs are often poor managers and poor investors.

# Absent a better alternative

True, a wealth tax does not come without difficulties. How, for example, should a start-up founder be taxed when their firm has a market value but is yet to generate any income? Should he or she pay the government in shares? And in Europe, which lacks a harmonized tax regime, how can national authorities cope when rich people can simply move to another country? Designing a fair and efficient wealth tax is bound to be more complicated than its proponents typically claim.

A wealth tax is no panacea, and not even an ideal response to growing inequality at the top

At least one thing is clear: the European wealth taxes of the past are not examples to follow. They kicked in at far too low a threshold – €1.3 million (\$1.5 million) in the case of France's impôt de solidarité sur la fortune – and were riddled with loopholes as a consequence. In the French case, a business owner was exempt as long as he or she did not sell the company. That led to successful serial start-up founders being taxed while sleepy entrepreneurs were not. And whereas a moderately wealthy French household's financial portfolio could easily generate a negative aftertax return, the effective tax rate on the wealth of the country's 100 richest individuals was a ridiculously low 0.02%.

As Saez and Zucman argue, a wealth tax should treat all assets equally and have a high enough threshold. Warren is proposing a 2% tax on wealth above \$50 million. The equivalent threshold in Europe would probably be lower, but certainly not low enough to satisfy Thomas Piketty, who proposes in his latest book a 5% annual tax on wealth of €2 million. Whereas Warren wants to reform capitalism, Piketty would like to end it and eradicate private property as we know it.

Inequality is back at the forefront of economic policy debates, for good reason. A wealth tax is no panacea, and not even an ideal response to growing inequality at the top. But absent a better alternative, it can serve as a reasonable second-best policy. At the very least, the idea does not deserve to be banished as a heresy.

This article first appeared in Project Syndicate on 31 October, 2019

#### **Author**

Amrita Naik Nimbalkar Junior Economist, Global Macro

### amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist <u>alissa.lefebre@ing.com</u>

### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780 ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@ing.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

### **Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China <a href="mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com">lynn.song@asia.ing.com</a>

### **Michiel Tukker**

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

# Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### **Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate <u>jesse.norcross@ing.com</u>

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition <u>teise.stellema@ing.com</u>

## Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare diederik.stadig@ing.com

# Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist <a href="mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com">diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

### **Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist <a href="mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com">frantisek.taborsky@ing.com</a>

#### Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

# adam.antoniak@ing.pl

# Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

### Coco Zhang

ESG Research coco.zhang@ing.com

### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@inq.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist <a href="mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com">samuel.abettan@ing.com</a>

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@ing.com

### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist <a href="mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com">timothy.rahill@ing.com</a>

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland <a href="mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl">leszek.kasek@ing.pl</a>

## Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

### oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy antoine.bouvet@ing.com

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

### Francesco Pesole

**FX Strategist** 

francesco.pesole@ing.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

# **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS <a href="mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de">dmitry.dolgin@ing.de</a>

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst <a href="mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com">egor.fedorov@ing.com</a>

# Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist <a href="mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de">sebastian.franke@ing.de</a>

### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy gerben.hieminga@ing.com

## Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

### nadege.tillier@ing.com

### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist +31(0)611172684 laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <a href="mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com">suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</a>

### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri thijs.geijer@ing.com

### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors <u>maurice.van.sante@ing.com</u>

#### **Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

# Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

#### **Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### **Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey <a href="mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr">muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr</a>

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

# Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.garvey@ing.com

# James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro carsten.brzeski@ing.de

### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 viraj.patel@ing.com

#### Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

# Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### **Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

# Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

# Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

# Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance +44 20 7767 5306 <u>carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com</u>