Opinion | 28 October 2019

# The allure and limits of monetized fiscal deficits

With the global economy experiencing a synchronized slowdown, any number of tail risks could bring on an outright recession. When that happens, policymakers will almost certainly pursue some form of central-bank-financed stimulus, regardless of whether the situation calls for it, writes Nouriel Roubini



Nouriel Roubini

# Only a matter of time before some shock triggers a new recession

A cloud of gloom hovered over the International Monetary Fund's annual meeting this month. With the global economy experiencing a synchronized slowdown, any number of tail risks could bring on an outright recession. Among other things, investors and economic policymakers must worry about a renewed escalation in the Sino-American trade and technology war. A military conflict between the United States and Iran would be felt globally. The same could be true of "hard" Brexit by the United Kingdom or a collision between the IMF and Argentina's incoming Peronist government.

#### Some risks could become less likely over time

Still, some of these risks could become less likely over time. The US and China have reached a tentative agreement on a "phase one" partial trade deal, and the US has suspended tariffs that were due to come into effect on October 15. If the negotiations continue, damaging tariffs on Chinese consumer goods scheduled for December 15 could also be postponed or suspended. The US has also so far refrained from responding directly to Iran's alleged downing of a US drone and attack on Saudi oil facilities in recent months. US President Donald Trump doubtless is aware that a spike in oil prices stemming from a military conflict would seriously damage his re-election prospects next November.

The United Kingdom and the European Union have reached a tentative agreement for a "soft" Brexit, and the UK Parliament has taken steps at least to prevent a no-deal departure from the EU. But the saga will continue, most likely with another extension of the Brexit deadline and a general election at some point. Finally, in Argentina, assuming that the new government and the IMF already recognize that they need each other, the threat of mutual assured destruction could lead to a compromise.

Meanwhile, financial markets have been reacting positively to the reduction of global tail risks and a further easing of monetary policy by major central banks, including the US Federal Reserve, the European Central Bank, and the People's Bank of China. Yet it is still only a matter of time before some shock triggers a new recession, possibly followed by a financial crisis, owing to the large build-up of public and private debt globally.

#### Monetary policy is reaching its limits

What will policymakers do when that happens? One increasingly popular view is that they will find themselves low on ammunition. Budget deficits and public debts are already high around the world, and monetary policy is reaching its limits. Japan, the eurozone, and a few other smaller advanced economies already have negative policy rates, and are still conducting quantitative and credit easing. Even the Fed is cutting rates and implementing a backdoor QE program, through its backstopping of repo (short-term borrowing) markets.

But it is naive to think that policymakers would simply allow a wave of "creative destruction" that liquidates every zombie firm, bank, and sovereign entity. They will be under intense political pressure to prevent a full-scale depression and the onset of deflation. If anything, then, another downturn will invite even more "crazy" and unconventional policies than what we've seen thus far.

Large fiscal deficits monetized by central banks should be used to stimulate aggregate demand

In fact, views from across the ideological spectrum are converging on the notion that a semipermanent monetization of larger fiscal deficits will be unavoidable – and even desirable – in the next downturn. Left-wing proponents of so-called Modern Monetary Theory argue that larger permanent fiscal deficits are sustainable when monetized during periods of economic slack, because there is no risk of runaway inflation.

Following this logic, in the UK, the Labour Party has proposed a "People's QE," whereby the central bank would print money to finance direct fiscal transfers to households – rather than to bankers and investors. Others, including mainstream economists such as Adair Turner, the former chairman of the UK Financial Services Authority, have called for "helicopter drops": direct cash transfers to consumers through central-bank-financed fiscal deficits. Still others, such as former Fed Vice Chair Stanley Fischer and his colleagues at BlackRock, have proposed a "standing emergency fiscal facility," which would allow the central bank to finance large fiscal deficits in the event of a deep recession.

Despite differences in terminology, all of these proposals are variants of the same idea: large fiscal deficits monetized by central banks should be used to stimulate aggregate demand in the event of the next slump. To understand what this future might look like, we need only look to Japan, where the central bank is effectively financing the country's large fiscal deficits and monetizing its high debt-to-GDP ratio by maintaining a negative policy rate, conducing large-scale QE, and pursuing a ten-year government bond yield target of 0%.

#### A semi-permanent monetization of fiscal deficits

Will such policies actually be effective in stopping and reversing the next slump? In the case of the 2008 financial crisis, which was triggered by a negative aggregate demand shock and a credit crunch on illiquid but solvent agents, massive monetary and fiscal stimulus and private-sector bailouts made sense. But what if the next recession is triggered by a permanent negative supply shock that produces stagflation (slower growth and rising inflation)? That, after all, is the risk posed by a decoupling of US-China trade, Brexit, or persistent upward pressure on oil prices.

Fiscal and monetary loosening is not an appropriate response to a permanent supply shock

Fiscal and monetary loosening is not an appropriate response to a permanent supply shock. Policy easing in response to the oil shocks of the 1970s resulted in double-digit inflation and a sharp, risky increase in public debt. Moreover, if a downturn renders some corporations, banks, or sovereign entities insolvent – not just illiquid – it makes no sense to keep them alive. In these cases, a bail-in of creditors (debt restructuring and write-offs) is more appropriate than a "zombifying" bailout.

In short, a semi-permanent monetization of fiscal deficits in the event of another downturn may or may not be the appropriate policy response. It all depends on the nature of the shock. But, because policymakers will be pressured to do something, "crazy" policy responses will become a foregone conclusion. The question is whether they will do more harm than good over the long term.

This article first appeared in Project Syndicate on October 28th, 2019

#### **Author**

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebre@inq.com

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780 ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@ing.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.qarderen@inq.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### **Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China lynn.song@asia.ing.com

#### **Michiel Tukker**

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

## Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### **Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate <u>jesse.norcross@ing.com</u>

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition <u>teise.stellema@ing.com</u>

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare diederik.stadig@ing.com

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist <a href="mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com">diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

#### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### **Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor
<a href="mailto:sophie.smith@ing.com">sophie.smith@ing.com</a>

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist <a href="mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com">frantisek.taborsky@ing.com</a>

#### Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

#### adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research coco.zhang@ing.com

#### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@inq.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist samuel.abettan@ing.com

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@ing.com

#### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist <a href="mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com">timothy.rahill@ing.com</a>

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland leszek.kasek@ing.pl

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

#### oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy antoine.bouvet@ing.com

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

**FX Strategist** 

francesco.pesole@ing.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS <a href="mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de">dmitry.dolgin@ing.de</a>

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst <a href="mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com">egor.fedorov@ing.com</a>

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist sebastian.franke@ing.de

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

#### nadege.tillier@ing.com

#### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist +31(0)611172684 laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <a href="mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com">suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</a>

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri thijs.geijer@ing.com

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors <u>maurice.van.sante@ing.com</u>

#### **Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

#### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

### Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy <u>Maureen.Schuller@ing.com</u>

#### **Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### **Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey <a href="mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr">muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr</a>

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pana@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.garvey@ing.com

#### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro <u>carsten.brzeski@ing.de</u>

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 viraj.patel@ing.com

#### Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

#### Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### **Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

#### Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

#### Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

#### Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance +44 20 7767 5306 <u>carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com</u>