


Russia: thinking progressive taxation?

The Russian government is reportedly considering exempting employees earning below the subsistence level from paying income tax. This could ease some tensions in the lower-income regions but would create the risk of tax evasion. This is far from being a base case scenario, but it confirms the preference to use budget tools to boost income



Source: istock

According to media reports, the Russian government may soon start discussing changes to household taxation, exempting employees with after-tax earnings below the subsistence level from paying personal income tax. This is currently collected by the regional budgets from Russia's entire 73 million employees at a uniform 13% rate. Official representatives of the presidential administration have implied that such discussions, if any, are at very early stages, and it is too soon to consider a shift from the uniform taxation regime as a base case scenario. Nevertheless, we believe some preliminary comments on the potential impact of this reform on the Russian macro picture are worth making.


13% of Russia's employees (9.5 million out of 72.6 million) are earning below the subsistence level. Our estimates are lower than the preliminary numbers quoted by the media (15%, 11 million

people, based on a top-down Russia average distribution of salaries and subsistence level) as we use bottom-up regional statistics accounting for a wide range of subsistence levels (RUB9.1-22.1k) among Russia's 85 regions and other independent administrative units.

The reform would favour less economically developed regions. The share of employees earning below the subsistence level is above average in 53 regions and may reach 36% in extreme cases, such as the North Caucasus republics, which also might have a higher share of grey income. The population of these republics and other regions lagging in economic development are set to be the main beneficiaries of the reform, should it take place. The below average share of 'poor employees' present in the remaining 32 regions, which include the largest cities, oil-producing regions, and the Far East, with the lowest share recorded at just 1%. These regions can be considered more economically advanced and are unlikely to be materially affected by the reform.

The overall direct financial effect of the reform would be RUB150 billion per annum, or just 0.3% of households' personal income, or 0.4% of the consolidated budget revenues, assuming no artificial lowering of the officially reported pre-tax salaries by the employers to stay within the official subsistence level. As can be seen, the outright macro implication of the tax reform is minuscule, but it would create a number of risks related to regional administration of official subsistence levels and potential tax evasion. We see this as the main argument against the implementation of the reform in its current configuration.

Potential impact of exempting income tax for employees earning below subsistence level (assuming no tax evasion) per region




Source: State Statistics Service, Finance Ministry, ING

Supporting household income through budget spending is a more plausible scenario. We take this tax proposal as a way to address the weakening of consumption growth and some pressure on the popular support evident since 2018 following the increase in the retirement age and the VAT hike. However, the total direct taxation of households is around 4% of GDP (including total personal income tax collection of 3.5% of GDP), which is low by global standards and suggests little room for reduction. At the same time, state expenditures are a more likely tool that could be used to support households. As a reminder, earlier this year the president announced a list of targeted social measures, costing an additional RUB100-120 billion per year, supporting the overall trend of

the growing dependence of household income on the budget policy. We do not exclude that additional spending packages could be announced going forward in case of continued pressure on income growth and popular savings support. Given the current stronger budget execution (RUB550 billion surplus in 1Q19 vs. RUB350 billion consensus, National Wealth Fund set to hit 7% GDP this year, budget breakeven at a 10-year low of US\$50/barrel) the Finance Ministry is likely to see increased external pressure to ease budget policy in the coming years.

<https://think.ing.com/snaps/russia-president-vladimir-putin-state-of-the-nation-address/>

Social focus of the Russian budget spending



Source: State Statistics Service, Finance Ministry, ING

Author

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10

Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.