

One more cut from Brazil and the Selic is 'neutral'

One more cut from Banco Central do Brasil and the Selic is at what we term a neutral valuation, in fact slightly through it. It does not mean the cutting is over, but it does require positive dynamics to justify future cuts, else risks elevate. Brazilian market spreads to the US look rich versus history. Mexican spreads are more attractive




One more rate cut from Brazil's central bank would indicate the Selic rate is at a neutral valuation

How much room does BCB have to manoeuvre the policy rate lower? Not much

Recently we sent out a short report showing that Banco de México (Banxico) had the capacity to cut its key rate by 2.7% based off a simple steady state analysis. It's [here](#). We now ask the same question of Banco Central do Brasil (BCB).

Inputs to the calculation process for the official rate and market rates



Source: Macrobond, ING estimates

We use the same three ingredients to help identify room for policy rate manoeuvre:

1. The external *nominal* official rate differential is the spread that the markets focus on, and it's the one that directs FX forwards.
2. The internal *real* rate is the domestic policy rate less inflation – the real policy rate. It's the one that affects domestic circumstances.
3. The external *real* rate differential is the domestic real policy rate versus the real Fed funds rate. It's the link to control of the currency, and feedback to domestic inflation.

For Brazil:

1. The nominal external rate differential is 6.4%, versus an 8.8% average. The delta is -2.4%.
2. The internal rate is 7.1%, versus a 3.8% average. The delta is 3.3%.
3. The external real rate differential is 5.2%, versus a 5.3% average. The delta is -0.1%.

Applying an equal weighting gives us 0.2%. This means that BCB has room to cut, but not by much. Or more succinctly, if they do, they will begin to pressure relative to averages.

Context is important here. The Selic has been cut four times since mid-2023, from 13.75% to 11.75% currently, and another 50bp cut is expected from the 31 January meeting. A move to 11.25% takes the Selic to a level we deem moderately rich to our neutral valuation.

Here’s how we calculate rate cut potential for BCB based off a steady state



Source: Macrobond, ING estimates

The issue here is the policy rate differential versus the US. While it is comfortable at 6.4%, that's some 2.4% below the 15yr average (graph above). It is offset by a wide real internal rate. That's the push and pull – the elevated internal rate calls for cuts while the external rate is 'tight' when contextualised by its historical average.

There are two counters to this. First, deviating below the historical average need not be catastrophic. One standard deviation below the average is within statistical norms. The standard deviation is 3.5%. That suggests the spread could get into 5.3% without a material stretch. Second, the historical average itself could be questioned as being overly elevated.

These historical averages are a reflection of Brazil’s track record over the past decade and a half. To push through these we’d need to assume structural improvement. A key element here is the fiscal reform framework, and specifically whether enough is in the pipeline to stabilise debt dynamics. The jury remains out on this, but lower rates would help, and a weakening in domestic activity is calling for even lower rates. The issue, however, is a potential elevation in external facing risks as the Selic gets cut further through our neutral valuation.

Adding some future dynamics – lower inflation and Fed cuts. What then?



Source: Macrobond, ING estimates

Let’s take things one step forward. Hypothesise the following, 1. Brazilian inflation falls to 4%, 2. The Fed cuts by 2.5%, and US inflation falls by 1%. The chart above summarises this (likely) scenario.

Now we’d have, 1. The classic rate differential at -0.1% below average, 2. The internal rate 3.6% above average, and 3. The external real rate differential 1.7% above average. An interest rate gap has re-opened for BCB. Applying equal weighting gives us 1.7% for that gap.

So, BCB can chop its official rate to 9.75%. That's less than the current market discount for a bottom at around 9.25% on a 1yr horizon. Brazilian inflation would need to fall to 3.5% in order to square that circle (which is entirely feasible).

Market rates are already pricing a lot. There is more value in Mexico

And what does all this mean for market rates? Currently the 10yr spread to the US is 6.9% and the 2yr spread is 5.6%. Optically wide, but not when compared with historical averages. The 2yr spread is 3.3% rich to the average and the 10yr is 2.7% rich to the average. These deviations are already in excess of one standard deviation from their averages. In fact, the 10yr spread is almost two standard deviations rich to its average (just 30bp away). Given that, there is not a whole lot of value in terms of spread convergence versus the US.

Contrast this with the analysis done for Mexico, and we identify far less value in Brazil. The MEX 10yr at 8.7% is at a 200bp give-up to the BRL 10yr at 10.7%, but the value is in the MEX 10yr. Similarly, the MEX 2yr at 9.7% is only just 10bp through the BRL 10yr, and here there is more value in the MEX 2yr. The relative value in the MEX 2yr looks glaring on this measure. Receiving MEX and paying BRL results in give-ups, but the relative value signals point to MEX. This is also the case should the rate-cutting process end prematurely for whatever reason, as there is more room for MEX to perform versus less room for BRL to perform.

And what about the FX angle? USD/BRL is now in the 4.9 area and the forwards see it at 5.2 on an 18-month view. That's the degree of BRL depreciation that should (theoretically) be tolerated by BCB. The long run averages as identified set floors below which FX vulnerability can arise. Our FX strategists identify BRL resilience as a feature in the coming months. See more [here](#). That helps for cuts, but in fact helps MEX more than it helps BRL.

Brazil can cut, but needs justification to keep going. And lots priced into longer market rates already

On the assumption that BCB cuts the Selic by 50bp bringing it to 11.25%, our analysis shows that the Selic is then moderately rich to its neutral valuation. That does not mean that BCB can't cut further. But it does mean that cutting further moves the Selic further into a rich valuation (versus history). This can pose risks if not backed up by improving credit circumstances.

There is a better buffer in Mexico, where we identify room to cut the official rate by some 2.7%. A key difference is BCB has already cut by 200bp (plus a likely 50bp from the 31 January meeting), while Banxico has not even started cutting. We also see this in valuations in market rates, where BRL rates look stretched versus MEX ones.

Finally you might be wondering what the steady state rate cut manoeuvre looks like for other centres. For Chile it's 1.7%. And for Colombia it's 1.9%. Hence Mexico scores cheapest on a steady state. Brazil scores richest. We'll cover Colombia in detail next – stay tuned!

Author

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.