

North American trade deal nears...

A North American trade deal is in the works...but it doesn't mean a China / Asian one is any nearer.



Source: Shutterstock

What does a possible "NAFTA" deal mean for Asia?

By lunchtime in Asia, we may well know whether or not a tripartite trade deal has been struck between the US, Canada, and Mexico. We will refrain today from referring to this as "NAFTA", though until a new, snappier acronym is developed, we may well drift back to that in time (Does "USMC trade deal" work?). Anyway, we have been watching this story develop mainly because we want to get a sense for what it might mean for US trade intentions in Asia.

First thing: a trade deal itself is somewhat important. It shows that the US administration will have been prepared to make some concessions to Canada, I don't believe Canada will have subscribed to a deal without something in return, though this may be relative to a "no deal" scenario, not relative to what existed before. How does that play for China? Well, I suppose it does indicate that given enough concessions, enough market opening, enough tariff cuts, and commitments to end practices of coerced intellectual property appropriation, a deal may be possible. That isn't terribly comforting though. At least, not in the short-term, as this sounds like it will be too bitter a pill for China to swallow.

More important will be the degree to which Canada has had to soften its red lines, dispute

settlement being one of the most important ones, though also cultural support, and the dairy industry all key negotiation points. Any signs that a compromise deal has been struck could be considered tiny signs of optimism that a China-US deal could, in time, be struck, bringing an end to the ongoing trade war.

Sulawesi tragedy

Our sympathies and condolences to the people of Sulawesi, their relatives and friends everywhere. Although it is tempting to make some sort of market / economic comment about this, this really isn't the time or place.

All we would add is, after recent tectonic/volcanic activity also in Bali and Lombok recently, the Asian part of the ring-of-fire does seem to be undergoing one of its periodic shuffles, with potentially disastrous consequences along its length - as we are seeing now. My totally amateur observations and inferences are that a bit of continental shelf movement in one place, tends to set up tensions for other parts to move too to fill up any gaps, so some further events of this nature elsewhere in the region might follow in the coming weeks until this all settles down again. That said, I would reiterate, I am really not qualified to comment on this and hope I am wrong.

G-7 week ahead - busy

There is almost too much to talk about here, with the Conservative Party conference in the UK potentially showing the way forward (or not) on Brexit and the outlook for the GBP. Our UK Economist, James Smith [covers all the latest angles here](#), though he will no doubt be kept busy this week, with PM May addressing a fractured Tory party on Wednesday this week.

There are also plenty of Fed speakers on the newswires, which may help, or simply confuse the picture for US rate policy in the months and weeks ahead. Our house view of a further hike in December is both the market and Fed view though, so the interesting nuances will likely concern more distant Fed policy.

US labour market data for September always has the potential to excite markets. This time though, Hurricane activity may muddy the numbers. A market miss may be less impactful than usual.

Asia week ahead

Prakash Sakpal covers all the main bits for Asia in his [week ahead note](#). But to summarise - its quiet (too quiet?) in Asia, with China out for the whole week. Data over the weekend and first thing this morning have a somewhat sinister tone that may reflect the trade war's impacts. Chinese PMI data over the weekend showed a poor manufacturing survey contrasting with a better non-manufacturing outcome. The Tankan survey for Japan did the same, and China's more export-oriented Caixin survey was also soft, while South Korea's export numbers for September were also worse than expected at -8.2%YoY.

Manufacturing PMI's for the Asian region are also out, though the news is more mixed here, there does look to be patchy confirmation of the weak manufacturing story with notable exceptions (Malaysia for one, and South Korea, though this seems at odds with the trade data - it may reflect some progress on inventory destocking).

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.