

Opinion | 2 May 2019

# Jean Pisani-Ferry: When facts change, change the pact

"When facts change, I change my mind," John Maynard Keynes famously said. With long-term interest rates currently near zero, the European Union should reform its fiscal framework to allow member states to increase their debt-financed public investments, writes Jean Pisani-Ferry



# A disingenuous silence

The European Union's Stability and Growth Pact, which sets fiscal rules for its member states, is like the emperor's new clothes. Almost everyone sees it has none, yet few admit it openly. This disingenuous silence is bad economics and bad politics.

The pact's rules are so hopelessly complex

For starters, the pact's rules are so hopelessly complex that almost no government minister, let alone member of parliament, can decipher them. There are now various reform proposals that aim

to simplify things, including by a group of French and German economists to which I belong. Most of these proposals would place less emphasis on estimating member states' cyclically-adjusted budget deficits – a notoriously difficult calculation – and focus instead on monitoring growth in public spending. Concretely, each government would commit to expenditures consistent with the country's economic growth outlook and expected tax receipts, and in line with a medium-term debt target. There would be less micromanagement by EU institutions, more room for national decision-making, and more responsibility for individual governments.

Ministers have so far shown no appetite for such radical reform. But there is now a second reason to overhaul the EU's fiscal framework: today's economic conditions are very different from those when the pact was designed over two decades ago. "When facts change, I change my mind," John Maynard Keynes famously said. And the facts have certainly changed.

# The pact initially made sense

The pact entered into force in 1997. At the time, the median public debt among the 11 EU countries that would initially adopt the euro was 60% of GDP, while the forecast was 3% growth and 2% inflation. The risk-free long-term interest rate – at which most eurozone countries would soon borrow – was 5%. Stabilizing the debt ratio at its prevailing 60% level therefore required governments to keep their budget deficits below 3% of GDP – or, put another way, to maintain a primary budget balance (revenues minus spending, excluding interest payments) of zero.

Such guidelines made sense. If growth faltered, revenue shrank, or markets started pricing in a default, there would be a real risk of debt spiraling out of control – as Europe's sovereign-debt crisis of 2010-2012 later showed. The 3%-of-GDP deficit threshold that triggers the activation of a stronger policy monitoring procedure was thus a rough but reasonably calibrated benchmark. Moreover, it was wise to aim for significantly lower deficits, in order to maintain a safety margin.

The big change from two decades ago is the collapse in interest rates

In 2019, the median debt for the same 11 countries is 70% of GDP, while the International Monetary Fund currently forecasts 1.5% growth and 2% inflation (debt is a bit lower and growth a bit higher if all eurozone members are included). True, projected growth is half the level it was in 1997. Nonetheless, stabilizing the debt ratio requires keeping budget deficits below 2.5% of GDP, which remains close to the pact's 3% limit.

The big change from two decades ago, however, is the collapse in interest rates. Investors were recently willing to buy ten-year German government bonds yielding essentially nothing. Taking inflation into account, the real cost of German debt is significantly negative – as it is, to a lesser degree, for France, Spain, and most other eurozone members. Even Italy, with debt exceeding 130% of GDP and dismal growth, was able to borrow at 2.6%, or 2.4 percentage points less than Germany in 1997.

# Difficult choices for the EU

Under such conditions, a budget-deficit limit of 3% of GDP is, in fact, fairly lax. If long-term interest

rates remain near zero for a few more years, governments will be able to run primary deficits greater than 2% of GDP without exceeding that limit. Many EU countries are likely to use this opportunity to finance current spending on the cheap. But should financial conditions change abruptly, they will be forced to adjust precipitously.

The European Commission insists that the 3% threshold is only an upper limit. Reforms to the pact in 2011 have tightened the screws. Eurozone countries are expected to keep their structural budget deficit (corrected for cyclical effects) close to zero, and those with a debt ratio exceeding 60% of GDP are mandated to reduce it.

# The EU sits between a rock and a hard place

However, the resulting constraints are too tight. The zero target for the structural deficit prevents governments from borrowing at today's negative real interest rates to finance investments and reforms. And, as Olivier Blanchard of the Peterson Institute has forcefully argued, there is no compelling economic reason to cut debt when borrowing is costless.

The EU sits between a rock and a hard place. It should not let member states make a habit of financing recurring current expenditures with debt. But nor should it prevent them from taking advantage of persistently low interest rates to finance economically sound investments that will benefit future generations.

# Why fiscal reform is necessary

Europe should therefore reform its fiscal framework. Deficit hawks (especially in Germany) will no doubt protest, but prohibition without a rationale is politically unsustainable. Why wouldn't EU citizens accept channeling debt-financed public investments into environmental research, renewable energy, clean transportation systems, and other efforts to contain climate change, when financial conditions would make such investments collectively profitable?

#### The need to revise the FU's fiscal rules is clear

Longstanding criticism of the pact for neglecting the distinction between investment and current spending is valid, to the extent that investment is defined economically rather than in accounting terms. The EU should therefore agree on a set of goals – such as the transition to a low-carbon economy, broader access to employment, and output-enhancing economic reforms – that justify public spending temporarily in excess of the fiscal rule (unless, of course, the country is in a financially precarious state). Such an exemption should be conditional on long-term interest rates remaining exceptionally low. If rates were to rise, governments would have to trim and eventually discontinue these investments.

The need to revise the EU's fiscal rules is clear. The main political parties competing in May's European Parliament elections should recognize it and make the case openly. At a time when the EU's very purpose is being questioned, economics taboos are the last thing Europe needs.

# This article first appeared in Project Syndicate on April 29th, 2019

#### **Author**

#### Alissa Lefebre

**Economist** 

alissa.lefebre@ing.com

# Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@ing.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

# Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China lynn.song@asia.ing.com

#### Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate <u>jesse.norcross@ing.com</u>

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition teise.stellema@ing.com

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare <u>diederik.stadig@ing.com</u>

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist <a href="mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com">diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com</a>

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

# **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### **Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist <u>frantisek.taborsky@ing.com</u>

#### **Adam Antoniak**

# Senior Economist, Poland adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research coco.zhang@ing.com

# Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure <u>Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com</u>

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist <a href="mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com">samuel.abettan@ing.com</a>

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@inq.com

# Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist <a href="mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com">timothy.rahill@ing.com</a>

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland leszek.kasek@ing.pl

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

# Senior High Yield Credit Strategist oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy antoine.bouvet@ing.com

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### **Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

**FX Strategist** 

<u>francesco.pesole@ing.com</u>

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS <a href="mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de">dmitry.dolgin@ing.de</a>

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst egor.fedorov@ing.com

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist sebastian.franke@ing.de

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

# Head of Corporates Sector Strategy <a href="mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com">nadege.tillier@ing.com</a>

#### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist +31(0)611172684 laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <a href="mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com">suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</a>

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri thijs.geijer@ing.com

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors <u>maurice.van.sante@ing.com</u>

#### Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@inq.com

# Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy <u>Maureen.Schuller@ing.com</u>

#### Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### **Philippe Ledent**

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

# Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey <a href="mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr">muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr</a>

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.garvey@ing.com

# James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro carsten.brzeski@ing.de

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 viraj.patel@ing.com

#### Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

# Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

# Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

# Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

# Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance +44 20 7767 5306 <u>carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com</u>