

Guidelines leave room for interpretation

President Trump's guidelines on when states will be in a position to re-open leave plenty of room for governors to decide if the conditions are ripe or not. Elsewhere in the world, the picture on re-opening is mixed



Source: Shutterstock

Believe it or not...

The following text was my paraphrased version of an official recommendation from (I can't remember exactly now, but I think maybe the US Centre for Disease Control) on what would be a necessary set of conditions before a country (or state) might consider loosening its lockdown - [it can be found on page 33 of our recent monthly on the Covid-19 outbreak](#). It does not represent any original thought on my part.

"In general, before any government removes emergency measures, we would anticipate seeing the following criteria:

1. New case numbers falling for a sustained period (say 14 days).
2. Health services are able to deal with cases that require hospitalisation without recourse to emergency measures – field hospitals, military support etc.
3. In a position to fully test potential cases, trace and test their contacts, and monitor them".

[The official guidelines released by President Trump yesterday, and which are linked here](#), note the following conditions before considering re-opening:

1. Downward trajectory of influenza-like illnesses (ILI) reported within a 14-day period and downward trajectory of covid-like syndromic cases reported within a 14-day period
2. Downward trajectory of documented cases within a 14-day period or downward trajectory of positive tests as a per cent of total tests within a 14-day period (flat or increasing volume of tests)

3. Treat all patients without crisis care. Robust testing program in place for at-risk healthcare workers, including emerging antibody testing.

Apart from my paraphrasing, it is virtually the same. This is good. It shows the US President is being led by medical experts.

I took a quick look at the change in new cases by US state this morning. What is very clear is that most states seem to be plateauing, rather than showing clear signs of new cases falling (and some are still rising).

When you compare yesterday's new case count with that 14 days ago, you do quite often get a lower number. But that is because the figures bounce around a lot. On the basis of a simple 14-day comparison, you would open up Florida, New York, Georgia, Louisiana, Michigan and a few others. On the basis of common sense, you would open up none of these states. For most of these, not only are the case numbers still running unacceptably high, but the day to day volatility makes calling a trajectory "downward" a very subjective exercise, or one that should only be attempted after data smoothing, say a 3-day moving average?

In any case, I think that with the exception of a few states, like Missouri, and Montana, the data just don't give a clear green light yet.

Looking around the world at countries that are attempting to move away from lockdown, Austria, which was the source of many of Europe's infections, seems to be doing well, with a clearly falling new case count. Denmark on the other hand, which is also attempting the same, has seen new cases hold up at a little under 200 a day. It is not getting worse and is about half of the peak rate. But these numbers are still high, and so the risk of a renewed upwards spike remains present.

What I'm saying is, we may see US states begin to move towards a relaxation of their lockdowns in May (mid or late rather than early), but the process is likely to be slow. If markets are betting on a rapid return to normal output conditions, they are wide of the mark.

On a positive note, trials on one of the antivirals thought most likely to have a beneficial impact on Covid-19 patients seem to be delivering quite optimistic results. This is not a cure, but it could reduce the mortality rate considerably, which could aid in the normalisation process.

Aaia data today - good start from Singapore

Ahead of the big China data dump later this morning, Singapore has released figures on its non-oil domestic exports: Prakash Sakpal has written a brief summary before his main note to follow: "March non-oil domestic exports (NODX) posted a 17.6% year-on-year (12.8% month-on-month, seasonally adjusted) gain, a significant outperformance compared with the consensus expectation for an 8.0% fall. A 49% jump in the pharmaceuticals stood out as the main driver of growth as the sector is benefiting from the ongoing global health crisis. Electronics also fared well with a 5.8% rise being the sector's best performance in over two years. This data explains the resiliency of Singapore's manufacturing explained by only a 0.5% YoY fall in the advance GDP estimate. We believe worse lies ahead, as the month-long circuit-breaker to contain the Covid-19 spread will significantly impair activity in April and in the rest of this quarter".

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.