

More FDR for Germany

Even hawkish German economists are finally discussing changes to the debt brake but, unfortunately, a lot more needs to happen before this discussion enters the political arena. Perhaps Germany needs a lesson from Franklin D Roosevelt...



Source: Shutterstock

Slamming on the debt brake

Germans love their sacred cows: Driving without speed limits on the highway, [lead melting on New Year's Eve](#), closed supermarkets on Sundays and, more for the economists, fiscal surpluses aka The Black Zero. This year, the constitutional debt brake, which morphed into the Fiscal Compact for the rest of the eurozone, celebrates its 10th anniversary. Very few feel like partying.

Like many economic views in Germany, the constitutional debt brake dates back to the hyperinflation of the 1920s. Government debts - and later war expenditures - could only be funded by cranking the money press. The lesson was: never repeat! In the constitution of the Federal Republic of Germany, a paragraph was already included in 1949 which allowed the government only to run fiscal deficits in exceptional situations. This paragraph was amended in 1969 to a kind of golden rule, allowing fiscal deficits up to the level of gross investments. Higher deficits were only allowed in case of "disruptions of the economic equilibrium".

However, there was no clear definition of this balance and the door to a rapid rise in government

debt was opened. While the debt-to-GDP ratio stood at less than 20% of GDP from 1949 to 1969, government debt increased to 80% of GDP until 2009. Public finances in the federal states also grew unsustainable; in the 1990s there were even a few of those states that were on the verge of bankruptcy.

Against this backdrop, an ageing society with rising government costs and the realisation that out-of-control government debt can lead to turmoil in financial markets along with so-called snowball effects due to rising interest rates, the German government decided in 2009 to limit the annual fiscal balance constitutionally. The debt brake. Since 2016, the federal government may have a maximum fiscal deficit of 0.35% of GDP (adjusted for cyclical effects). The Länder will not be able to have any fiscal deficits from next year onwards.

Disciplinary tool which needs a make-over


Up to now, the debt brake has worked as an excellent instrument for discipline. The federal government is running record surpluses year after year and budget discipline has now become a standard feature in those states. At first glance, the Black Zero is a success story in disciplining politicians. On closer examination, however, it is less attractive: the debt brake is also one of the main reasons for the lack of investment in Germany. This is food for thought among German economic circles right now - even austerity fetishists have become advocates for some changes.

The debt brake is one of the main reasons for a lack of investment in Germany

Rightly so. According to the latest data, German government debt dropped to 60.9% of GDP last year and should fall below the Maastricht threshold of 60% GDP this year, for the first time since 2002. With low interest rates and fiscal surpluses, the debt ratio could fall below 50% within the next five years. In fact, assuming everything else being equal, the government could run fiscal deficits between 1.5% and 2% of GDP for the next five years and the debt ratio would still be stable, at close to but below 60%.

Extremely low interest rates, in particular, are currently the main reason to rethink the ratio of the debt brake. At the very least, the debt brake should get some more breathing space to allow for more investments. This could be done by linking nominal GDP growth to interest rates, a sovereign wealth fund, higher investment-related deficits or other ways. Unfortunately, while the discussion has finally - and far too late - reached prominent economists' circles, it will still take a while before it will reach politics. The Black Zero is simply too attractive, both as an instrument for discipline but also as an easy campaign promise to fulfil.

German fiscal stimulus since start of EMU



Source: European Commission, ING estimates

An FDR lesson for Germany

Germany has shown several times that it can bend or change the rules. It is about time it created more room for investments. Otherwise, future generations might be left with fewer debts but also with sluggish internet, potholed highways and bridges and permanently delayed trains. Germany should learn from Franklin D. Roosevelt who once said that rules are not necessarily sacred, only principles are.

Author

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and

which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.