

## Colombia can cut big, but there are ifs

Colombia has been dipping its toes into the rate-cutting game. There is room for a lot more. But inflation must continue to fall, else room for cuts evaporates. While we are comfortable that inflation does fall, we find even given that, there is not huge value in longer dated spreads to the US. Nice pick-ups, but future drop-offs maybe not as nice



Colombia's central bank has room to cut rates from the current level of 12.75%

### Mexico can cut big, Brazil less. Now what about Colombia?

Recently we sent out a short report showing the Banxico has built up a buffer to cut its key rate by 2.7% based off a simple a steady state analysis. It's [here](#). That has since moved to 2.5%, reflecting a moderate rise in Mexican inflation. We did the same for Brazil, and showed that from here on out, cuts from BCB really need to be bolstered by further falls in Brazilian inflation and/or cuts from the US Federal Reserve. It's [here](#).

We now ask the same question of Banco de la República de Colombia (BanRep).


We use the same three ingredients to help identify room for policy rate manoeuvre:

1. The external *nominal* official rate differential is the spread that the markets focus on, and it's the one that directs FX forwards.
2. The internal *real* rate is the domestic policy rate less inflation – the real policy rate. It's the one that affects domestic circumstances.

3. The external *real* rate differential is the domestic real policy rate versus the real Fed funds rate. It's the link to control of the currency, and feedback to domestic inflation.

## Inputs to the calculation process for the official rate and market rates

Note that the market data are based off respective swap rates (not government bonds)




Source: ING

For Colombia:

1. The classic rate differential is 7.4%, versus a 4.5% average. The delta is 3.0%.
2. The internal rate is 4.4%, versus a 0.7% average. The delta is 3.7%.
3. The external real rate differential is 2.2%, versus and 2.1% average. The delta is 0.1%.

Applying an equal weighting to all three identified elements gives us 2.2% (see below).

## Here's how we calculate rate cut potential for BanRep based off a steady state



Source: ING


The issue here is the external real rate differential, which is practically flat to the historical average currently. Should BanRep cut by 220bp it would push the external rate differential to zero, and the delta versus average to -2.2%. We don't mind deviations below average for the identified spreads, but not by more than one standard deviation. We find that one standard deviation from average would be 1.7%, so we limit the cut potential to that.

Bottom line, cut potential with a reasonable degree of comfort is to 11% (from 12.75% currently). If so, the resulting external real rate differential of 50bp feels tight, but bear in mind that this spread has traded negative on quite a few occasions in the past decade and a half. Also, while this is a steady state analysis, it really needs some reasonable expectation for future falls in inflation as a support. We can't tolerate an opposite outcome of higher inflation.

But, let's take things one step forward.

Hypothesize the following, 1. Colombian inflation falls by 200bp (to 6.3%), 2. The Fed cuts by 2.25%, and US inflation falls by 1%. Then we'd have the following:

## Adding some future dynamics – lower inflation and Fed cuts. What then?



Source: ING

Now we'd have, 1. The classic rate differential at 3.0% above average, 2. The internal rate at 3.5% above average, and 3. The external real rate differential at 1.1% above average. Now an interest rate gap has re-opened for BanRep.

Applying equal weighting gives us 2.5% for that gap. So, BanRep can ultimately chop its official rate to 8.00%. That's calculated at the current official rate of 12.75%, less the additional 2.5% identified here, less the 2.2% gap identified above (including an add back of the prior 50bp breach of the standard deviation in the external rate differential).

And, to push this to the extreme, given all of the above, plus let's assume Colombian inflation hits the top of the inflation range (4%), then the capacity is there to get the official rate down to 6.5%. Now, the stars would really have to align to get to this level.

## Market swap rates are already pricing a lot – so actually not that much room for more

And what does all this mean for swap rates? Currently the 10yr spread to the US is 3.5% and the 2yr spread is 3.9%. These are well through the historical averages (back to 2008).

The 10yr spread to the US at 3.5% is just 50bp above the historical low at 3%. And history shows the 2yr has capacity to the down side to a sub-2% spread. Then again, should the Fed get to 3% and BanRep threatens to get to 6.5% that gives a 350bp official rate spread, making the achievement of a sub-200bp 2yr spread to the US far from easy. Or at least it would require a path to an even lower BanRep rate.

Based off this it's tough to see conviction value in Colombian spreads to the US given the amount

of cutting that would need to be delivered to secure meaningful performance. We do, however, see value in dis-inversion strategies; negative in carry, but likely to perform.

And what about the FX angle? USD/COP is now in the 4,000 area (slightly through) and the forwards see it at 4,225 on an 18-month view. That's the degree of COP depreciation that should (theoretically) be tolerated by BanRep. The long run averages as identified set floors below which FX vulnerability can arise. Currently USD/COP looks a tad stretched to the downside relative to the cumulative inflation experience, presenting some vulnerability for COP. That said, the ING view for USD weakness on Fed cuts offers a meaningful buffer. See more on that [here](#).

## If the stars align, BanRep can get to 6.25%, but they really would have too align well

BanRep has already cut by 25bp two times, from 13.25% to 12.75%. That's been facilitated by a material fall in inflation from 13.4% to 8.4%. Inflation remains too high. But the fact that it is falling and expected to continue to do so helps frame the analysis. We identify room for BanRep to cut by more. We think by a cumulative 170bp, while not deviating too much from historical averages. That would bring the official rate to 11%.

Down the track if we assume US inflation of 2%, a Fed funds rate of 3% and Colombian inflation of 4% then there is a path to 6.5%. But, a lot has to go right to bring that about. And already there is a lot priced out curve. We find 2yr and 10yr spreads to the US optically attractive from a pick-up perspective, but not especially from a performance one. The 10yr spread for example is just 50bp off it's historical low (back to 2008). There is more relative value in Mexico.

We'll cover Chile in detail next – stay tuned!

### Author

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

### Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person

for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.