

Bundles | 13 May 2019

# What's happening in Australia and around the world?

#### In this bundle



#### Asia week ahead: Tariffed!

The US might make good on its threat of bumping up tariffs on Chinese products and China may reciprocate. But even as trade talks resume there is little...



#### Think Forward Initiative

#### Fairness in consumer credit markets

Making sure you are treated fairly when applying for credit is becoming much more reliant on data that shows what you do, rather than who you are, writes...



#### Japan

#### Japan: Mixed messages

In Japan, the macro picture paints a bit of a muddy picture. Both investment and net trade don't really help us much with the outlook for the rest of...



#### **United States**

#### Fed rate cuts unlikely despite US inflation miss

The latest downside surprise on US inflation won't help resolve market pessimism towards the Fed policy outlook. But with wage pressures continuing to...

By James Smith

Bundles | 13 May 2019



#### **United States**

# If it's just the economy, stupid, Trump's in a good position for 2020

Swing States win elections, and given the strong economic performance of the big 5, any challenger is immediately going to be on the defensive

By James Knightley



#### FX | FX Talking

#### **FX Talking: Trading blows**

The re-opening of the US-China trade war has come as a surprise. We suspect a deal will be concluded in 3Q19, but until then, investors look set to play...

By Chris Turner



# May economic update: Just when things were looking up

Just as pockets of optimism had begun to emerge in the global economy, trade tensions are back, though we still think a US-China trade deal remains...

Bundles | 13 May 2019 2

## Asia week ahead: Tariffed!

The US might make good on its threat of bumping up tariffs on Chinese products and China may reciprocate. But even as trade talks resume there is little hope of salvaging a deal, which will keep markets on the edge in the days ahead



Source: Shutterstock

## Tariffs, tariffs, and tariffs

All was going well on the trade talks front until the weekend bombshell by President Trump of a further hike in tariffs on Chinese goods. The news spooked investors and resulted in a heavy sell-off of risk assets, and a flight to safe-haven Treasuries ensued.

The heat continued to rise, but reconciliatory talks are ongoing too. The good news is that both sides still want a deal - as President Trump still thinks a deal is possible and China's vice-premier Liu He is going to attend trade talks this week.

25% goods

# New rate of US tariff on \$200bn of Chinese

Up from 10%, effective Friday 12.01 am ET

### No relief for 'tariffed' markets

As such, the trade war will likely remain an ongoing theme driving the markets next week, and probably beyond. Alas, we see nothing in next week's economic calendar capable of providing a breather for 'tariffed' markets. Not even China's remaining April indicators on industrial production, fixed asset investment, retail sales, or new home prices -- the consensus estimates are pointing to softer growth for most.

India, Indonesia, and Singapore report trade figures for April, which will indeed be gleaned in the light of the ongoing trade war. No prizes for guessing a sustained slowdown in exports from these countries. An additional whammy from a downturn in the global tech cycle is exacerbating the weakening trend.

## Indonesia's central bank prefers stability over growth

Indonesia's central bank doesn't seem ready to join in the easing cycle just yet. With GDP growth steady at around 5% year-on-year pace, as what the data for the first quarter of 2019 revealed this week, BI's policy focus remains on financial market stability. And the market stability matters more now than ever as escalated trade and geopolitical risk sour investor sentiment toward emerging markets.

In the not too distant past, the financial crisis in Turkey and Argentina less than a year ago exposed Indonesia's vulnerability to the emerging market contagion. What lies beneath the vulnerability is a wide current account deficit, which is equivalent to 3% of GDP in 2018 - nearly double from the previous year. As noted above, the April trade figures should reinforce the deficit widening trend.

Our baseline for BI policy is no change to the 6.0% policy rate throughout 2019.

6.00% Bank Indonesia policy rate

No change expected this year

No change expected this year

## Malaysia's GDP growth bottoms out

Malaysia's GDP data for 1Q19 will support the central bank's rate cut at the last meeting. The GDP slowdown is obvious from the high-frequency economic activity, and our estimate of 4.2%, down from 4.7% in 4Q18, remains on track.

Even as growth slides below the central bank's forecast of 4.3% to 4.8% this year, we believe the timely policy boost together with the favourable base effects will shore it up in the rest of the year towards the top end of the central bank's forecast range, eliminating the need for anymore rate cuts.

4.2% ING forecast

Malaysia GDP growth

Malaysia GDP growth in 1Q19

#### Asia Economic Calendar

Country	Time*	Data/event	ING	Survey	Prev.
		Monday 13 May			
India	1300	Apr CPI (YoY%)	3.0	-	2.9
		Tuesday 14 May			
India	0730	Apr WPI (YoY%)	3.1	-	3.2
		Wednesday 15 May			
China	0300	Apr Fixed Asset Investment (YTD, YoY%)	6.3	6.4	6.3
	0300	Apr Industrial Production (YoY%)	7.0	6.5	8.5
	0300	Apr Retail Sales (YoY%)	9.4	8.6	8.7
India	-	Apr Imports (YoY%)	2.0	-	1.4
	-	Apr Trade Deficit (US\$bn)	-14.2	-	-10.9
	-	Apr Exports (YoY%)	2.5	-	11.0
Indonesia	0500	Apr Exports (YoY%)	-8.0	-	-10.0
	0500	Apr Imports (YoY%)	-15.0	-	-6.8
	0500	Apr Trade Balance (US\$mn)	-364.0	-	540.2
Philippines	-	Mar OCW Remittances (YoY%)	6.0	-	1.5
Singapore	-	Apr Non-oil Domestic Exports (MoM%, SA)	3.9	-	-14.3
South Korea	0000	Apr Unemployment Rate (%, SA)	-	-	3.8
		Thursday 16 May			
Malaysia	0500	1Q GDP (QoQ/YoY%)	0.7/4.2	-/-	1.4/4.7
	0500	1Q Current Account (Q) (MYR bn)	15.7	-	10.8
Indonesia	-	May BI Policy Decision (7-day Reverse Repo, %)	6.0	-	6.0
		Friday 17 May			
Hong Kong	0930	1Q F GDP (QoQ/YoY%)	-/-	-/-	1.2/0.5
Singapore	0130	Apr Non-oil Domestic Exports (YoY%)	-	-	-11.7
	-	1Q F GDP (QoQ /YoY%)	-/-	-/-	2.0/1.3
Source ING Pleambara *CMT					

Source: ING, Bloomberg, \*GMT

#### Author

#### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

#### **Mateusz Sutowicz**

Senior Economist, Poland mateusz.sutowicz@inq.pl

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebre@ing.com

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

5 Bundles | 13 May 2019

#### <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780 ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@ing.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China lynn.song@asia.ing.com

#### Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

#### This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### **Jesse Norcross**

## Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate jesse.norcross@ing.com

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition teise.stellema@ing.com

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare diederik.stadig@ing.com

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist <a href="mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com">diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com</a>

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

#### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### **Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist <u>frantisek.taborsky@ing.com</u>

#### Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research

#### coco.zhang@ing.com

#### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure <u>Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com</u>

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist <a href="mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com">samuel.abettan@ing.com</a>

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@inq.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@inq.com

#### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist timothy.rahill@ing.com

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland leszek.kasek@ing.pl

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy <a href="mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com">antoine.bouvet@ing.com</a>

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

#### jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@inq.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS <a href="mailto:dmitry.dolgin@inq.de">dmitry.dolgin@inq.de</a>

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst <a href="mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com">egor.fedorov@ing.com</a>

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist sebastian.franke@ing.de

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy <a href="mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com">nadege.tillier@ing.com</a>

#### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

#### +31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### **James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <a href="mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com">suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</a>

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri <a href="mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com">thijs.geijer@ing.com</a>

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors maurice.van.sante@inq.com

#### Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

#### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist <a href="mailto:raoul.leering@ing.com">raoul.leering@ing.com</a>

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

#### Head of Financials Sector Strategy <u>Maureen.Schuller@ing.com</u>

#### Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.garvey@ing.com

#### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <a href="mailto:james.knightley@ing.com">james.knightley@ing.com</a>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro carsten.brzeski@ing.de

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 <u>viraj.patel@ing.com</u>

#### Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

#### Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

#### Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

#### Gustavo Rangel

Bundles | 13 May 2019

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo
Economist, Digital Finance
+44 20 7767 5306
carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Bundles | 13 May 2019

Article | 10 May 2019 Think Forward Initiative

## Fairness in consumer credit markets

Making sure you are treated fairly when applying for credit is becoming much more reliant on data that shows what you do, rather than who you are, writes Amanda Cooper



As a borrower, you are only as good as your credit score. But what makes up a credit score and what can influence it is often an opaque matter. With the proliferation of machine learning and big data, algorithms are playing a larger role in decisions by financial institutions to grant a mortgage or loan. In theory, this should increase transparency and remove biases associated with things such as gender, race, or even postal code. But does it?

This was the subject of a discussion among experts at a Think Forward Initiative event in Sweden on Thursday. Stefania Albanesi, Professor of Economics at the University of Pittsburgh, opened the discussion by noting that, on the surface, certain characteristics such as gender and religion shouldn't affect access to credit. However, simply excluding these factors is no guarantee that they won't ultimately affect access to credit because the data behind the models may implicitly include these. Indeed models need to be tested to ensure this is not happening.

This is especially important as evidence suggests several groups face difficulty accessing credit. The young, those on low incomes and minority groups seem particularly disadvantaged. The panel generally agreed that a more data-intensive approach to credit scoring can play a role in reducing the disadvantage that these groups face.

But Chuck Robida from consumer credit reporting company Experian said consumers won't be protected fully from a biased system, as there can be an inherent bias in the data and models used

in those algorithms. His organisation looks at what he terms "credit behaviour" to determine credit performance, which brings in the need for data beyond merely a name, which in itself could reveal a borrower's likely ethnicity or location, for example.

### How you spend and save

Jan Dodion, head of risk at ING, agrees. In any traditional credit report, it will be a consumer's socio-demographic information that will be the most relevant, followed usually by information from a credit bureau, which more often than not, typically only reflects negative events, such as a default, rather than positive ones, such as regularity and reliability in paying household bills. "The moment we start incorporating 'transactional data ... the way in which you use your daily banking products, not credit, but your saving account, your current account and sometimes your credit cards, it starts ruling out the social demographic drivers," he told the audience. A financial institution's models can contribute to making decisions based on what you do with your money, rather than who you are.

#### But is it fair?

Brian Bucks, from the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau in the United States, says deciding what criteria to use when establishing fairness in lending is an important choice. One of the challenges that a regulator faces is that of availability of data, even so-called alternative, behavioural data. If a particular type of data is not universally available, but rather, available only to a certain sector of the population, then fairness becomes even harder to ensure. In lending terms, many consumers are "invisible", meaning that they either have no credit record, which in turn makes them more likely to be turned down for a loan and excluded altogether. Bucks said some of the bureau's research has shown that access to high-speed internet has a far tighter link to a borrower's "credit visibility" than their physical proximity to a bank. So if a lender's algorithm were incorporating digital, or behavioural, data into its traditional scoring models to determine a borrower's creditworthiness, anyone without a mobile phone could potentially find themselves rejected.

## **Digital footprints**

Bucks sees cases of lenders that are using data such as education or employment history as part of their models. By looking at an organisation's credit applications and associated outcomes, Bucks can see how alternative data is working "in the real world". Tarun Ramadorai, from Imperial College Business School and the Centre for Economic Policy Research, a think tank, has similar concerns with regards to how inclusive this newer data is. A consumer is more likely to want to hand over their "digital footprint" to their lender if that footprint is good, which in turn creates what Ramadorai calls a "massive censoring issue".

## No surprises

ING's Dodion says application of new data sources, modelling methods and technologies are crucial to developing better risk models, which holds clear potential advantages for consumers. He explained that more focus on transactions-based models can result in less bias and broader access to credit. The greater the amount of automation, the more efficient a bank becomes, which then allows it to offer more affordable terms to a wider range of customers. The more you automate, the more you can ensure you have priced your products correctly and remove the scope for any nasty surprises, such as a default. "Surprises are never good," he says, "not for a bank, not for a

Bundles | 13 May 2019

#### consumer and not for society."

The discussion was part of the Think Forward Initiative event "Fairness In Consumer Credit Markets" <u>held on 9 May in Lund, Sweden</u>. This was organised by Stefania Albanesi from the University of Pittsburgh and Tarun Ramadorai from Imperial College Business School.

The Think Forward Initiative seeks to bring together global experts to find out how and why people make financial decisions. Stefan van Woelderen, research lead of TFI, gave the audience an update on its latest achievements and expectations for the next few months. The Think Forward Initiative's goal is to find out how people make certain choices as individuals in their social and macro context, and help them make better ones. Its main partners are ING, the Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), Deloitte, Dell EMC, Dimension Data and Amazon Web Services. The wider TFI network consists of more than 200 different organisations (including universities, NGOs, companies, etc.) and more than 1,500 individuals, of which almost half are researchers.

#### **Author**

#### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

#### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist <u>alissa.lefebre@ing.com</u>

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780 <u>ruben.dewitte@ing.com</u>

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@inq.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

#### marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### **Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China <a href="mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com">lynn.song@asia.ing.com</a>

#### Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

#### This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate jesse.norcross@ing.com

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition teise.stellema@ing.com

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare <a href="mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com">diederik.stadig@ing.com</a>

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

#### diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

#### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### Sophie Smith

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

#### **Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research coco.zhang@ing.com

#### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist

samuel.abettan@ing.com

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@inq.com

#### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist timothy.rahill@ing.com

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland leszek.kasek@ing.pl

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy <a href="mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com">antoine.bouvet@ing.com</a>

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@inq.com

#### Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

**FX Strategist** 

francesco.pesole@ing.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

#### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

<u>laura.Straeter@ing.com</u>

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### **James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@inq.com

20

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri thijs.geijer@ing.com

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors <u>maurice.van.sante@ing.com</u>

#### Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

#### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

#### **Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### **Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey <a href="mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr">muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr</a>

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas <a href="mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com">padhraic.garvey@ing.com</a>

#### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Bundles | 13 May 2019 22

## Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro carsten.brzeski@ing.de

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 <u>viraj.patel@ing.com</u>

#### **Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

#### Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

#### Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

#### Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

#### Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance +44 20 7767 5306 carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com Article | 10 May 2019 Japan

## Japan: Mixed messages

In Japan, the macro picture paints a bit of a muddy picture. Both investment and net trade don't really help us much with the outlook for the rest of the year, and we aren't particularly sure whether the anticipated 1Q19 GDP (around 1%) would be a good or bad result



## No questions answered

From a purely macro perspective, the last month has answered no questions about the trajectory of Japan's economy. Indeed, to the contrary, the picture is less clear than it has been for some time.

We are approaching the release of 1Q19 GDP data, which means that we have near-complete, and semi-complete high-frequency data for most of the subcomponents of GDP, as well as for inflation. The story is as follows:

1Q19 GDP may not look too bad at first glance; we are looking for something around 1.1% at a seasonally adjusted annualised rate. That would follow a 1.9% rate in 4Q18, so looks decent, albeit perhaps indicative of a slight moderation in pace.


But Japanese GDP can't really be taken so literally, and is also prone to huge revisions, so aside from saying it is likely to be slower in 1Q19 than 4Q18, we can't confidently say much more at this stage.

## Many mixed signals

Within this total are some real "head-scratchers". For example, private consumer spending looks as if it could register a healthy 2% pace. But cash earnings, which had been providing some lift to the household sector, have recently crashed to low sub-1% levels, and this does not look sustainable.

On the investment side, residential investment seems to be undergoing something of a boom, prompting questions about the Bank of Japan's purchasing of real estate investment trusts (JREITS). Business investment in plant, machinery and non-residential construction looks terrible in contrast, so the net investment picture will be determined by a largely unforecastable horse race between these two components.

## Business investment (in GDP) and machine orders



## Don't expect any big economic rebound

Net trade is another source of considerable uncertainty. We know that exports have been badly hit by the slowdown in global trade, the US-China trade war and the global tech slump, not to mention the slump in the auto sector. What we can't say with any precision is how this plays off against what also looks to have been a very weak quarter for imports, and whether this has led to a surge in inventories, or has been accompanied by destocking from previous inventory accumulation. We have opted for the latter, but inventories are often calculated residually by statistical agencies, so we have no confidence that this will actually turn out as forecast.

Exports are very weak, but net trade is harder to call, or how this impacts inventories

Putting this all together, it is very hard to characterise whether 1.1% is a good or a bad result for Japan, even if this is close to the figure that eventually gets published. It certainly doesn't set the economy up for a big rebound in 2Q19, but it isn't particularly worrying either.

Inflation did nudge a little higher in March, though this coincided with a further tweak to Bank of

Bundles | 13 May 2019 25

Japan (BoJ) forward guidance that to paraphrase, guarantees no tightening of policy until at least Spring 2020, and probably not then either. This, according to BoJ was to offset misperceptions about their intentions. We don't believe there were any. But in any case, it won't help the BoJ achieve their inflation target, and even they seem to have given up on it if recent economic projections are to be taken at face value.

This article forms part of our Monthly Economic Update which you can find here

#### **Author**

#### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

#### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist alissa.lefebre@ing.com

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780 ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee kinga.havasi@ing.com

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### **Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing sander.burgers@ing.com

Bundles | 13 May 2019 26

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China lynn.song@asia.ing.com

#### Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

#### This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### **Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate jesse.norcross@ing.com

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition <u>teise.stellema@ing.com</u>

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare <a href="mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com">diederik.stadig@ing.com</a>

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

#### **Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

#### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### Sophie Smith

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

#### **Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research <a href="mailto:coco.zhang@ing.com">coco.zhang@ing.com</a>

#### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure <u>Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com</u>

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@inq.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist <a href="mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com">samuel.abettan@ing.com</a>

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@inq.com">rebecca.byrne@inq.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@inq.com

#### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist timothy.rahill@ing.com

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland <a href="mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl">leszek.kasek@ing.pl</a>

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy antoine.bouvet@ing.com

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS <a href="mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de">dmitry.dolgin@ing.de</a>

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.inq.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst egor.fedorov@ing.com

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist <a href="mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de">sebastian.franke@ing.de</a>

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy <a href="mailto:nadeqe.tillier@ing.com">nadeqe.tillier@ing.com</a>

#### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist +31(0)611172684 laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania valentin.tataru@ing.com

#### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <a href="mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com">suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</a>

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri thijs.geijer@ing.com

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors <u>maurice.van.sante@ing.com</u>

#### Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

#### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

#### **Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### **Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary <a href="peter.virovacz@ing.com">peter.virovacz@ing.com</a>

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de">inga.fechner@ing.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey <a href="mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr">muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr</a>

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.qarvey@ing.com

#### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro <u>carsten.brzeski@ing.de</u>

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 viraj.patel@ing.com

#### **Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

#### Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

#### Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

#### Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

#### Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance +44 20 7767 5306 carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Bundles | 13 May 2019 33

Snap | 10 May 2019 United States

# Fed rate cuts unlikely despite US inflation miss

The latest downside surprise on US inflation won't help resolve market pessimism towards the Fed policy outlook. But with wage pressures continuing to build, we think a rate cut is much less likely than markets currently think



Source: Shutterstock

## US inflation back to target, but it won't help market pessimism

US headline CPI has nudged back up to the 2% target for the first time since November, helped along by the 30% rise in gasoline prices since the low at the start of the year. But the fact that this data came in below expectations will do little to assuage ongoing market concerns about inflation. That said, there are a few key reasons why we think market pessimism on the Fed rate outlook is misplaced.

Firstly, as Chair Jerome Powell noted in his recent press conference, there are a few CPI components that are temporarily keeping a lid on overall inflation. For instance, apparel alone is knocking almost 0.1ppt off the headline rate, which is partly down to some recent methodology changes. Financial services costs have also been a bit of a drag. While the collective of all of this isn't massive, it's enough to keep both core and headline inflation around 0.2-0.3ppts lower than they might otherwise have been.

## A rate cut is less likely than markets are pricing

More broadly, we expect the strength in wage growth to gradually exert upward pressure on core inflation over coming months. Tightness in the jobs market is causing firms to lift pay more rapidly to retain/attract staff, and according to the Fed's Beige Book, corporates are increasingly looking at other non-wage benefits too (extra vacation, pension/health benefits, sign-on bonuses etc).

To us, all of this suggests that the market may be a little too relaxed when it comes to inflation. Investors are currently pricing at least one rate cut over the next 12 months, but despite the latest increase in trade uncertainty, we think this is unlikely to materialise as things stand. Given the robust activity story, inflation backdrop and recent improvement in financial conditions, we think it is more likely that the Fed remains on hold for the foreseeable future.

#### **Author**

James Smith
Developed Markets Economist, UK
james.smith@ing.com

Opinion | 9 May 2019 United States

# If it's just the economy, stupid, Trump's in a good position for 2020

Swing States win elections, and given the strong economic performance of the big 5, any challenger is immediately going to be on the defensive



President Donald Trump at a rally in Florida, 8 May

## The economy, stupid?

In our <u>US Politics Watch: Presidential election 2020 and beyond</u> report, we highlighted what we felt were the five key swing states that will determine who wins the Presidency - all of which went to President Trump in 2016. Michigan, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Florida and Arizona in total have 86 electoral college votes (out of 538) and for Donald Trump to win re-election we think he would need 50 of those 86, assuming the states accounting for the other 452 vote as widely expected. We believe the Democratic candidate would need to pick up 38 of the 86 for victory. Bearing in mind the campaign mantra "it's the economy, stupid", first coined by Bill Clinton's strategist, James Carville, this will be a tough challenge for the Democrats.

Donald Trump has a strong platform for his re-election campaign

Of course, economic performance is not the only factor that determines how people vote, but

Bundles | 13 May 2019 36

looking at the numbers for these key swing states, it gives Donald Trump a strong platform for his re-election campaign. All five of these swing states were in the top half of the 2018 growth league. If better-than-median GDP growth was what purely drove the Presidential election result, carrying Arizona, Florida and Michigan would give President Trump the electoral college votes needed, even if he lost Pennsylvania and Wisconsin to the Democratic candidate.

As for employment, all 50 states have experienced job gains since President Trump's inauguration. Arizona and Florida are both in the top 10 states for employment growth, but while Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin lag well behind this can largely be explained by slower population growth. Importantly, all five have seen sizeable declines in their unemployment rates.

We are still a long way from 3 November 2020 and the situation could change, but given the strong economic performance of these swing states and the fact that equity markets are at all-time highs any challenger to Trump is going to start their campaign on the defensive. As such a challenger may need to focus more of their initial campaigning on healthcare, environmental policy, regulation of big tech and identity politics to convince the electorate that political change is required.

# The Five swing states that hold the key to the 2020 election



Source: ING Oxford Analytica

# Swing States are key

The President is not technically elected by voters, but by what is called the Electoral College. Each state is allocated a number of members based on how many members are in its Congressional delegation with each state deciding for itself how its votes are apportioned in the Electoral College.

The majority of states use a winner takes all approach. For example, this means that although Donald Trump won 49% of the vote in Florida at the 2016 election while Hillary Clinton won 47.8%, all of Florida's 29 votes in the Electoral College (the same as the 27 House members plus the two Senators) went to Trump.

Although Clinton received three million more votes than Trump across the country, Trump won in the Electoral College by 306 votes to 232. Therefore, the election is ultimately not determined by national trends, but by the trends that are most salient in the 'swing states', those that can swing back and forth between the parties and deliver the election.


# Swing states outperform

The US economy expanded 2.9% last year and as the illustration from the Bureau of Economic Analysis below shows, western states clearly outperformed. Only one state saw an economic contraction and that was Alaska, reflecting a lagged response to the oil price slump. But given Alaska last backed a Democrat Presidential candidate at the 1964 electoral college and oil prices have risen sharply in recent months it would be difficult to bet against it going to the Republicans again in 2020.

Only one state saw an economic contraction and that was Alaska

Washington was the best performer, growing 5.7%, well ahead of second place Utah on 4.3%, but looking at the swing states the news is rather mixed at first glance. Arizona and Florida were two of the top performing states ranking 4th and 5th out of the 50 states with growth of 4% and 3.5% respectively. Michigan is broadly in line with the national average, having grown 2.7%, but Wisconsin (2.5%) and Pennsylvania (2.1%) were a little weaker. Nonetheless, Wisconsin still came in as the 17th fastest growing state while Pennsylvania scraped into the top half of the table by being ranked 24th. The New England states, the Mideast states and the Plains all underperformed, averaging 2% growth.

# US GDP growth by State




Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis

Bundles | 13 May 2019 38

## Jobs: everyone is a winner

In terms of employment, every single state has seen job gains since President Trump's inauguration in 2017. As the chart below shows, Arizona and Florida are near the top of the list for performance once again, which is understandable given the robust growth seen in those states, but Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin lag well behind. Nonetheless, it is important to point out that these are still strong performances by historical standards, especially when we look at population changes in US states. Arizona and Florida have seen large inward migration which is creating more economic activity while also generating a greater pool of labour - Arizona's population increased 1.7% last year and Florida's rose 1.45%, whereas Pennsylvania's population increased 0.1%, Michigan's grew just 0.2% and Wisconsin grew 0.4%, according to the Census Bureau.

# **Employment growth since January 2017**



Therefore to get a more complete picture of the dynamics within the local labour markets we also need to look at the unemployment rates. These show that Arizona' unemployment rate fell 0.2 percentage points since President Trump came to power, while Wisconsin's fell 0.7 percentage points, Michigan 0.9pp, Florida's dropped 1.1pp and Pennsylvania's fell 1.3pp versus a national decline of 0.9pp.

# Key economic performance indicators of the swing states

Swing State	Electoral College Votes	2018 GDP growth %	'17-19 Employment growth %	'17-19 unemployment rate change ppt					
Arizona	11	4.0	5.8	-0.2					
Florida	29	3.5	4.8	-1.1					
Michigan	16	2.7	2.0	-1.0					
Pennsylvania	20	2.1	2.1	-1.3					
Wisconsin	10	2.5	1.5	-0.7					
USA	538	2.9	3.5	-0.9					

Source: ING, BEA, BLS

Bundles | 13 May 2019

# Trump in the driving seat... for now...

While obviously recognising there are a number of factors that determine how people vote, the economic backdrop right now in the key swing states offers good news for President Trump. All five key swing states are growing faster than the median (if not the national average of 2.9%) and all five have seen employment grow and unemployment fall since President Trump took office. Pennsylvania, Wisconsin and Michigan have all seen slower employment growth than the US as a whole, but they have also experienced slower population growth, meaning that unemployment rates have clearly fallen.

Wisconsin appears to be the weakest performing economically of the five. It accounts for 10 electoral college votes and is clearly going to be a primary target for the Democrats, but they will need another 28 electoral college votes. Florida on its own will give them 29, but they would likely have to focus on non-economic issues given the rapid GDP growth and the strong jobs' performance. Pennsylvania and Michigan have not been as strong economically, but again they are better than average and barring a downturn, the Democrats will likely need to make a broader case for political change.

Given the importance of the economy to election outcomes we will be providing regular updates on these state-by-state figures in addition to other updates in partnership with Oxford Analytica.

#### **Author**

James Knightley
Chief International Economist, US
james.knightley@ing.com

Article | 13 May 2019 FX | FX Talking

# **FX Talking: Trading blows**

The re-opening of the US-China trade war has come as a surprise. We suspect a deal will be concluded in 3Q19, but until then, investors look set to play it safe



Source: Shutterstock

#### Yen in favour

The uncertain risk backdrop should see the Japanese yen outperform across the board and we expect a continuation of the dovish re-pricing in open economies. It looks too early to re-buy into emerging markets FX. The motives for the Chinese reneging on its part of the trade deal are uncertain at this stage. Are they prompted by a little 'fine-tuning' or a more fundamental reassessment of Trump's tolerance for a total trade war? Until this becomes clearer we expect investors, who have so far enjoyed a strong year of returns, to turn more cautious. With its negative correlation to equities and its lack of correlation with the renminbi, we expect the Japanese yen to perform well over the near term. We favour the JPY over the dollar, as the latter could prove a little vulnerable if US equity markets were to correct.

#### Risks to the euro

We still think there is a window for EUR/USD to trade to 1.10 this summer. The renewed trade conflict risks stamping on the green shoots of the eurozone recovery. US tariffs on auto imports and European elections pose two further risks to the euro in May.

41

# Central and eastern Europe re-assessed

Elsewhere, we expect positive trends in CE4 FX to be re-assessed. A poor performance by the ruling PiS in European elections could raise fears of fiscal profligacy in Poland. Hungarian rates look far too low given near 4% CPI. We think the bull trend in the Czech koruna will reverse now that the hiking cycle is over. And the Romanian leu looks to be living on borrowed time.

You can read the full report here.

ING FX forec	asts							
	EUR/	USD	USD/	JPY	GBP/USD			
1M	1.11	<b>4</b>	108	4	1.28	<b>1</b>		
3M	1.10	<b>↓</b>	110	<b>↑</b>	1.26	1		
6M	1.15	<b>↑</b>	108	$\rightarrow$	1.31	1		
12M	1.17	<b>↑</b>	105	<b>1</b>	1.38	<b>↑</b>		
	EUR/	GBP	EUR/	CZK	EUR/	PLN		
1M	0.87	<b>↑</b>	25.70	<b>↑</b>	4.32	1		
3M	0.87	<b>↑</b>	25.80	<b>↑</b>	4.28	<b>+</b>		
6M	0.88	<b>↑</b>	25.90	<b>4</b>	4.31	<b>+</b>		
12M	0.85	<b>4</b>	26.30	<b>↑</b>	4.34	1		
	USD/	CNY	USD/N	MXN	USD/	BRL		
1M	6.75	<b>→</b>	19.00	4	4.00	<b>↑</b>		
3M	6.80	<b>→</b>	18.80	1	3.70	1		
6M	6.75	<b>4</b>	19.00	<b>+</b>	3.40	1		
12M	6.60	4	19.50	1	3.70	4		

<sup>&</sup>gt; / = / < indicates our forecast for the currency pair is above/in line with/below the corresponding market forward or NDF outright

Source: Bloomberg, ING

FX performance						
	EUR/USD	USD/JPY	EUR/GBP	EUR/NOK	NZD/USD	USD/CAD
%MoM	-0.1	-1.6	0.4	2.0	-2.4	0.7
%YoY	-5.4	0.2	-1.7	2.5	-5.4	4.5
	USD/UAH	USD/KZT	USD/BRL	USD/ARS	USD/CNY	USD/TRY
%MoM	-2.7	0.2	2.9	4.7	2.2	7.5
%YoY	-0.5	15.7	11.0	98.5	8.0	42.1

Source: Bloomberg, ING

#### **Author**

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

# May economic update: Just when things were looking up

Just as pockets of optimism had begun to emerge in the global economy, trade tensions are back, though we still think a US-China trade deal remains likely. But in the meantime, trade uncertainty, as well as risks relating to forthcoming European elections and Brexit, suggest that financial market caution is likely to stay with us for a little while longer



#### Trade tensions return

Just as pockets of optimism had begun to emerge in the global economy, trade tensions are back. President Trump has taken the trade war a step further with the imposition of fresh tariffs on China, which also raises questions about the possibility of auto tariffs. We still think a US-China deal remains likely, not least because President Trump will not want a damaged economy and stock market as he heads into a key election year. But in the meantime, trade uncertainty, as well as risks relating to forthcoming European elections and Brexit, suggest that financial market caution is likely to stay with us for a little while longer.

The re-emergence of US-China trade tensions has spooked financial markets, but ultimately we still think a deal is likely. Failure to get a deal, perhaps coupled with further tit-for-tat tariff hikes, would be harmful to both economies and would hit equities. Such an environment would be deeply damaging for President Trump's chances of re-election in 2020, so we suspect the latest move is designed to extract last-minute concessions from China, rather than a serious effort to trigger a larger global trade war.

However, stronger-than-expected growth in China following earlier fiscal and monetary stimulus provides its government with some extra chips when it comes to renegotiating. The negotiations concern much more besides trade – China's ability to export its 5G network, coupled with geopolitical tensions in the South China Sea, also matter.

# The US economy

Despite the ongoing uncertainty, the US economy continues to prove the doubters wrong, following another robust GDP growth figure for 1Q19. The economy is adding jobs in significant numbers, while financial conditions continue to improve thanks to rising equity markets, a strong and stable dollar and falling mortgage rates. Inflation could rise more quickly than the market anticipates given rising fuel costs and wage pressures emanating from the labour market. While financial markets continue to price in interest rate cuts, the Federal Reserve is maintaining a cautiously upbeat tone that signals stable monetary policy through 2019.

The Eurozone also saw stronger-than-expected first quarter growth, which was probably helped along by a normalisation of the exceptional factors that had held back growth in the second half of last year. But while a recession certainly doesn't look imminent, a growth acceleration also seems unlikely. The rise in April inflation was caused largely by calendar effects so there's not much sign of an upward trend. All this suggests the ECB will stay put for the time being.

# The UK's ongoing dilemmas

In the UK, there are good reasons to think that the Brexit deadlock won't be broken before the new October Article 50 deadline. Cross-party talks don't appear to be making much headway, while there remains a risk of a summer Conservative Party leadership battle. A further extension to the Article 50 period is perhaps more likely than not. But while we think a 'no deal' exit will be avoided, firms will have to continue making preparations and this will keep a lid on economic growth over the summer months.

May is typically a bad month for EUR/USD. 1.10 looks the target this summer as US data holds up well and the ECB contemplates the signals it wants to send with the TLTRO III in June. A surprise decline in the Renminbi would also shake up the low volatility mood.

While risk assets have rallied off earlier lows, the US 10-year yield has not managed to budge much from the 2.5% area. However, the positive macro-story in the US, coupled with the fact that a Fed rate cut remains some way off, suggests the balance of risks are slowly swinging back in the direction of a test higher for market rates.

#### ING global forecasts

	2017 20:						2018F								2020F					
	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	FY	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	FY	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	FY	1Q	2Q	3Q	4Q	F
United States GDP (% QoQ, ann) CPI headline (% YoY) Federal funds (%, eop) <sup>1</sup> 3-month interest rate (%, eop) 10-year interest rate (%, eop) Fiscal blance (% of SDP) Fiscal thrust (% of GDP) Fiscal thrust (% of GDP)	1.8 2.6 0.75 1.15 2.40		2.8 2.0 1.00 1.35 2.30	2.3 2.1 1.25 1.55 2.40	2.2 2.1 1.25 1.55 2.40 -3.5 0.0 76.1	2.2 2.7 1.50 2.30 3.00	4.2 2.7 1.75 2.35 3.00	2.45	2.6 2.2 2.25 2.65 2.80	2.9 2.4 2.25 2.65 2.80 -4.0 1.1 76.7	3.2 1.6 2.25 2.60 2.30	1.8 2.2 2.25 2.60 2.50	1.7 2.2 2.25 2.60 2.60	1.8 2.4 2.25 2.60 2.45	2.5 2.1 2.25 2.60 2.45 -4.5 0.4 78.5	1.8 2.8 2.25 2.60 2.35	1.8 2.2 2.25 2.60 2.30		1.6 2.2 2.25 2.40 2.20	2.40
Eurozone GDP (% 000, ann) CPI headline (% 10°) Refi minimum bid rate (%, eop) 3-month interest rate (%, eop) 10-year interest rate (%, eop) 11-year interest rate (%, eop) Fiscal bidnare (% of GDP) Fiscal bidnare (% of GDP) Fiscal bid (% of GDP) Fiscal bid (% of GDP)	2.7 1.5 0.00 -0.33 0.45	2.7 1.3 0.00 -0.33 0.40	-0.33	2.7 1.4 0.00 -0.33 0.42		-0.33	-0.33		-0.33	-0.33	-0.32	1.2 1.3 0.00 -0.30 0.10	-0.30	-0.30	-0.30	-0.30		-0.30	1.0 1.6 0.00 -0.30 0.15	-0.30
Japan GDP (% QoQ, ann) GDP (% QoQ, ann) CPI headline (% YoY) Excess reserve rate (%) 3-month interest rate (%, eop) 10-year interest rate (%, eop) Fiscal balance (% of GDP) Gross public debt/GDP (%)	1.9 0.2 -0.1 0.00 0.10	2.3 0.4 -0.1 0.00 0.10	1.6 0.6 -0.1 0.00 0.10	1.3 0.6 -0.1 0.00 0.10	1.9 0.5 0.0 0.00 0.10 -4.8 221	-0.4 1.3 -0.1 0.00 0.10		-0.05	1.9 0.9 -0.1 -0.05 0.10	0.8 1.0 0.0 -0.05 0.10 -4.1 223	1.1 0.3 -0.1 0.05 0.00	0.8 0.8 -0.1 0.05 0.00	-0.1 0.4 -0.1 0.00 0.00	1.0 0.1 -0.1 0.00 0.00	0.6 0.4 0.0 0.00 0.00 -3.6 224	0.8 0.6 -0.1 0.00 0.00	0.6 0.6 -0.1 0.10 0.00	0.7 0.8 -0.1 0.10 0.00	0.8 1.0 -0.1 0.10 0.00	
China GDP (% YoY) CPI headline (% YoY) PBOC 7-day reverse repo rate (% eop) 10-year 7-bond yield (%, eop) Fiscal bolance (% of GDP) Public debt, inc local govt (% GDP)	6.9 1.4 2.45 3.30	6.9 1.4 2.45 3.55	6.8 1.6 2.45 3.60	6.8 1.8 2.50 3.90	6.9 1.6 2.50 3.90 -3.7 50.0	6.8 2.2 2.55 3.75	6.7 1.8 2.55 3.50		6.3 2.5 2.55 3.30	6.6 2.2 2.55 3.30 -2.6 88.0	6.4 1.8 2.55 3.05	6.2 2.5 2.55 3.20	6.3 2.6 2.55 3.00	6.3 2.6 2.55 2.95	6.3 2.4 2.55 2.95 -4.5 102	6.3 2.6 2.55 2.95	6.2 2.6 2.55 2.90	6.2 2.5 2.55 2.90	6.2 2.4 2.55 2.85	
UK GDP (% QoQ, ann) (P) headline (% YoY) BOE Official bank rate (%, eop) BOE Official bank rate (%, eop) S-month interest rate (%, eop) Fiscal balance (% of GDP) Fiscal brust (% of GDP) Gross public debt/GDP (%)	1.3 2.1 0.25 445 0.35 1.15	1.0 2.7 0.25 445 0.35 1.10	1.9 2.8 0.25 445 0.35 1.35	1.6 3.0 0.50 445 0.50 1.20	1.5 2.7 0.50 445 0.50 1.20 -2.5 -0.5 87.0	445 0.60	445 0.80	445	0.9 2.3 0.75 445 0.80 1.30	1.4 2.5 0.75 445 0.80 1.30 -1.4 -0.4 84.0	2.0 1.9 0.75 445 0.85 1.00	0.9 2.1 0.75 445 0.85 1.20	1.2 1.9 0.75 445 0.85 1.35	445 0.85	1.5 2.0 0.75 445 0.85 1.40 -1.5 -0.4 83.0	445 1.05	445 1.10	445 1.30	1.1 2.0 1.25 445 1.35 1.50	1.35
EUR/USD (eop) USD/JPY (eop) USD/CNY (eop) EUR/GBP (eop)	1.08 112 6.89 0.87	1.12 115 6.78 0.88	1.20 110 6.65 0.94	113 6.51	1.20 113 6.51 0.89	107 6.28	110 6.67	114 6.87	1.12 113 6.88 0.90	1.12 113 6.88 0.90	1.12 112 6.74 0.85		1.12 110 6.80 0.88	1.15 108 6.75 0.86	108 6.75	105 6.70	1.17 103 6.60 0.85	102 6.70	1.20 100 6.70 0.85	100 6.70
Brent Crude (US\$/bbl, avg)	55	51	52	61	55	67	75	76	69	72	64	68	69	73	69	70	74	76	74	7

 $^{1}\!\text{Lower level of 25bp range; 3-month interest rate forecast based on interbank rates Source: ING forecasts$ 

Source, III Torceas

Source: ING

#### Author

#### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

#### **Mateusz Sutowicz**

Senior Economist, Poland mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

#### Alissa Lefebre

Economist <u>alissa.lefebre@ing.com</u>

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific <u>Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com</u>

#### **Ruben Dewitte**

Economist +32495364780

#### ruben.dewitte@ing.com

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee <a href="mailto:kinga.havasi@ing.com">kinga.havasi@ing.com</a>

#### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands marten.van.garderen@ing.com

#### **David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic 420 770 321 486 david.havrlant@ing.com

#### Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing <a href="mailto:sander.burgers@ing.com">sander.burgers@ing.com</a>

#### Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China lynn.song@asia.ing.com

#### Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist michiel.tukker@ing.com

#### Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

#### This is a test author

#### Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania <a href="mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com">tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com</a>

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### **Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate jesse.norcross@ing.com

#### Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

#### teise.stellema@ing.com

#### Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare <a href="mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com">diederik.stadig@ing.com</a>

#### Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

#### Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials marine.leleux2@ing.com

#### Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist <a href="mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com">ewa.manthey@ing.com</a>

#### **ING Analysts**

#### James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist James.wilson@ing.com

#### Sophie Smith

Digital Editor sophie.smith@ing.com

#### Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist <a href="mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com">frantisek.taborsky@ing.com</a>

#### **Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland adam.antoniak@ing.pl

#### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

#### Coco Zhang

ESG Research coco.zhang@ing.com

#### Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

#### Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

#### Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

#### Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist <a href="mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com">samuel.abettan@ing.com</a>

#### Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany <u>Franziska.Marie.Biehl@inq.de</u>

#### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst <a href="mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com">rebecca.byrne@ing.com</a>

#### Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands) mirjam.bani@inq.com

#### Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist timothy.rahill@ing.com

#### Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland leszek.kasek@ing.pl

#### Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

#### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy <a href="mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com">antoine.bouvet@ing.com</a>

#### Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

#### **Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare <a href="mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com">edse.dantuma@ing.com</a>

#### Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

#### Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics Rico.Luman@ing.com

#### Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

#### **Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@inq.de

#### Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

#### **Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

#### Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

#### Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

#### Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy <a href="mailto:nadeqe.tillier@ing.com">nadeqe.tillier@ing.com</a>

### Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland <a href="mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com">charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com</a>

#### Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist +31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

#### Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@inq.com

#### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK <u>james.smith@ing.com</u>

#### Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials <u>suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com</u>

#### Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri <a href="mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com">thijs.geijer@ing.com</a>

#### Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors maurice.van.sante@inq.com

#### Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands marcel.klok@ing.com

#### Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

#### Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

#### Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research marieke.blom@ing.com

#### **Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist raoul.leering@ing.com

#### Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios maarten.leen@ing.com

#### Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy <u>Maureen.Schuller@ing.com</u>

#### **Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

51

#### Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland rafal.benecki@ing.pl

#### Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg <a href="mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com">philippe.ledent@ing.com</a>

#### Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary peter.virovacz@ing.com

#### Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade <a href="mailto:inqa.fechner@inq.de">inqa.fechner@inq.de</a>

#### **Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands <u>Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com</u>

#### Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania +40 31 406 8990 ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

#### **Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

#### Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China iris.pang@asia.ing.com

#### Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research +44 20 7767 6209 Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

#### Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas padhraic.garvey@ing.com

#### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US <u>james.knightley@ing.com</u>

#### **Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist

#### +65 6232-6020

#### Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist +31 20 563 8801 martin.van.vliet@ing.com

#### Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

#### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro carsten.brzeski@ing.de

#### Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist +44 20 7767 6405 viraj.patel@ing.com

#### **Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content +44 (0) 207 767 5331 owen.thomas@ing.com

#### Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands bert.colijn@ing.com

#### Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone <a href="mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com">peter.vandenhoute@ing.com</a>

#### Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist benjamin.schroder@ing.com

#### **Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE <a href="mailto:chris.turner@ing.com">chris.turner@ing.com</a>

#### Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM +1 646 424 6464 gustavo.rangel@ing.com

#### Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance

#### +44 20 7767 5306

carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

#### **Disclaimer**

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.