

# What to expect from the Bank of England today as Brexit noise grows?

## In this bundle



United Kingdom

### Bank of England: Expect renewed caution as chances of November stimulus grow

With November action from the Bank of England looking ever-more-likely, we'll be watching closely to see if policymakers offer up any further clues on...

By James Smith



### UK Economic and Brexit Update

With a trade deal hanging in the balance, we examine the key sticking points in UK-EU negotiations, areas for possible compromise and ramifications for...

By James Smith



FX | United Kingdom

### Listen: A no deal Brexit is no 'cliff edge' for the UK economy (but it won't help)

Concern that Britain could leave the EU without a trade deal has weighed on the pound this week. But how much difference would a trade deal really make?...

By James Smith and Rebecca Byrne

## Bank of England: Expect renewed caution as chances of November stimulus grow

With November action from the Bank of England looking ever-more-likely, we'll be watching closely to see if policymakers offer up any further clues on which tools they're most likely to use. The message of recent weeks suggests QE remains the preferred tool, although negative rates can't be ruled out either over the coming months



### The list of economic challenges is growing

The list of challenges facing the Bank of England (and the Treasury) is growing.

Brexit is back with a bang, and there's a growing risk that the transition period will end with no trade agreement in place between the UK and the EU. With-or-without a deal, there will be new costs for businesses, and that will affect some sectors that have so far been less-impacted by the pandemic (agriculture is a good example).

But without a trade agreement in place, there's unlikely to be many measures to mitigate the potential disruption at the ports, and the overall impact is likely to drag on the UK's post-Covid recovery.

*Unemployment is likely to grow through the autumn, and potentially more than the central bank's forecasts back in August, which was at 7.5%*

One consequence is that it could add further downside to the jobs market. Unemployment is likely to grow through the autumn, and potentially more than the central bank's forecasts back in August (7.5%). Exactly where the jobless rate peaks will in large part depend on whether the Treasury adds targeted support to industries that are still unable to open due to Covid-19.

The implication is that the economy is likely to take longer to recover than the Bank had previously anticipated. We think it may not be until late-2022 or beyond until all of the lost output has been regained. The BoE's August forecasts assume this would happen by the end of 2021.

## Two things to expect this week


While it's unlikely the Bank will rock the boat too much this week, there are two interesting questions.

Firstly, will policymakers acknowledge that the downside risks to their August forecasts are growing? Certainly, some MPC members have been sounding more cautious in recent weeks.


And if so, secondly, will the Bank offer any clues as to how it might increase the level of stimulus in November? Despite the recent hype surrounding negative rates, Governor Andrew Bailey has indicated that he believes quantitative easing (QE) is a more useful marginal policy tool, and this is likely to be at the centre of the stimulus package we expect in the autumn.

On interest rates, there was a lot of discussion about the pros and cons of negative rates in the last monetary policy report, but the Bank is clearly still on the fence about how useful they might prove to be. In the first instance, we suspect policymakers will look to lower the interest rate on the Term-Funding Scheme, which incentivises lending to SMEs. However full-blown negative rates are also a clear possibility over coming months, particularly if the economic outlook were to worsen materially.

## Three ING scenarios for the UK economy



## ING's new scenarios explained



Source: ING

## Rates reaction: Brexit noise and higher QE probability

The near-term outlook for gilt yields is more likely to be driven by any Brexit-related flight to quality flow than central bank action. This is because with about one and a half month before the all-important November meeting, odds of leaving the EU without a trade deal in place have time to swing about quite significantly. Nevertheless, Thursday's meeting will be key in shaping expectations of further easing in November, and what form it would take.

---

*Our long-term monetary gilt model highlights that the highest probability-scenario is also the least impactful*


---

We think the market consensus is quickly converging towards answering the first question, whether to add easing in November, in the affirmative. This is not to say a dovish rates reaction to the BOE is impossible, but the bar is high, and it will largely depend on the MPC's easing preference.

Inputting two different scenarios to our long-term monetary gilt model highlights that the highest probability-scenario is also the least impactful.

In light of recent MPC communication, markets have shifted back to QE being the most likely easing tool in the near future. This explains in part why an additional £100bn of QE would only shift 10-year gilt 'monetary FV' lower by 20p by the end of 2021. More importantly, perhaps, this outcome on its own would not amount to a change in dynamic for GBP rates, with the floor holding firm around 0%.

## Gilt yields have much more downside if the BOE goes negative than if it adds to QE



Source: Bloomberg, ING

## Negative interest rates: A low-probability, high impact scenario

A negative interest rates policy (NIRP) on the other hand would have far-reaching implications.

The first-order effect of a cut to -0.25% would be to remove the 0% floor under gilt yields due to a commensurate drop in repo rates. In this scenario, the impact would be 10-year gilt yields dipping below 0% for much of 2021. More importantly, the removal of the 0% floor means markets would feel justified in pricing a non-zero probability of rates dropping further and would push further away from the date of the first expected hike.

In that respect, our NIRP scenario above would probably be a first step towards a more significant downward shift in GBP curves.

In short, the debate on further monetary easing is adding downward risk to a very shallow recovery in GBP interest rates.

If recent communication is any guide, the central bank will signal that QE remains the marginal tool of choice which should translate into a small downward move in gilt yields, likely to be drowned out by day-to-day Brexit noise. A preference for negative interest rates, though less likely, would require a much sharper drop in rates, not least because it would leave investors guessing the ultimate floor under the Bank of England's bank rate.

## Author

### **James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

### **Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy

[antoine.bouvet@ing.com](mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com)

---

Article | 15 September 2020

# UK Economic and Brexit Update

With a trade deal hanging in the balance, we examine the key sticking points in UK-EU negotiations, areas for possible compromise and ramifications for the British economy



Source: Shutterstock

## Key takeaways

- **Brexit:** A deal is now at best a 50:50 probability. The key factor will be whether the Internal Market Bill makes its way through the Commons and Lords successfully. If so, the EU is highly unlikely to sign a free-trade agreement with the UK given the lack of trust, and threat of withdrawal agreement breach. However a deal shouldn't be completely ruled out, and is still possible if the bill fails/is watered down, but would require the UK to reluctantly relax its red line on state aid. It's clear the government won't do a deal at any cost.
- **Economy:** We expect a 17% jump in UK GDP in the third quarter, but this will still leave the economy some 8% below pre-virus levels. The recovery looks set to stall with unemployment expected to rise to 8-9% by year-end.
- **Bank of England:** Further QE round is likely in November. Negative rates are still a possibility, but we think policymakers are more likely to lower the rate on the Term Funding Scheme for SMEs as a first step.
- **Covid-19:** The UK's testing system has been comparatively stronger than many in Europe on a per capita basis. This has helped to keep transmission contained over the summer although cracks are starting to appear. For now, the government is likely to prioritise limiting gatherings over re-closing parts of the economy as cases begin to rise.
- **Markets:** Despite a slide recent sterling slide, a lack of risk-premium embedded into EUR/GBP and neutral speculative positioning suggests further downside potential. 'No deal' could see EUR/GBP head above this year's high of 0.95 and potentially toward parity.


## Is a deal still possible? At best, we think it's 50:50 now...

- The bold nature of the Internal Market Bill has led many to conclude this is designed to inject pressure, or a sense of crisis, into talks to try and generate movement.
- It has drawn comparisons to 2019, when Parliament was prorogued (also ruled unlawful).
- But the move will reduce trust between negotiators. The EU won't agree to a new deal if there is any risk of the Withdrawal Agreement being breached, and may look at legal action if the bill is passed.
- Key question now is whether this legislation passes through Parliament. There is talk of amendments, although unlike last year the government has an ample majority in the House of Commons. It may, however, face trouble in the House of Lords.
- A deal is still possible, if the legislation fails to pass or is watered down. But even then it would require a compromise on 'state aid', and current UK rhetoric suggests it is highly reluctant to do so.
- If the bill passes, then it looks increasingly likely that 'no deal' will be the most likely scenario.

### What is the Internal Market Bill and why has it come about?

- State aid has long been the key dividing issue in negotiations. The UK wants full control to support industries in future. The EU wants reassurance, perhaps in the form of an independent regulator, that the UK won't abuse these powers and put European firms at a disadvantage.
- However the Northern Ireland Protocol (i.e. the backstop) says the UK must abide by EU state aid rules where it might affect NI-EU trade - something that is potentially far reaching.
- The UK government is therefore proposing to give ministers power to override this requirement, as well as on export summary declarations for goods leaving NI, and on the need for tariff payments on goods crossing the Irish sea.
- This is widely interpreted as a breach of international law

## Trade timeline: Key dates surrounding UK-EU negotiations



## What needs to happen for a deal to be done?

Issue	Possible compromise	Likelihood of agreement
<b>State aid</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Brussels drops insistence that the UK signs up to EU state aid rules with ECJ oversight permanently.</li> <li>• Britain sets up independent regulator to enforce a set of limits/rules on UK state aid, that EU leaders are satisfied won't lead to unfair competition.</li> <li>• This will take time to set-up, so in the meantime the EU may insist on a transition where UK continues to follow its rules with ECJ oversight</li> </ul>	<p><b>Questionable given Internal Market Bill</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UK adamant it wants control over state aid, and has gone further by proposing to override these provisions in the Withdrawal Agreement.</li> </ul>
<b>Fishing</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Both sides drop initial proposals (EU maintaining status quo, UK wanting control over its waters).</li> <li>• It'll be a question of quotas, and how often these are renegotiated in future years.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Possible – but only if wider deal reached</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• UK exports majority of its fish, while EU fishing communities rely on access to UK waters.</li> <li>• A deal makes economic sense for both sides but would likely be the last thing to be agreed</li> </ul>
<b>Equivalence for financial services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 'Enhanced' equivalence regime unlikely (the EU would need to offer this to other FTA partners)</li> <li>• The EU has signalled flux in its own rules may mean an equivalence assessment covering all areas may not be possible this year.</li> </ul>	<p><b>Likely if there's a deal – although scope unclear</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• While this is largely a technical, and unilateral, EU matter, agreement on a wider FTA would likely add political impetus for equivalence to be resolved.</li> </ul>
<b>Other issues</b>	<p><b>Governance</b></p> <p>UK uneasy about EU proposal for one overarching deal, enabling future sanctions that could link different areas</p> <p><b>Border bureaucracy</b></p> <p>Transit rights for lorry drivers, lower frequency of checks (eg for agri/animal), trusted trade schemes etc</p>	<p><b>Data sharing</b></p> <p>Data adequacy ruling likely, but concerns about future legal challenges</p>



## What does the new UK-EU relationship mean for 2021 growth?

We are unlikely to see a Covid-19 style collapse in GDP at the start of 2021. We'd need to see consumer spending fall for this to happen, and that's unlikely to be a direct consequence of the transition period ending.

But unlike the pandemic, Brexit is a more permanent drag on UK activity. Higher costs will hit firms, and this will compound the damage done by Covid-19 (see examples to the right).

The effect is likely to be a slower recovery relative to comparable European peers. It could also put additional strain on unemployment if the challenges broaden out to a wider range of sectors than those directly hit by the pandemic. We think it is likely that unemployment will reach 9% by late 2020/early 2021

**Brexit will hit some sectors less affected so far by the pandemic**

Example: **Agriculture**

**Covid-19 impact:** Production fell by only 5% in the second quarter, compared to 20% for the wider economy

**Brexit impact:** Typically the highest tariffs (30%+ for dairy/meat). Subject to more intrusive (health) checks at borders compared to other goods

**For sectors already hard hit, Brexit will compound existing costs**

Example: **Car manufacturing**

**Covid-19 impact:** Production fell by 70% in second quarter


**Brexit impact:** 10% tariff if no FTA in place. But also vulnerable to disruption at the ports given just-in-time production. Could stockpile to compensate, but that's costly and many firms (especially SMEs in the supply chain) won't have resources

## Mapping the next phase of the economic recovery


Phase 1: Covid-19 spread before vaccine



Phase 2: Vaccine development and roll-out



## Three scenarios for the UK economy



## Author

**James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

# Listen: A no deal Brexit is no 'cliff edge' for the UK economy (but it won't help)

Concern that Britain could leave the EU without a trade deal has weighed on the pound this week. But how much difference would a trade deal really make? In this podcast, ING's Developed Markets Economist James Smith shares his thoughts



The UK government this week changed some of the provisions in its divorce agreement with the EU, putting strain on already tense relations with the bloc and threatening to undermine a trade deal. [In this podcast](#), ING's Developed Markets Economist James Smith tells Senior Editor Rebecca Byrne that whatever happens, disruption is on the way. But a sharp plunge in GDP is unlikely.

## Author

### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

### Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

[rebecca.byrne@ing.com](mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit [www.ing.com](http://www.ing.com).