

## Good MornING Asia - 9 September 2020

The tech (and other) stock sell-off continues. There is more talk of "risk-off", but this still feels more like an unwinding of overbought positions, rather than a generalised flight to safety

### In this bundle



#### The unwinding continues

The tech (and other) stock sell-off continues. There is more talk of "risk-off", but this still feels more like an unwinding of overbought...



#### South Korea

##### Korea: Riding the crest of wave #2

Relatively speaking, Korea has not had a bad pandemic, but the recent resurgence in cases in Seoul shows that until a vaccine becomes widespread, the...



#### Malaysia

##### Malaysia: Making our case for lower rates

Unlike most Asian central banks whose rate policies have almost reached the easing limit, Bank Negara Malaysia has some room to stay the course. And,...

## The unwinding continues

The tech (and other) stock sell-off continues. There is more talk of "risk-off", but this still feels more like an unwinding of overbought positions, rather than a generalised flight to safety.



Source: Shutterstock  
Don't panic

### If this is flight to safety, what are we fleeing from?

If this is a flight to safety, then what exactly are we fleeing from? Except perhaps our own greed and excesses? A third consecutive day of stock sales may appear alarming, but the rise that preceded it caused an equal amount of alarm (over a longer period) to those of us who struggled to mentally buy into the stock rally. As far as retracements go, this one hasn't even reached the first Fibonacci level from the March low, so there is still room for more selling.

But as I mentioned last week, the price action is not indicative of a rout. This is an orderly if substantial decline. There are still clearly buyers on the way down. And there is not a lot of collateral damage either. Such damage would be likely if investors were struggling to meet margins and having to sell profitable assets to cover losses. US 10Y Treasury yields, for example, fell less than 4bp yesterday in response to a more than 4% decline in the NASDAQ. That's almost a daily noise event. Gold is pretty steady. Bitcoin not doing all that much. Oil is also under pressure, though there are also some unrelated market-specific reasons for that too, even if USD

strength may be exacerbating those.

In currency space, the USD is finding some more support. The EUR is weak, but then we have the ECB on Thursday, and this weakness may be front-running that outcome. Sterling also looks soft, but then apparently the international community is outraged by suggestions that PM Johnson may overturn the EU withdrawal agreement with only just over a month to go before opportunities for a BREXIT deal run out.

The JPY is seeing a little bit of support, but let's not get carried away. And with the AUD and NZD weakening (more chat about negative interest rates from the RBNZ Governor on the newswires today), AUDJPY is seeing a bit more action than most pairs. Most of the Asian FX units are looking soft against the USD currently, including the CNH.

There's certainly some more room for all of this to run, and the sense that markets were previously priced for perfection may now be changing for re-pricing for "imperfect optimism".

For example, we have had a couple of negative vaccine stories overnight. The first is a pause in Astra-Zeneca's phase three trial following a reported illness. This is apparently perfectly normal procedure and isn't necessarily too concerning for the vaccine's progress, but it does suggest that talk of an October vaccine may be misplaced. We also hear that there is some scepticism about the Russian vaccine following a report in the Lancet. Some are apparently alleging that the figures look a little too "smooth" to be plausible. Denials, of course, have followed.

On top of that, optimism of a swift return to normality are being challenged by rising Covid-19 case numbers in France (more than 6500) and the UK (pushing against 3000 for some days now) with England re-introducing restrictions on gathering size.

So to put all this into context: the losses so far are small, and only take us back to August levels on the NASDAQ and S&P500. There is room for this to go further, if stocks are to more plausibly reflect the macro and pandemic reality. But at this stage, there is still no panic in evidence, and that is encouraging. That also means it is unlikely that we will see any knee-jerk policy reaction from central banks or governments. When you juice markets this hard, you have to imagine the occasional pip will stick in your throat.

## Day ahead

There really is very little on the macro calendar to get excited about today, either in the G-7 or Asia Pacific. So rather than milk some non-stories, we will skip this part today.

[Check out our latest Korea update piece if you are interested.](#)

## Author

### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

[amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com](mailto:amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com)

### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

[mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl](mailto:mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl)

**Alissa Lefebvre**

Economist

[alissa.lefebvre@ing.com](mailto:alissa.lefebvre@ing.com)

**Deepali Bhargava**

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

[Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com](mailto:Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com)

**Ruben Dewitte**

Economist

+32495364780

[ruben.dewitte@ing.com](mailto:ruben.dewitte@ing.com)

**Kinga Havasi**

Economic research trainee

[kinga.havasi@ing.com](mailto:kinga.havasi@ing.com)

**Marten van Garderen**

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

[marten.van.garderen@ing.com](mailto:marten.van.garderen@ing.com)

**David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

[david.havrlant@ing.com](mailto:david.havrlant@ing.com)

**Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

[sander.burgers@ing.com](mailto:sander.burgers@ing.com)

**Lynn Song**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[lynn.song@asia.ing.com](mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com)

**Michiel Tukker**

Senior European Rates Strategist

[michiel.tukker@ing.com](mailto:michiel.tukker@ing.com)

**Michal Rubaszek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[michal.rubaszek@ing.pl](mailto:michal.rubaszek@ing.pl)

**This is a test author**

**Stefan Posea**

Economist, Romania

[tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com](mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

[jesse.norcross@ing.com](mailto:jesse.norcross@ing.com)

**Teise Stellema**

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

[teise.stellema@ing.com](mailto:teise.stellema@ing.com)

**Diederik Stadig**

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

[diederik.stadig@ing.com](mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com)

**Diogo Gouveia**

Sector Economist

[diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com](mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Ewa Manthey**

Commodities Strategist

[ewa.manthey@ing.com](mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com)

**ING Analysts**

**James Wilson**

EM Sovereign Strategist

[James.wilson@ing.com](mailto:James.wilson@ing.com)

**Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor

[sophie.smith@ing.com](mailto:sophie.smith@ing.com)

**Frantisek Taborsky**

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

[frantisek.taborsky@ing.com](mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com)

**Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland

[adam.antoniak@ing.pl](mailto:adam.antoniak@ing.pl)

**Min Joo Kang**

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

[min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com](mailto:min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com)

**Coco Zhang**

ESG Research

[coco.zhang@ing.com](mailto:coco.zhang@ing.com)

**Jan Frederik Slijkerman**

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

[jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com](mailto:jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com)

**Katinka Jongkind**

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

[Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com](mailto:Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com)

**Marina Le Blanc**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com](mailto:Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com)

**Samuel Abettan**

Junior Economist

[samuel.abettan@ing.com](mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com)

**Franziska Biehl**

Senior Economist, Germany

[Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de](mailto:Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de)

**Rebecca Byrne**

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

[rebecca.byrne@ing.com](mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com)

**Mirjam Bani**

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

[mirjam.bani@ing.com](mailto:mirjam.bani@ing.com)

**Timothy Rahill**

Credit Strategist

[timothy.rahill@ing.com](mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com)

**Leszek Kasek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[leszek.kasek@ing.pl](mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl)

**Oleksiy Soroka, CFA**

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

[oleksiy.soroka@ing.com](mailto:oleksiy.soroka@ing.com)

**Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy

[antoine.bouvet@ing.com](mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com)

**Jeroen van den Broek**

Global Head of Sector Research

[jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com](mailto:jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com)

**Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

[edse.dantuma@ing.com](mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com)

**Francesco Pesole**

FX Strategist

[francesco.pesole@ing.com](mailto:francesco.pesole@ing.com)

**Rico Luman**

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

[Rico.Luman@ing.com](mailto:Rico.Luman@ing.com)

**Jurjen Witteveen**

Sector Economist

[jurjen.witteveen@ing.com](mailto:jurjen.witteveen@ing.com)

**Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS

[dmitry.dolgin@ing.de](mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de)

**Nicholas Mapa**

Senior Economist, Philippines

[nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com](mailto:nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com)

**Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst

[egor.fedorov@ing.com](mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com)

**Sebastian Franke**

Consumer Economist

[sebastian.franke@ing.de](mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de)

**Gerben Hieminga**

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

[gerben.hieminga@ing.com](mailto:gerben.hieminga@ing.com)

**Nadège Tillier**

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

[nadege.tillier@ing.com](mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com)

**Charlotte de Montpellier**

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

[charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com](mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com)

**Laura Straeter**

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

[laura.Straeter@ing.com](mailto:laura.Straeter@ing.com)

**Valentin Tataru**

Chief Economist, Romania

[valentin.tataru@ing.com](mailto:valentin.tataru@ing.com)

**James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

**Suvi Platerink Kosonen**

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

[suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com](mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com)

**Thijs Geijer**

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

[thijs.geijer@ing.com](mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com)

**Maurice van Sante**

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

[maurice.van.sante@ing.com](mailto:maurice.van.sante@ing.com)

**Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands

[marcel.klok@ing.com](mailto:marcel.klok@ing.com)

**Piotr Poplawski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[piotr.poplawski@ing.pl](mailto:piotr.poplawski@ing.pl)

**Paolo Pizzoli**

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

[paolo.pizzoli@ing.com](mailto:paolo.pizzoli@ing.com)

**Marieke Blom**

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

[marieke.blom@ing.com](mailto:marieke.blom@ing.com)

**Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist

[raoul.leering@ing.com](mailto:raoul.leering@ing.com)



**Maarten Leen**

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

[maarten.leen@ing.com](mailto:maarten.leen@ing.com)

**Maureen Schuller**

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

[Maureen.Schuller@ing.com](mailto:Maureen.Schuller@ing.com)

**Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy

[Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com](mailto:Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com)

**Rafal Benecki**

Chief Economist, Poland

[rafal.benecki@ing.pl](mailto:rafal.benecki@ing.pl)

**Philippe Ledent**

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

[philippe.ledent@ing.com](mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com)

**Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary

[peter.virovacz@ing.com](mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com)

**Inga Fechner**

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

[inga.fechner@ing.de](mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de)

**Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

[Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com](mailto:Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com)

**Ciprian Dascalu**

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

[ciprian.dascalu@ing.com](mailto:ciprian.dascalu@ing.com)

**Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey

[muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr](mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr)

**Iris Pang**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[iris.pang@asia.ing.com](mailto:iris.pang@asia.ing.com)

**Sophie Freeman**

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

[Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com](mailto:Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com)

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

**James Knightley**

Chief International Economist, US

[james.knightley@ing.com](mailto:james.knightley@ing.com)

**Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

**Martin van Vliet**

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

[martin.van.vliet@ing.com](mailto:martin.van.vliet@ing.com)

**Karol Pogorzelski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl](mailto:Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl)

**Carsten Brzeski**

Global Head of Macro

[carsten.brzeski@ing.de](mailto:carsten.brzeski@ing.de)

**Viraj Patel**

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

[viraj.patel@ing.com](mailto:viraj.patel@ing.com)

**Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

[owen.thomas@ing.com](mailto:owen.thomas@ing.com)

**Bert Colijn**

Chief Economist, Netherlands

[bert.colijn@ing.com](mailto:bert.colijn@ing.com)

**Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

[peter.vandenhoute@ing.com](mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com)

**Benjamin Schroeder**

Senior Rates Strategist

[benjamin.schroeder@ing.com](mailto:benjamin.schroeder@ing.com)

**Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

[chris.turner@ing.com](mailto:chris.turner@ing.com)

**Gustavo Rangel**

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

[gustavo.rangel@ing.com](mailto:gustavo.rangel@ing.com)

**Carlo Cocuzzo**

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

[carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com](mailto:carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com)

## Korea: Riding the crest of wave #2

Relatively speaking, Korea has not had a bad pandemic, but the recent resurgence in cases in Seoul shows that until a vaccine becomes widespread, the threat from Covid-19 will remain an impediment to business as usual, and with Korea's internationally facing industries, global weakness will remain a drag on growth




Source: Shutterstock

### Covid-19 - if only every country had been as good as Korea

If every country around the world had been as fast-acting as Korea during the early stages of the Covid-19 pandemic, the whole nature of this health crisis might have looked a lot different. Indeed, it might well not have been a pandemic. Fast and comprehensive test, trace and isolating practices, helped by Korea's sophisticated and growing bio-medical industry have made a big difference.

But even this has not been enough, and yet another controversial church has been found at the centre of the latest cluster of cases, requiring the government to request exposed individuals to adhere to a quarantine.

## South Korea, Covid-19 total cumulative and 7-D average of new cases



Source: CEIC, ING

For a country with a population of 55 million, the current 7-day moving average of daily cases of a little over 300 and total confirmed cases of just over 20,000, Korea has had fewer confirmed cases than much smaller countries, such as Ireland, Nepal, and Oman.

In spite of the recent setbacks, thanks to its swift and efficient response, Korea has still not needed to impose a mandatory national lockdown, and the domestic economy has certainly benefited from a less restricted environment than most of its neighbours, competitors, and key export markets.

Factors that may have played a role in Korea's successful approach to Covid-19, and which other countries may wish to emulate, may, in fact, stem from the experience of its disappointing response in 2015 to an outbreak of MERS (Middle East respiratory syndrome), a general willingness to wear masks (in common use thanks to air pollution), and public cooperation with government safe-distancing advice.

---

*For a country with a population of 55 million, the current 7-day moving average of daily cases of a little over 300 and total confirmed cases of just over 20,000, Korea has had fewer confirmed cases than much smaller countries, such as Ireland, Nepal, and Oman*

---

The MERS experience also boosted the expansion of Korea's biomedical industry, and within two weeks of the first case of Covid-19, thousands of testing kits were being shipped a day up to its peak of 100,000 kits per day. Preparations for large scale testing quickly got off the ground with public-private partnerships quickly scaling up testing capabilities which coupled with 600 screening centres (including drive-through testing), enabled the country to perform more than 300,000 tests by late March, more than 40 times the number the US had performed at the same time.

Another source of success was the support given to Covid-19 patients, creating isolation wards for those experiencing symptoms, while supporting self-isolating individuals with food and toiletries and twice-daily check-ups from health officers.

Epidemic Intelligence Service (EIS) officers were supported with the following information to help contact tracing:

- Facility visits including pharmacies and medical facilities
- GPS data from cell phones
- Credit card transaction logs
- Closed-circuit TV

Not all of this will be replicable for all countries. For example, it helps that South Koreans have been so ready to follow social distancing guidelines, wherein other countries, this has led to protests and civil disobedience. Widespread smartphone use also helps.

Nonetheless, even though providing this information comes at a cost in terms of personal privacy, this is a price Korea has felt worth paying. And given the relative economic performance of Korea compared to other countries in the region, the trade-off seems to have paid off.


## Economic growth - could have been a lot worse

In late January, Korea confirmed its first case of Covid-19, making it one of the first countries in Asia to register a case after China.

Consequently, Korea experienced the negative consequences of Covid-19 earlier in 1Q20 than many other countries, for whom the pandemic and the associated lockdowns and other measures were not felt until much later in the quarter. So when comparing the growth performance, we can't just compare recent growth rates but should look at the cumulative impact on the economy over 1Q20 and 2Q20.

If we look across the whole region, for those economies that have released 2Q20 GDP data, Korea ranks towards the higher end, along with Taiwan, regional darling, Vietnam, and of course China, which has, apparently, recovered all the growth it lost in 1Q20 (which seems a little hard to swallow, but those are the official figures).

## Cumulative Asian GDP loss (gain) from Covid-19 (4Q2019 = 100)



Source: CEIC, ING

After contracting by 1.3%QoQ in 1Q20, and then a further modest decline of 2.7%QoQ in 2Q20, the Korean economy has contracted by less than five percentage points in cumulative terms, which not only compares favourably locally, but also looks good in G-7 terms (on the same basis, Japan's GDP is down almost 9pp, the US has contracted by more than 10pp, and the Eurozone by more than 15pp). 3Q20 GDP should show some recovery as the second wave is contained and social distancing can be cautiously relaxed again.

*The economy has contracted by less than five percentage points in cumulative terms, which not only compares favourably locally, but also looks good in G-7 terms*


The Bank of Korea (BoK) monthly surveys of activity showed that the manufacturing sector bottomed in June this year, and has improved in each of the subsequent months, though remains weak overall, and is still operating well below pre-Covid levels, thanks to weak overseas demand and soft export demand.

It is a similar story for the non-manufacturing sector, though it troughed earlier, in May, and has been more robust throughout the pandemic, reflecting the relative outperformance of Korean domestic demand to its key export markets.

On the assumption that we continue to see further, slow improvements, and further incremental easing of restrictions in movement and activity both in Korea and overseas over the coming months and quarters, we expect a further recovery of lost output, though this does not bring GDP back to pre-Covid 4Q19 GDP levels until around or even after 4Q21.

In short, Covid-19 will have wiped off two years of GDP growth.

## Impact of Covid-19 on Korean GDP in levels, 4Q 2019 = 100



This is a heavy price to pay for the virus, but it is not as heavy as most of its Asian peers.

Thanks to Korea's very strong test, trace and isolate procedures, the domestic economy is less restricted than in many nearby economies. But the constraints on further upside improvements

are similar – namely the risk of greater and too rapid re-opening, and the ongoing restrictions in less well-managed economies overseas, keeping export demand subdued.

Unfortunately, there is very little that the Korean government can do about the governance of some of its key export markets.

## Domestic economy - better than elsewhere

The success of Korea's pandemic response has spared the labour market from the losses seen in many other economies.

In January 2020, Korea's unemployment rate was 4.0%, at the higher end of the 3-4% range it had been in through most of 2019. The drop to 3.3% in February looks more like bad seasonal adjustment than any genuine improvement, and by March the rate had shot back up to 3.8%. But even at its worst in May, it reached only 4.5%, and the latest reading for July is now down at 4.2%, having fallen for two consecutive months.

---

*Labour market stability has certainly shielded Korea's domestic economy from the ravages suffered elsewhere*


---

This labour market stability has certainly shielded Korea's domestic economy from the ravages suffered elsewhere. At their worst, in March this year, real retail sales were only down a little more than 8% YoY. They recovered to +6.3%YoY in June, before the second wave took them back to +0.5%YoY in July. Much of this is attributable to domestic auto sales. Real domestic auto sales have recovered from a 22% YoY decline in February to show a 66% increase now. Discounting is helping, especially for sales of domestic autos, but there aren't huge discrepancies between the real and nominal sales series.

Clearly there is no demand for luggage currently, which at about -26%YoY, real or nominal, isn't likely to pick up until international travel resumes, maybe not until mid-2021. Mask wearing and home working is likely to be a reason for the heavy toll taken on cosmetics, and possibly also clothing and footwear. Like the export series we mention later, computers are selling well, though the same is not true for telecoms equipment, which suggests there is more going on here than simple pent up demand, and the need for upgraded IT equipment to support homeworking seems like a logical explanation, along with games consoles for bored kids (sports and entertainment).



## Real retail sales by type YoY%



Source: CEIC, ING


## Housing - on the rise, everywhere!

A rather surprising aspect of the Korean macro story is the resilience of the housing market. Where once housing market strength was a metro Seoul story, it is now widespread across the nation, with the central city of Daejeon leading the pack. It may be no coincidence that Daejeon is known as Asia's silicon valley, given the positive skew that semiconductors are showing in the rest of the economy.

*Low interest-rates are clearly a pivotal factor in supporting Korean housing*

Low interest-rates are clearly a pivotal factor in supporting Korean housing, and this is one of the reasons why we think it is very unlikely that the central bank cuts the 7-day repo rate again from its current level of 0.5%. Political pressure not to stoke a property bubble is perhaps not as misplaced as it may once have seemed, and household debt levels and debt service ratios remain very high by international standards.

## Residential property prices



Source: CEIC, ING

## Inflation - nothing to trouble the BoK for a long while

Despite the resilience of the housing market, consumer price inflation remains on a downward trend.

Headline inflation has been negative several times in recent months, though it is currently holding above zero at about 0.7%YoY with the core rate a little lower at 0.4%. Both series are quite erratic, but neither appears to show any signs of trending higher. And there is a danger of a more protracted period of disinflation.

---

*Headline inflation has been negative several times in recent months, though it is currently holding above zero at about 0.7%YoY with the core rate a little lower at 0.4%*

---


Whether cutting rates any more or embarking on any of the other policies which central banks have embarked on in recent years (genuine quantitative easing, yield curve control, negative rates) would help raise inflation back towards its 2% target is extremely doubtful. Indeed there are powerful arguments that it could make things worse.

But equally, there are few grounds for serious concern. By sub-component, much of the disinflation stems from falling education prices following the government's exemption of some fees for high school students. And the category recreation and culture may also be affected by the government's expanded budget to subsidise businesses that encourage employees to go on vacation.

So much of the recent weakness can be attributed to administered prices, and is evidence of fiscal stimulus, and is a response to, rather than a symptom of domestic economic weakness. Until recently, communications (telecoms) were also a big drag on the inflation rate, though that is also beginning to dissipate.

Consequently, and together with the apparently unwelcome resurgence in house prices, we don't see the central bank cutting rates any further from the current 0.5% 7-day repo (policy) rate.

### Headline and core CPI inflation YoY%



Source: CEIC, ING

## Government spending - no end to the support

[In our recent report](#), we outlined the government's support measures for the economy in the light of the pandemic.

If the early response was a little anaemic, following the landslide victory for President Moon's DPK in legislative elections in April, fiscal support measures have been extensively ramped up. That said, we see the fiscal deficit rising to only 6.3% in 2020, up from a deficit of 1.9% in 2019. That sounds like a lot, but for a "rich" country like Korea (considering per-capita GDP and low overall debt to GDP levels) it is not by any means excessive, and there is plenty of room for the government to keep spending if this is needed to keep the economy ticking over until a vaccine becomes widely available.

---

*Even by the end of 2022, Korea's debt to GDP ratio is likely to be only slightly greater than 50%, leaving it with plenty of room for further fiscal support should that become necessary*

---

The nature of the government's spending has also been encouraging on some levels. With more of a focus on longer-term productivity, Korea's "New deal" and "Green New Deal" are welcome moves towards embracing technological change, remote working, e-transport, the hydrogen industry and so on. The main complaint in our recent report was that we felt, in many cases, the spending amounts were a bit token, and far more would be required quickly to make a real difference.

But it is still better than most of the countries in the region.

Government debt levels will only rise to 44% of GDP by the end of the year (see forecast table at the end for detail) according to our estimates ([a bit more than the MoEF estimates of 43.5%](#)). That is a testament to the prudence of past governments. But in our view, this is not the time to be thinking in terms of "prudence", but rather if you need a catchphrase, "spending to survive". The recently passed budget for 2021 keeps fiscal support going, with an increase of KRW8.9tr over the spending totals for 2020 (including the three supplementary budgets) to KRW555.8tr.

Even so, Korea's debt to GDP ratio by the end of 2022 is likely to be only slightly greater than 50%, leaving it with plenty of room for further fiscal support should that become necessary.

[Asia's lamentable response to Covid-19 - why too many countries in the Asia-Pacific region are failing to reach for the environmental reset button](#)

## Export markets limp, and not a lot that can be done about it

Recent export data has shown some signs of improvement, with the year on year export decline moderating from its April nadir of -25.6%YoY, to only -9.9% in August. However, the improvement seems quite narrowly based around the semiconductor industry – no change there from other recent business cycles.


This has been the Korean export story since 2015, with occasional but short-lived improvements in

other sectors. The best we can say outside semiconductors is that exports of vessels seem to have stabilised. All other major groups, with the possible exception of autos, computers and mobile phone-related items which have all ticked up recently, remain in decline.

*Perhaps the biggest surprise in terms of the geographical destination of Korea's exports, is how strong exports to North America have been*

Overall, exports have only just returned to the bottom of the broad range they have inhabited since 2010. This is likely to see only slow improvements as the rest of the world gradually recovers from the pandemic and economies move forward again. And that is a 2021 story at the earliest. Maybe in 2022.

## Korean exports - a two speed story, as usual




Source: CEIC, ING

Perhaps the biggest surprise in terms of the geographical destination of Korea's exports, is how strong exports to North America have been. Given the abysmal performance of the US through this pandemic and the weakness of the USD, this is something of a mystery. All the improvement have come since May, when exports to North America, were down 32.5%YoY.

One possible explanation is that this represents pent up demand post-lockdown to upgrade personal IT equipment to cope with the new working from home norms. If so, it may not be too persistent.

Exports to Oceania have also recovered strongly since their 55.2% April low, reflecting the good performance of Australia and New Zealand during the pandemic, until their recent case spikes and regional re-closures. We may also see these figures drift lower in the coming months as a result. Elsewhere, it's a not terribly surprising picture of declines, with the Middle East and Africa leading the fall, followed shortly behind by the CIS states and Latin America. No further explanation probably needed for them.

## Exports by region and Asian country



Source: CEIC, ING

Exports by country

There is also an interesting and at times equally perplexing mix of export growth across the Asia-Pacific region.

Two countries topping the list, are Bangladesh and Pakistan. As both have had fairly awful pandemics, and neither is known for being integral to Korea's technology export value chains, this raises more questions than it answers. At the bottom of the pile, Singapore, which for some months now since the end of the extended circuit breaker, has been operating close to a "business as usual" model is another oddity, especially, or perhaps because its own semiconductor exports have been looking a lot healthier in recent months.

## Markets - everything hangs on market risk sentiment

The Korean won is one of Asia's high beta currencies. It does poorly when global risk sentiment is bad, and well when it is good.

America's S&P500 equity index troughed on 23 March. Since then<sup>[1]</sup>, the Korean won has appreciated by 6.61% against the USD, in the upper half for the region as a whole, though lagging behind the Indonesian rupiah (which had fallen far more heavily on the way down), just behind the MYR (helped by resurgent crude prices) and SGD (+6.85%) and way more than the CNY (+3.63%) which as the region's biggest currency often sets the tone for how others perform.

---

*From a Korean perspective, we don't see any further accommodation from the central bank*

---

We anticipate some further appreciation ahead, but there are some increasing headwinds to this as part of the won's appreciation simply reflects USD weakness. While this trend may continue for some time, the dollar index is already at a two-year low, and a further 3pp decline would take it to five-year lows. While the Fed's actions are not exactly supportive, they aren't doing much more than any other central bank to debase their currency, so it is not clear why the USD should be doing so poorly relative to the other majors, or Asian FX like the KRW.


From a Korean perspective, we don't see any further accommodation from the BoK as mentioned

earlier. Terms of trade for Korea will mainly reflect unhelpful swings in imported energy prices (we don't see crude oil rallying too much more from here) and positive unit prices for Korea's main export, semiconductors. We can proxy this by PPI output prices for semiconductors, which explain some of the variances in USD/KRW, with about a 6m lead.

Some further appreciation seems probable given that semiconductor prices have only really just started rising, but are at risk of a re-escalation of the US-China tech war.

[1] As of 3 September 2020

## Semiconductor prices and USD/KRW



Source: CEIC, ING

The 10-year Korean Treasury bonds currently yield about 1.50%, a spread of about 84bp over equivalent US Treasuries[1].

---

*Ironically, we feel that the greatest risk to risk sentiment would be for growth prospects in Korea and globally to improve so much that markets began to re-price in monetary tightening in Korea or the US*

---

The inputs into the Treasury yield calculation (US and Korea) are growth, inflation, and risk sentiment (proxied by equity moves). The growth outlook will improve in 2021, but is unlikely to provide any material surprises, and the same can also be said for inflation. Perhaps the main risk to the KTB outlook, which we see staying low with just a slight tendency to rise through the end of the year and into 2021/22, is risk sentiment. Sentiment may remain extremely positive for years, in which case, our forecast for further small yield increases will likely follow. But equally, it could crash without warning.

Ironically, we feel that the greatest risk to risk sentiment would be for growth prospects in Korea and globally to improve so much that markets began to re-price in monetary tightening in Korea or the US. While at this state, that seems a remote prospect, that might begin to look more

probable should a safe and effective vaccine start to become widely available sometime in 2021/22.

That would deliver a sharp flattening of the yield curve and might also accompany an equity sell-off.

[1] 3 September, 2020

## Forecasts

	1Q20	2Q20	3Q20F	4Q20F	2019	2020F	2021F	2022F
GDP (YoY%)	-1.3	-2.7	-1.9	-2.3	2	-1.4	2	2.9
CPI (YoY%)	1.2	-0.1	0.5	0.9	0.4	0.6	1.6	1.7
Unemployment rate (eop)	3.8	4.3	4.3	4.1	3.7	4.1	3.7	3.5
Residential real estate YoY%	1.1	2.4	4.2	4.1	1.4	2.9	2.7	3
Fiscal Balance (consolidated ex soc sec)					-1.9	-6.3	-5.9	-6.4
Debt/ GDP (%)					37.1	44	47.7	52.4
Current a/c balance (USDbn and % GDP)	5.3	3.6	12.3	11.2	4.2	2.6	4.1	3.9
7-day repo rate (eop)	0.75	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1
10Y yields (eop)	1.55	1.38	1.5	1.55	1.69	1.55	1.7	1.75
USDKRW (eop)	1,218	1,199	1,180	1,160	1,156	1,160	1,120	1,080

Source: ING, CEIC

## Author

### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

[amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com](mailto:amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com)

### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

[mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl](mailto:mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl)

### Alissa Lefebvre

Economist

[alissa.lefebvre@ing.com](mailto:alissa.lefebvre@ing.com)

### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

[Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com](mailto:Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com)

### Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

[ruben.dewitte@ing.com](mailto:ruben.dewitte@ing.com)

### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

[kinga.havasi@ing.com](mailto:kinga.havasi@ing.com)

### Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands  
[marten.van.garderen@ing.com](mailto:marten.van.garderen@ing.com)

**David Havrlant**  
Chief Economist, Czech Republic  
420 770 321 486  
[david.havrlant@ing.com](mailto:david.havrlant@ing.com)

**Sander Burgers**  
Senior Economist, Dutch Housing  
[sander.burgers@ing.com](mailto:sander.burgers@ing.com)

**Lynn Song**  
Chief Economist, Greater China  
[lynn.song@asia.ing.com](mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com)

**Michiel Tukker**  
Senior European Rates Strategist  
[michiel.tukker@ing.com](mailto:michiel.tukker@ing.com)

**Michal Rubaszek**  
Senior Economist, Poland  
[michal.rubaszek@ing.pl](mailto:michal.rubaszek@ing.pl)

**This is a test author**

**Stefan Posea**  
Economist, Romania  
[tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com](mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**  
Sector Strategist, Financials  
[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Jesse Norcross**  
Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate  
[jesse.norcross@ing.com](mailto:jesse.norcross@ing.com)

**Teise Stellema**  
Research Assistant, Energy Transition  
[teise.stellema@ing.com](mailto:teise.stellema@ing.com)

**Diederik Stadig**  
Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare  
[diederik.stadig@ing.com](mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com)

**Diogo Gouveia**



Sector Economist

[diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com](mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Ewa Manthey**

Commodities Strategist

[ewa.manthey@ing.com](mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com)

## ING Analysts

**James Wilson**

EM Sovereign Strategist

[James.wilson@ing.com](mailto:James.wilson@ing.com)

**Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor

[sophie.smith@ing.com](mailto:sophie.smith@ing.com)

**Frantisek Taborsky**

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

[frantisek.taborsky@ing.com](mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com)

**Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland

[adam.antoniak@ing.pl](mailto:adam.antoniak@ing.pl)

**Min Joo Kang**

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

[min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com](mailto:min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com)

**Coco Zhang**

ESG Research

[coco.zhang@ing.com](mailto:coco.zhang@ing.com)

**Jan Frederik Slijkerman**

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

[jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com](mailto:jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com)

**Katinka Jongkind**

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

[Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com](mailto:Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com)

**Marina Le Blanc**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com](mailto:Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com)

**Samuel Abettan**

Junior Economist

[samuel.abettan@ing.com](mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com)

**Franziska Biehl**

Senior Economist, Germany

[Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de](mailto:Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de)

**Rebecca Byrne**

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

[rebecca.byrne@ing.com](mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com)

**Mirjam Bani**

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

[mirjam.bani@ing.com](mailto:mirjam.bani@ing.com)

**Timothy Rahill**

Credit Strategist

[timothy.rahill@ing.com](mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com)

**Leszek Kasek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[leszek.kasek@ing.pl](mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl)

**Oleksiy Soroka, CFA**

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

[oleksiy.soroka@ing.com](mailto:oleksiy.soroka@ing.com)

**Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy

[antoine.bouvet@ing.com](mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com)

**Jeroen van den Broek**

Global Head of Sector Research

[jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com](mailto:jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com)

**Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

[edse.dantuma@ing.com](mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com)

**Francesco Pesole**

FX Strategist

[francesco.pesole@ing.com](mailto:francesco.pesole@ing.com)

**Rico Luman**

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

[Rico.Luman@ing.com](mailto:Rico.Luman@ing.com)

**Jurjen Witteveen**

Sector Economist

[jurjen.witteveen@ing.com](mailto:jurjen.witteveen@ing.com)

**Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS

[dmitry.dolgin@ing.de](mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de)

**Nicholas Mapa**

Senior Economist, Philippines

[nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com](mailto:nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com)

**Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst

[egor.fedorov@ing.com](mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com)

**Sebastian Franke**

Consumer Economist

[sebastian.franke@ing.de](mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de)

**Gerben Hieminga**

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

[gerben.hieminga@ing.com](mailto:gerben.hieminga@ing.com)

**Nadège Tillier**

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

[nadege.tillier@ing.com](mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com)

**Charlotte de Montpellier**

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

[charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com](mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com)

**Laura Straeter**

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

[laura.Straeter@ing.com](mailto:laura.Straeter@ing.com)

**Valentin Tataru**

Chief Economist, Romania

[valentin.tataru@ing.com](mailto:valentin.tataru@ing.com)

**James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

**Suvi Platerink Kosonen**

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

[suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com](mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com)

**Thijs Geijer**

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

[thijs.geijer@ing.com](mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com)

**Maurice van Sante**

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

[maurice.van.sante@ing.com](mailto:maurice.van.sante@ing.com)

**Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands

[marcel.klok@ing.com](mailto:marcel.klok@ing.com)

**Piotr Poplawski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[piotr.poplawski@ing.pl](mailto:piotr.poplawski@ing.pl)

**Paolo Pizzoli**

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

[paolo.pizzoli@ing.com](mailto:paolo.pizzoli@ing.com)

**Marieke Blom**

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

[marieke.blom@ing.com](mailto:marieke.blom@ing.com)

**Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist

[raoul.leering@ing.com](mailto:raoul.leering@ing.com)

**Maarten Leen**

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

[maarten.leen@ing.com](mailto:maarten.leen@ing.com)

**Maureen Schuller**

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

[Maureen.Schuller@ing.com](mailto:Maureen.Schuller@ing.com)

**Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy

[Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com](mailto:Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com)

**Rafal Benecki**

Chief Economist, Poland

[rafal.benecki@ing.pl](mailto:rafal.benecki@ing.pl)

**Philippe Ledent**

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

[philippe.ledent@ing.com](mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com)

**Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary

[peter.virovacz@ing.com](mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com)

**Inga Fechner**

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

[inga.fechner@ing.de](mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de)

**Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

[Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com](mailto:Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com)

**Ciprian Dascalu**

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

[ciprian.dascalu@ing.com](mailto:ciprian.dascalu@ing.com)

**Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey

[muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr](mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr)

**Iris Pang**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[iris.pang@asia.ing.com](mailto:iris.pang@asia.ing.com)

**Sophie Freeman**

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

[Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com](mailto:Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com)

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

**James Knightley**

Chief International Economist, US

[james.knightley@ing.com](mailto:james.knightley@ing.com)

**Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

**Martin van Vliet**

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

[martin.van.vliet@ing.com](mailto:martin.van.vliet@ing.com)

**Karol Pogorzelski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl](mailto:Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl)

**Carsten Brzeski**

Global Head of Macro

[carsten.brzeski@ing.de](mailto:carsten.brzeski@ing.de)

**Viraj Patel**

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

[viraj.patel@ing.com](mailto:viraj.patel@ing.com)

**Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

[owen.thomas@ing.com](mailto:owen.thomas@ing.com)

**Bert Colijn**

Chief Economist, Netherlands

[bert.colijn@ing.com](mailto:bert.colijn@ing.com)

**Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

[peter.vandenhoute@ing.com](mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com)

**Benjamin Schroeder**

Senior Rates Strategist

[benjamin.schroeder@ing.com](mailto:benjamin.schroeder@ing.com)

**Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

[chris.turner@ing.com](mailto:chris.turner@ing.com)

**Gustavo Rangel**

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

[gustavo.rangel@ing.com](mailto:gustavo.rangel@ing.com)

**Carlo Cocuzzo**

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

[carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com](mailto:carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com)

## Malaysia: Making our case for lower rates

Unlike most Asian central banks whose rate policies have almost reached the easing limit, Bank Negara Malaysia has some room to stay the course. And, there is a strong case for the central bank to do so this week



Source: Shutterstock

Governor of the Central Bank of Malaysia Nor Shamsiah Mohd Yunus

# 1.50%

ING forecast of BNM policy rate

After 25bp cut this week

### The market favours stable BNM policy

It's policy-making week for Malaysia's central bank, the Bank Negara Malaysia. The BNM Monetary Policy Committee begins its two-day meeting on Wednesday, 9 September. The decision is expected the next day at 3pm local time.

The BNM reviews policy every two months and has delivered a total of 125 basis points of rate cuts in four meetings through July, including a 50 basis point cut at the meeting in May when the Covid-19 pandemic was at its peak. We have long been calling for a 25 basis point cut at this meeting, taking the overnight policy rate down to a fresh low of 1.50%.

---

*The Bank will continue to utilise its policy levers as appropriate to create enabling conditions for a sustainable recovery. – BNM's July policy statement*

---

We maintain our rate cut call, although that puts us in the consensus minority for this meeting. Out of 14 forecasters in a Bloomberg survey at the time of this writing, only four expect a 25bp rate cut and the rest forecast no change from 1.75%. Until a month ago, the consensus was almost evenly split between '25bp cut' and 'no change' outcomes.

We believe the case for easing continues to be strong.

## **Making our case for a 25bp rate cut**

Here is why we think BNM should cut rates again this week.

Even as Malaysia has been largely immune to the Covid-19 outbreak, its economy has been one of the hardest hit in Asia. The nationwide lockdown to contain the virus dented GDP by 17% year-on-year in 2Q. This was the biggest plunge that the economy has ever seen; the last big drop was 11% at the height of the Asian crisis in 1998.

Things were looking better as we moved into 3Q with a second straight monthly export bounce in July boosting the trade surplus to the highest ever, 25 billion Malaysian ringgit (MYR). While this bodes well for GDP growth, a couple more quarters of negative GDP growth still looks inevitable. Even though a pick-up in exports should support manufacturing, the rest of the economy will continue to be under downward pressure. Mining, construction and services were the worst-affected in 2Q and will remain so over the rest of the year.

Meanwhile, more policy support is required to revitalise domestic demand. The elevated jobless rate (4.9% as of June) is depressing consumer spending and weaker business confidence is delaying investment spending. Reinforcing weak consumer demand is a negative inflation streak that started in March and is likely to prevail through the rest of the year (latest -1.3% YoY in July).

The likelihood of additional stimulus from the fiscal side remains slim. Malaysia's public finances were already stretched before the Covid-19 outbreak. These are under further duress from record stimulus by the Muhyiddin administration to deal with the fallout of the disease – a total 19.5% of GDP package with about 9% in real or on-budget spending. As elsewhere in the region, the budget deficit is poised to rise significantly this year. As the government sets out to plug the gap with market borrowing, the central bank could help it by keeping borrowing costs low.

---

*A timely dose of easing, while there is room for it, will go some way in helping the recovery.*

---

Why not ease when there is room to do so? Unlikely most Asian central banks, for whom the rate policy has reached its limit, with rates close to zero, the BNM's 1.75% policy rate, coupled with




negative inflation, allows its easing cycle to run further. Moreover, negative inflation means the real policy interest rate is one of the highest in Asia (see figure) - not really a good backdrop for economic recovery. We think one more 25bp rate cut at the last meeting of the year in November wouldn't hurt either.

The outperformance of the MYR in the emerging market rally since June should provide more comfort to the central bank in cutting rates again this week. The currency has appreciated 4.8% against the USD since June, the most in Asia. Besides a broad weak USD environment, the firming of oil prices and record trade surplus underpin some of the outperformance – forces which should remain in place during the rest of the year.

While analysts are split on the outcome of this week's meeting, the market seems to be pricing in a 25bp BNM rate cut. The 10-year government bond yield, at 2.56% currently, is down 25bp since the last BNM meeting in early July. This is despite the ongoing supply overhang from a record stimulus.

## Real interest rate \* in Malaysia is one of the highest in Asia



Source: CEIC, ING

\* Defined as nominal policy rate minus latest inflation rate.

### What this means for the markets


We don't expect much reaction in bond markets, which has taken the rate cut in its stride, as noted above. We believe the central bank will maintain its accommodative stance for future policy and this should sustain the positive sentiment in the market over the rest of the year.

The next risk event for Malaysian bonds, however, will be the FTSE Russell's decision on 24 September about retaining these in its global bond market index. These have been on the FTSE's watch-list for potential exclusion on market accessibility grounds. It's been this way for a while though, since April 2019. In the last review a year ago, the index provider decided to keep them in. We expect the same this time. Malaysian bonds aren't performing too badly, judging from the 75bp drop in the 10-year yield year-to-date. Why fix it, if it's not really broken?

As for the currency market, if you think a rate cut could take the steam out of the ongoing

MYR rally, we don't really share this view. On the contrary, we would view it as a further boost to investors' faith in the country's countercyclical macroeconomic policy, suggesting the MYR will remain an outperformer in Asia in the remainder of the year.

## Bond market is priced in for a rate cut, while currency market allows for it



Source: CEIC, ING

### Author

#### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

[amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com](mailto:amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com)

#### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

[mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl](mailto:mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl)

#### Alissa Lefebvre

Economist

[alissa.lefebvre@ing.com](mailto:alissa.lefebvre@ing.com)

#### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

[Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com](mailto:Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com)

#### Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

[ruben.dewitte@ing.com](mailto:ruben.dewitte@ing.com)

#### Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

[kinga.havasi@ing.com](mailto:kinga.havasi@ing.com)

**Marten van Garderen**

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

[marten.van.garderen@ing.com](mailto:marten.van.garderen@ing.com)

**David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

[david.havrlant@ing.com](mailto:david.havrlant@ing.com)

**Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

[sander.burgers@ing.com](mailto:sander.burgers@ing.com)

**Lynn Song**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[lynn.song@asia.ing.com](mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com)

**Michiel Tukker**

Senior European Rates Strategist

[michiel.tukker@ing.com](mailto:michiel.tukker@ing.com)

**Michal Rubaszek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[michal.rubaszek@ing.pl](mailto:michal.rubaszek@ing.pl)

**This is a test author**

**Stefan Posea**

Economist, Romania

[tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com](mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

[jesse.norcross@ing.com](mailto:jesse.norcross@ing.com)

**Teise Stellema**

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

[teise.stellema@ing.com](mailto:teise.stellema@ing.com)

**Diederik Stadig**

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

[diederik.stadig@ing.com](mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com)

**Diogo Gouveia**

Sector Economist

[diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com](mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Ewa Manthey**

Commodities Strategist

[ewa.manthey@ing.com](mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com)

**ING Analysts**

**James Wilson**

EM Sovereign Strategist

[James.wilson@ing.com](mailto:James.wilson@ing.com)

**Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor

[sophie.smith@ing.com](mailto:sophie.smith@ing.com)

**Frantisek Taborsky**

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

[frantisek.taborsky@ing.com](mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com)

**Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland

[adam.antoniak@ing.pl](mailto:adam.antoniak@ing.pl)

**Min Joo Kang**

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

[min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com](mailto:min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com)

**Coco Zhang**

ESG Research

[coco.zhang@ing.com](mailto:coco.zhang@ing.com)

**Jan Frederik Slijkerman**

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

[jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com](mailto:jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com)

**Katinka Jongkind**

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

[Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com](mailto:Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com)

**Marina Le Blanc**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com](mailto:Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com)

**Samuel Abettan**

Junior Economist

[samuel.abettan@ing.com](mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com)

**Franziska Biehl**

Senior Economist, Germany

[Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de](mailto:Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de)

**Rebecca Byrne**

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

[rebecca.byrne@ing.com](mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com)

**Mirjam Bani**

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

[mirjam.bani@ing.com](mailto:mirjam.bani@ing.com)

**Timothy Rahill**

Credit Strategist

[timothy.rahill@ing.com](mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com)

**Leszek Kasek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[leszek.kasek@ing.pl](mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl)

**Oleksiy Soroka, CFA**

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

[oleksiy.soroka@ing.com](mailto:oleksiy.soroka@ing.com)

**Antoine Bouvet**

Head of European Rates Strategy

[antoine.bouvet@ing.com](mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com)

**Jeroen van den Broek**

Global Head of Sector Research

[jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com](mailto:jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com)

**Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

[edse.dantuma@ing.com](mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com)

**Francesco Pesole**

FX Strategist

[francesco.pesole@ing.com](mailto:francesco.pesole@ing.com)

**Rico Luman**

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

[Rico.Luman@ing.com](mailto:Rico.Luman@ing.com)

**Jurjen Witteveen**

Sector Economist

[jurjen.witteveen@ing.com](mailto:jurjen.witteveen@ing.com)

**Dmitry Dolgin**

Chief Economist, CIS

[dmitry.dolgin@ing.de](mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de)

**Nicholas Mapa**

Senior Economist, Philippines

[nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com](mailto:nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com)

**Egor Fedorov**

Senior Credit Analyst

[egor.fedorov@ing.com](mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com)

**Sebastian Franke**

Consumer Economist

[sebastian.franke@ing.de](mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de)

**Gerben Hieminga**

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

[gerben.hieminga@ing.com](mailto:gerben.hieminga@ing.com)

**Nadège Tillier**

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

[nadege.tillier@ing.com](mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com)

**Charlotte de Montpellier**

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

[charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com](mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com)

**Laura Straeter**

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

[laura.Straeter@ing.com](mailto:laura.Straeter@ing.com)

**Valentin Tataru**

Chief Economist, Romania

[valentin.tataru@ing.com](mailto:valentin.tataru@ing.com)

**James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

**Suvi Platerink Kosonen**

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

[suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com](mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com)

**Thijs Geijer**

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

[thijs.geijer@ing.com](mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com)

**Maurice van Sante**

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

[maurice.van.sante@ing.com](mailto:maurice.van.sante@ing.com)

**Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands

[marcel.klok@ing.com](mailto:marcel.klok@ing.com)

**Piotr Poplawski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[piotr.poplawski@ing.pl](mailto:piotr.poplawski@ing.pl)

**Paolo Pizzoli**

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

[paolo.pizzoli@ing.com](mailto:paolo.pizzoli@ing.com)

**Marieke Blom**

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

[marieke.blom@ing.com](mailto:marieke.blom@ing.com)

**Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist

[raoul.leering@ing.com](mailto:raoul.leering@ing.com)

**Maarten Leen**

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

[maarten.leen@ing.com](mailto:maarten.leen@ing.com)

**Maureen Schuller**

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

[Maureen.Schuller@ing.com](mailto:Maureen.Schuller@ing.com)

**Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy

[Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com](mailto:Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com)

**Rafal Benecki**

Chief Economist, Poland  
[rafal.benecki@ing.pl](mailto:rafal.benecki@ing.pl)

**Philippe Ledent**  
Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg  
[philippe.ledent@ing.com](mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com)

**Peter Virovacz**  
Senior Economist, Hungary  
[peter.virovacz@ing.com](mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com)

**Inga Fechner**  
Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade  
[inga.fechner@ing.de](mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de)

**Dimitry Fleming**  
Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands  
[Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com](mailto:Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com)

**Ciprian Dascalu**  
Chief Economist, Romania  
+40 31 406 8990  
[ciprian.dascalu@ing.com](mailto:ciprian.dascalu@ing.com)

**Muhammet Mercan**  
Chief Economist, Turkey  
[muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr](mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr)

**Iris Pang**  
Chief Economist, Greater China  
[iris.pang@asia.ing.com](mailto:iris.pang@asia.ing.com)

**Sophie Freeman**  
Writer, Group Research  
+44 20 7767 6209  
[Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com](mailto:Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com)

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**  
Regional Head of Research, Americas  
[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

**James Knightley**  
Chief International Economist, US  
[james.knightley@ing.com](mailto:james.knightley@ing.com)

**Tim Condon**  
Asia Chief Economist  
+65 6232-6020



**Martin van Vliet**

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

[martin.van.vliet@ing.com](mailto:martin.van.vliet@ing.com)

**Karol Pogorzelski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl](mailto:Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl)

**Carsten Brzeski**

Global Head of Macro

[carsten.brzeski@ing.de](mailto:carsten.brzeski@ing.de)

**Viraj Patel**

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

[viraj.patel@ing.com](mailto:viraj.patel@ing.com)

**Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

[owen.thomas@ing.com](mailto:owen.thomas@ing.com)

**Bert Colijn**

Chief Economist, Netherlands

[bert.colijn@ing.com](mailto:bert.colijn@ing.com)

**Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

[peter.vandenhoute@ing.com](mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com)

**Benjamin Schroeder**

Senior Rates Strategist

[benjamin.schroeder@ing.com](mailto:benjamin.schroeder@ing.com)

**Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

[chris.turner@ing.com](mailto:chris.turner@ing.com)

**Gustavo Rangel**

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

[gustavo.rangel@ing.com](mailto:gustavo.rangel@ing.com)

**Carlo Cocuzzo**

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

[carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com](mailto:carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit [www.ing.com](http://www.ing.com).