

Good MornING Asia - 8 November 2019

Swings in sentiments associated with the US-China trade deal continue to rule the markets. And things seem to have turned for good for today.


In this bundle



United States

Asia week ahead: Towards the end of monetary easing cycles

Central bank meetings, third-quarter GDP releases and October data releases from China and India – all make it a pretty busy week in Asia



FX | New Zealand

One more cut from New Zealand's central bank?

Markets are still pricing in one more rate cut from the Reserve Bank of New Zealand, which would take cash rates to 0.75%, in line with Australia. But the...

By Francesco Pesole



Philippines

Philippines: 3Q GDP growth quickens to 6.2%

Economic activity picked up in the third quarter with steady consumption and resurgent government spending lifting growth above 6%

Asia week ahead: Towards the end of monetary easing cycles

Central bank meetings, third-quarter GDP releases and October data releases from China and India – all make it a pretty busy week in Asia



Source: Shutterstock

➔ More central bank meetings

Among the Asian central banks, Thailand's central bank finally gave in to easing pressure this week with a rate cut, but the Malaysian central bank continues to defy easing despite the increasingly weak state of the economy. Then there is New Zealand's central bank and the Philippines too, which both meet next week and both arguably near the end of their respective easing cycles. Perhaps 'pause' would be a better description than 'end' for these cycles, as both central banks may need to reassess the effects of their easing so far, while external uncertainty from the trade war between China and the US continues to linger.

For now, the policy pause may be justified on prospects for a Phase one of the trade deal, though that signing has now been pushed out to December from the much-touted mid-November schedule, reducing future certainty still further.


➔ Pause, or out of policy space?

The key question is whether Asian central banks are left with any policy space in the event things really go south again.

Some of them have that space as reflected by their still-high real interest rates (Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, or Vietnam), while others have nearly exhausted it and, barring a significant economic deterioration ahead, are likely to stay on hold.

However, as our Asia Chief Economist Rob Carnell [opines](#) today, "... if we have learned anything from the period running up to and following the global financial crisis, it is that what we may have considered barriers to policy in the past no longer apply. Perhaps we should no longer consider negative real rates the "floor" to policy in Asia."

Any more space for monetary easing?



Source: Bloomberg, CEIC, ING

➔ But, where is growth?

While it may be fair to say that the Asian central banks easing cycle has almost troughed, for now, there is little evidence of whatever easing they have implemented so far, actually has helped growth.

As the noise around a trade deal continues, Chinese data will be gleaned for the economy's growth prospects in the current quarter. Our Greater China economist, Iris Pang, sees some pick-up in momentum and has recently [revised the 4Q growth forecast higher](#), but it all depends on a trade deal materialising.

Lots of data from India will likely bear out the continued weak growth trend, while inflation rising past the central bank's 4% target reduces room for easing. Does the inflation target matter? We don't think so. We see one more 25bp RBI rate cut in December.

Indonesia's GDP growth remains stuck at the 5% rate it's been on since 2014. This is despite 100 basis points of central bank rate cuts. The October trade figures are unlikely to display any vigour coming into the final quarter of the year. In the Philippines, growth gained some traction in the third quarter, though that was all about pent-up government spending (due to the delayed budget) rather than monetary easing which, in fact, failed to pull investment growth out of the negative territory. Still, that's enough of a reason for the BSP to pause for now.

Japan and Malaysia report their 3Q GDP figures. Japan's growth probably got a boost from the front-loading of spending before the consumption tax hike in October. That's indeed going to be transitory. In Malaysia, an accelerated export decline probably dragged GDP growth lower, ending the economy's relative outperformance in the first half of the year. We think this is a good enough trigger for the central bank to utilise some of the policy space it has at the next meeting in January.

Asia Economic Calendar

Country	Time	Data/event	ING	Survey	Prev.
Saturday 9 November					
China	0130	Oct CPI (YoY%)	3.0	3.4	3
	0130	Oct PPI (YoY%)	-1.0	-1.5	-1.2
		Oct Money supply (M2) (YoY%)	8.2	8.4	8.4
		Oct Aggregate finance (CNY bn)	1135.0	-	2272.5
	-	Oct New Yuan loans (CNY bn)	845.0	-	1691
Monday 11 November					
Malaysia	0400	Sep Industrial production (YoY%)	1.0	-	1.9
Tuesday 12 November					
India	1200	Sep Industrial production (YoY%)	-4.5	-1.2	-1.1
Singapore	0500	Sep Retail sales value (MoM SA/YoY%)	0.5/-3.6	-/-	-1.3/-4.1
South Korea	2300	Oct Unemployment rate (% SA)	3.5	-	3.4
Wednesday 13 November					
India	1200	Oct CPI (YoY%)	4.3	-	4.0
Thursday 14 November					
China	0200	Oct Fixed asset investment (YTD, YoY%)	5.4	5.4	5.4
	0200	Oct Industrial Production (YoY%)	5.8	5.4	5.8
	0200	Oct Retail Sales (YoY%)	8.00	7.8	7.8
Philippines	0800	Overnight Borrowing Rate	4.00	-	4.00
Friday 15 November					
India	-	Oct Imports (YoY%)	-17.8	-	-13.9
	-	Oct Exports (YoY%)	-8.1	-	-6.6
	-	Oct Trade deficit (US\$bn)	-12.2	-	-10.9
Hong Kong	0830	3Q F GDP (Q) (QoQ SA/ YoY%)	-/-2.9	-/-	-3.2/-2.9
Malaysia	0400	3Q GDP (QoQ SA/YoY%)	1.0/4.4	-/-	1.0/4.9
	0400	3Q Current account (Q) (MYR bn)	15.1	-	14300
Indonesia	0400	Oct Exports (YoY%)	-2.1	-	-5.7
	0400	Oct Imports (YoY%)	-9.2	-	-2.4
	0400	Oct Trade balance (US\$mn)	-496	-	-160.5
Philippines	-	Sep OCW remittances (YoY%)	3.9	-	4.6

Source: ING, Bloomberg, *GMT

[Click here to download a printer-friendly version of this table](#)

Author

Olivia Grace

Editor

olivia.grace@ing.com

Julian Geib

Junior Economist, Global Trade

julian.geib@ing.de

Zoltán Homolya

Economic research trainee

zoltan.homolya@ing.com

Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Economist, Global Macro

amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior UK & Eurozone Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Senior Economist, Healthcare & Technology

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist
ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson
EM Sovereign Strategist
James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith
Digital Editor
sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky
EMEA FX & FI Strategist
frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak
Senior Economist, Poland
adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang
Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan
min.joo.kang@ing.com

Coco Zhang
ESG Research
coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman
Senior Sector Strategist, TMT
jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind
Senior Economist, Services and Leisure
Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc
Sector Strategist, Financials
Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan
Junior Economist
samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl
Senior Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Deputy Global Head of Editorial and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist

timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland

leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy

antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporate Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands
marcel.klok@ing.com

Paolo Pizzoli
Senior Economist, Italy, Greece
paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom
Chief Economist and Global Head of Research
marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering
Senior Macro Economist
raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen
Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios
maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller
Head of Financials Sector Strategy
Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson
Head of Commodities Strategy
Warren.Patterson@ing.com

Rafal Benecki
Chief Economist, Poland
rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent
Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg
philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz
Chief Economist, Hungary
peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner
Senior Economist, Global Trade
inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming
Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands
Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania
+40 31 406 8990
ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan
Chief Economist, Turkey
muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang
Chief Economist, Greater China
iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman
Writer, Group Research
+44 20 7767 6209
Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA
Regional Head of Research, Americas
padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley
Chief International Economist, US
james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon
Asia Chief Economist
+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet
Senior Interest Rate Strategist
+31 20 563 8801
martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski
Senior Economist, Poland
Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski
Global Head of Macro
carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel
Foreign Exchange Strategist
+44 20 7767 6405
viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content
+44 (0) 207 767 5331
owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn
Chief Economist, Netherlands
bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte
Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone
peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder
Senior Rates Strategist
benjamin.schroeder@ing.com


Chris Turner
Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE
chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel
Chief Economist, LATAM
+1 646 424 6464
gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo
Economist, Digital Finance
+44 20 7767 5306
carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

One more cut from New Zealand's central bank?

Markets are still pricing in one more rate cut from the Reserve Bank of New Zealand, which would take cash rates to 0.75%, in line with Australia. But the argument for the cut is running quite thin. With the help of extended short speculative positioning, NZD could regain momentum



Source: Shutterstock

The arguments for a rate cut...

The arguments for another rate cut in New Zealand seem to boil down to the following:

1. Inflation remains below the central bank's target
2. Growth and the labour market are weak
3. External headwinds need some offsetting support

To which you could add some supplementary arguments along the lines of:

- The New Zealand dollar has appreciated recently tightening financial conditions
- Reserve Bank of Australia rates are lower than policy rates in New Zealand;
- Markets are still pricing in a cut

This last argument is a frequent fallback for forecasters. These days, it almost seems inconceivable that a central bank should do anything that might take markets by surprise. Yet the recent behaviour of financial markets suggests that in terms of monetary easing, they always want more. The last cut is never quite enough, and every rate cut sets up an expectation for another. At some stage, you have to bite the bullet and ask, who is setting monetary policy, markets or central banks?


A rate cut now that was followed by higher inflation in a few months' time might look like a brilliant piece of central banking, but it would have been totally unnecessary

Even now, at about 70%, the market pricing of a further cut does not come across as particularly heartfelt. Before the recent labour market "disappointment", it was only just over 50%. A single decent data release might eradicate any lingering expectation of another cut. Unfortunately, there is no top tier data due before next week's meeting on 13 November.

As for what Australia's central bank has done - historically, New Zealand's policy rates have exceeded those in its bigger neighbour by about 25bp - as they do currently. They only tend to coincide briefly, when easing or tightening cycles overlap and timing differs slightly. In other words, there is no sense in which the current difference in cash rates between these two economies is anything other than normal, or that it has any undesirable connotations for AUD/NZD movements.

And as for the current value of the NZD, in neither real nor nominal terms, does its effective exchange rate index look anything other than fairly weak. If there are deficiencies in the economy (and that is looking increasingly debatable), they are not there because of an overvalued currency.

Few valuation concerns about the NZD despite recent pick up



Back to the fundamentals then?


Well at 1.5% for 3Q19, inflation is lower than it was in 2Q19 (1.7%) but came in higher than expected as consensus was 1.4%. Moreover, the hurdle for it to climb back to 2.0% - the RBNZ's target midpoint in 4Q19 is very low. Two consecutive 0.1% QoQ readings in 4Q18 and 1Q19 mean that New Zealand's inflation should hit 2.0% in 4Q19, and something in the 2.3 - 2.4% range in 1Q20 if current trends persist, and depending on series noise. A rate cut now that was followed by higher inflation in a few months' time might look like a brilliant piece of central banking, but it would have been totally unnecessary.

The argument that growth is weak is old, and no longer really stacks up against the evidence. The economy looks as if it will show year on year growth of about 2.8% in 3Q19 and 4Q19

The argument that growth is weak is old, and no longer really stacks up against the evidence. The economy looks as if it will show year on year growth of about 2.8% in 3Q19 and 4Q19. And though this will have been flatter in comparison to the weak base, underlying growth adjusting for that should be about 2.4% - not a bad result, even if it is a bit slower than the 3% historical trend. Economic momentum seems to be creeping back into the economy. The housing market is showing signs of renewed life. Business confidence is improving. Employment growth is positive albeit not very strong, and the uptick in the unemployment rate in 3Q19 looked to be more to do with increased participation in the labour force than a genuine rise in the proportion of joblessness. In 3Q19, the labour force increased by 13,000, unemployment increased by 7,000, so for all the new entrants to the labour force last quarter, almost half went straight into employment. The residual will probably be mopped up next quarter.

Even the external headwinds don't seem quite as threatening as they did a few months ago. Admittedly, part of this stems from the US administration taking a softer tone with China as their own economy shows signs of faltering. But the tit-for-tat escalation in the trade war seems to have stopped. So even if current expectations are, in our view, too optimistic about the prospects of any sort of deal, sentiment-boosting rate cuts at today's already very low levels also seem an unnecessary waste, when there may be a genuine need for such cuts in the future.

Inflation should hit its target next quarter



Source: Bloomberg, ING


Net result...

Of course, we don't get away with just making the argument for or against a particular decision, we also have to second-guess what the central bank will do, even if we disagree with their reasons for doing it. That is much harder. The early easing in May showed the Reserve Bank of New Zealand more ready to act than Australia's central bank which didn't cut until June and then left rates unchanged this month. The back to back RBNZ cuts that then followed in July and August also showed the RBNZ is happy to be aggressive.

Our call then is for no change at this meeting since any rate cut this month would be seen in all likelihood as the last in a series

Our call then is for no change at this meeting since any rate cut this month would be seen in all likelihood as the last in a series, and make little difference to current activity or sentiment, and would also be more useful held in reserve in case really needed in the future. But this isn't far off being a 50:50 call.

NZD is the biggest speculative short in G10



Source: CFTC, Bloomberg, ING

NZD: Positioning to exacerbate positive catalysts

The kiwi dollar has enjoyed a very positive October but things corrected in the first week of November. The optimism around trade talks has not only pushed yields higher but also contributed to a re-pricing in rate cut expectations. Over the past three weeks, the implied probability of a November rate cut went from 100% to a low of 50% and is now hovering around 70% after the disappointing labour market data this week.

If as we are inclined to believe, the RBNZ stays on hold, this will set NZD to substantially outperform the rest of G10 next week

At the same time, these dynamics in spot prices were not matched by similar moves in the markets speculative positioning. According to CFTC data, the net short positions on NZD have been consistently more than 50% of open interest since mid-September. This suggests that there is a high potential for some short-squeezing to exacerbate any positive catalyst for the currency.

The high uncertainty around the RBNZ meeting suggests caution around next week's performance for the kiwi dollar. However, the balance of risks seems marginally tilted to the upside. Even if the Bank decides to cut, markets may well assume this would represent the end of the cycle, and price out more easing for the months ahead, thereby mitigating the fall in rates and in NZD.

If as we are inclined to believe, the RBNZ stays on hold, this will set NZD to substantially outperform the rest of G10 next week. When adding the over-mentioned extended short positioning, it is fair to assume a more limited downside room compared to the upside potential ahead of next week's meeting.

Author

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Philippines: 3Q GDP growth quickens to 6.2%

Economic activity picked up in the third quarter with steady consumption and resurgent government spending lifting growth above 6%



Source: Shutterstock

6.2% 3Q GDP growth

Higher than expected

PHL 3Q GDP growth quickens to 6.2%

Snapping two quarters of sub-6% expansion, the Philippines managed to churn out growth of 6.2% to keep the bid for 6% full year growth alive. Besting market consensus for a 6.0% gain, growth was boosted by heavyweight household consumption which was up 5.9% as inflation dipped below 1%. Also contributing to growth was the resurgence of government spending which jumped to 9.6% while investment remained an overall drag to economic growth, posting a -2.1% print for the quarter.

The good

Household consumption, which accounts for roughly 57.4% of economic activity, was the main driver for the better than expected 3Q result. Growing by 5.9%, the sector delivered 3.3 percentage points to the overall 6.2% expansion with purchasing power lifted by the slide in inflation.

Government spending (+9.6%) continued to recover as the 2020 budget bill finally came online, mirroring the 39% jump in overall government expenditure growth posted in September. Spending by the government on operating expenses contributed roughly 0.89 percentage points to the total and we can expect this pace to accelerate going into 4Q with the administration pledging even faster spending to chase the -3.0% deficit-to-GDP target for the year.

The not so good

Capital formation was negative for the second straight quarter, weighed down mainly by the contraction in investment for durable equipment (-9.1%). Construction activity was the bright spot for the sector, posting a strong 17.3% expansion but ultimately was unable to lift the segment into positive territory. Capital formation accounts for roughly 25% of the economy and as a whole, it weighed down on growth by 0.56 of a percentage point. With the recent policy easing from the central bank, Economic Minister, Pernia, shared that growth should get a boost, in particular with investment activity to continue to rebound and lead to purchases of durable equipment in 4Q. Meanwhile, the trade sector managed a flat performance in 3Q as exports appeared to struggle, weighed down by the US-China trade war. Meanwhile, imports of capital goods and raw materials were disappointing with the poor performance tagged to the budget delay. Going forward, we can expect the trade gap to widen but this may actually be a welcome sign should imports of machinery and durable equipment return to help drive the investment engines of the Philippine growth story.

Philippines chases full year 6% growth

With the 6.2% growth print in 3Q, we expect the Philippines to continue to chase full-year growth of 6% to keep the string of strong growth alive (streak of 7 straight years). Household consumption may continue to deliver, given that inflation in the fourth quarter remains largely benign. Meanwhile, if recent trends shown in 3Q data foretell the pace of spending in 4Q, government expenditure on both operating costs as well as public construction should surge to close out the year. In order to complete the growth picture however, the PHL will need to see a positive contribution from the investment picture, which has languished in contraction for two straight quarters. Monetary easing and improving investor sentiment will likely lift capital formation to close out the year with an accelerated pace of capital formation growth expected in 2020, with recent Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) rate cuts feeding into the economy. Pernia estimates that the PHL will need to achieve 6.7% growth in 4Q to get full-year growth above 6% and we believe this remains highly achievable if the economy finally fires on all cylinders once again. The better-than-expected growth will, however limit pressure on the BSP to cut rates again in early in 1Q 2020. But given the dovish rhetoric from BSP Governor Diokno, we continue to pencil in further easing from the BSP in 2020.

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.