

Good MornING Asia - 8 May 2020

As Asia trades ahead of today's US jobs report, the idea of perhaps more than 20 million new jobless being priced into markets seems hard to accept

In this bundle



20 million reasons for caution

As Asia trades ahead of today's US jobs report, the idea of perhaps more than 20 million new jobless being priced into markets seems hard to accept

By Robert Carnell



Asia Morning Bites

ASEAN Morning Bytes

US and China set to discuss trade next week as economies move to gradually reopen



Asia week ahead

Asia week ahead: A big data pipeline

Economic releases from China and India crowd the Asian calendar, as India heads for its worst economic slump while Malaysia's 1Q20 GDP report card is...



Listen: Lockdown lessons from Asia

As the US, UK and other countries in Europe move to ease lockdown measures, we look to countries that have already started to emerge from the crisis for...

By Robert Carnell and Rebecca Byrne



Asia: Lockdowns work, they also hurt

There is a really wide spectrum of experience for Asia in terms of the Covid-19 outbreak, the responses, the state of the economy and the proximity to a...

By Robert Carnell



China: Challenges shift from supply chain to global demand

China's economy is recovering from the damage caused by Covid-19. The broken supply chain is not the top issue anymore. Weak global demand is. As a...



FX | China

China: Surprise! Exports rebound

Exports expanded in April after contracting in March. But this should not be perceived as an upward trend, and the threat of a trade war could change...



Philippines

Philippines: 1Q GDP contracts - steep drop ahead

The streak of 84 consecutive quarters of positive growth has ended, at the hands of Covid-19

Opinion | 8 May 2020

20 million reasons for caution

As Asia trades ahead of today's US jobs report, the idea of perhaps more than 20 million new jobless being priced into markets seems hard to accept



Go figure

I've long given up thinking that in some way, equity markets provide an insight into the economic future. Liquidity is the ultimate drug for equities, and the outlook for earnings seems to be of little real merit as a predictor.

But less than 24 hours ahead of what will probably rank as the "worst economic report in the history of the world" I find it puzzling that equity futures are hovering in slightly positive ground.

[Check out this from James Knightley for more on the US jobs market.](#)

The usual excuse for this sort of thing is that all the bad news is already priced in. But if so, when did that happen? Recent equity market performance has been steady to slightly higher. And I don't believe that this point occurred the minute the S&P500 hit its 23 March bottom. Investors were still talking about V-shaped recoveries back then (economists have been pretty clear - for once - that this was not on the cards from the word go - despite what you read in some sections of the

media).

Could it in some way be a positive reaction to the fact that December Fed funds futures are now pointing to negative US policy rates? If so, they haven't been paying attention, because if this happens, the liquidity role played by US money markets would likely make financial conditions far tighter, rather than looser. And even in Europe, where liquidity is more of a bank function, negative rates have clearly not provided much help, and there is a good argument to suggest that they've done active harm.

Jerome Powell and his colleagues are smart and I don't think official Fed policy will ever go negative for these reasons. I don't rule this out for market rates further along the curve, however. But in short, the Fed has done practically everything of substance that you could have asked of them, so you can't reasonably put equity optimism down to stimulus expectations.

We'll see. For such a well-flagged car crash, the market reaction to this in either direction will be very interesting.

More trade worries next week

I read on the newswires that US and China trade negotiators will meet next week to see how China has been living up to the commitments that it made to secure last year's trade truce.

Very obviously, on a month by month basis, they will have fallen far short, thanks to the pandemic. But will the US make allowances for this? Reasonableness would argue, "yes". But the politics of this is not so clear. While there is little to be gained economically or in terms of market action from ripping the scab off this old wound, with the economic data flying off the charts in the wrong direction, it could make a useful diversion for the spin-doctors. Next week could also be quite "interesting" as a result.

Let inflation rise to 4%? What do you mean, let?

I skimmed over an article today that suggested that letting US inflation rise to 4% for a decade could help to wipe off the debt accumulated during the pandemic.

I'm sorry. "Let inflation rise to 4%?" Despite what were some of the tightest labour markets on record, and some of the correspondingly most accommodative monetary and fiscal policies on record, including trillions of dollars of quantitative easing, the US was barely able to hit its more modest 2% PCE inflation target for more than a few months in 2011, 2017 and 2018.

It's one thing to play with spreadsheets and "what if" scenarios. But this idea belongs in the trash can, along with the growing number of articles on stagflation I'm reading.

I'll be writing a longer, and most probably quite a ranty piece on why this is nonsense as soon as time allows. But the short version of the note would simply say - there is no mechanism for supply shortages to generate a cost-push inflation spiral any more. Growing alternatives to wage-labour (AI, automation), much larger service sector, decreased unionisation and collective bargaining, all mean that at worst, supply constraints lift the relative prices of a number of goods and services for a time. But it fails to generate the subsequent rounds that would cause this to stick, or to grow, irrespective of central bank accommodation.

No mechanism = no stagflation. Which is a pity, as in my view, as a bit of "flation" of any sort really

would be quite useful. It's wishful thinking though. Debt write-downs are much more likely in my view.

Today in Asia

It is a quiet one today in Asia. Prakash Sakpal writes this on Malaysian industrial production and what it means for next week's GDP release: " March industrial production (IP) data today is the last element in our 1Q20 GDP estimate. We expect a 4.9% YoY IP fall, a negative swing from 5.8% growth in February as the Covid-19 lockdown starting in mid-March dented activity. This result would still deliver slightly positive quarterly IP growth. Both exports and manufacturing held their ground in 1Q, but were outweighed by a sharp slump in services due to stalled tourism and movement restrictions. We expect a 4.2% YoY GDP fall in 1Q20 (data is due next week, 13 May)".

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

ASEAN Morning Bytes

US and China set to discuss trade next week as economies move to gradually reopen



EM Space: US payroll report expected to show another round of substantial job losses

- **General Asia:** The US and China may meet next week via teleconference to revisit the “phase one deal” with Trump threatening to cancel the deal should China miss their target for US imports. Investor sentiment should remain fragile after poor industrial output data out from major European markets although China managed to post growth for its export sector on Thursday. Friday’s highlight will be the US jobs report which should show another round of substantial job destruction as lockdowns in the US continue to disrupt economic activity.
- **Malaysia:** March industrial production (IP) data today is the last element in our 1Q20 GDP estimate. We expect a 4.9% YoY IP fall, a negative swing from 5.8% growth in February as the Covid-19 lockdown starting in mid-March dented activity. This result would still deliver slightly positive quarterly IP growth. Both exports and manufacturing held their ground in 1Q, but were outweighed by a sharp slump in services due to stalled tourism and movement restrictions. We expect a 4.2% YoY GDP fall in 1Q20 (data is due next week, 13 May).
- **Philippines:** Philippine 1Q GDP dropped into contraction, catching almost all analysts by surprise as the growth numbers showcased the early onset of the Covid-19 virus and the detrimental impact of lockdowns on output. 1Q GDP fell to -0.2% with all signs pointing to a

recession by 2Q as most of the country has been under quarantine for roughly 2 months. The dismal outlook was enough to prod Fitch to revise its outlook for the Philippines to stable from positive. We expect PHP to come under some pressure on Friday as corporates move to secure dollars ahead of the possible gradual reopening of the economy scheduled for 15 May.

What to look out for: US jobs report and Covid-19 developments

- Philippines remittances (8 May)
- Malaysia industrial production (8 May)
- Taiwan trade (8 May)
- US non-farm payrolls (8 May)

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Asia week ahead: A big data pipeline

Economic releases from China and India crowd the Asian calendar, as India heads for its worst economic slump while Malaysia's 1Q20 GDP report card is set to show a contraction of more than 4%. But noise about the origin of the Covid-19 virus and a potential tariff war may become the dominating theme



Source: Shutterstock

➔ China: Has the slowdown troughed?

China's monthly data for April will continue to dominate the headlines. We got PMI data last week, followed by trade figures this week. Next week will see inflation (CPI and PPI), monetary (aggregate finance, new loans, and M2), and real economic monetary indicators - industrial production, fixed assets investment, and retail sales.

The forthcoming data may shed light on the post-Covid-19 economic recovery coming into the

second quarter. However, before we even think of recovery, we don't know if we are at the trough. Maybe not yet, with global demand destruction weighing on exports and caution exercised on domestic spending throughout most of this year. That said, our Greater China Economist, Iris Pang, is hopeful of industrial production returning to growth and investment and retail sales posting moderate declines in April compared to March.

More than data though, noise about the origin of the Covid-19 virus and a potential resumption of the tariff war may remain the dominating theme for markets.

➔ India headed for worst economic slump

China may have passed the worst of its Covid-19 outbreak but India is still suffering and the economy is already feeling the pain if the single-digit composite PMI in April are anything to go by, which according to the data compiler (IHS Markit) corresponds to an annual 15% GDP contraction. All seems to be coming crashing down except inflation, as the data should show next week.

No points for guessing that a 35% YoY plunge in exports in March coupled with weak domestic demand was associated with the sharp fall in output. We forecast over 10% YoY fall in industrial production in March. But it's going to be much worse in April based on our forecast of over 40% export fall that month.

And, consumer prices are likely to show persistently high inflation, close to the top end of the Reserve Bank of India's 2-6% policy target. As I gather from my conversation with friends and relatives in India, all are feeling the pinch of supply shortages and food items are becoming acutely expensive.

The bottom line is that India is headed for its worst economic slump ahead.

➔ Malaysia's GDP to contract by more than 4%

Malaysia's 1Q20 GDP report arrives next Wednesday on 13 May. It won't be pretty as can be gauged from Bank Negara Malaysia's double-barrel, 50 basis point rate cut this week. We estimate over 4% YoY GDP contraction - a sharp swing from 3.6% growth in the previous quarter and the worst since the global financial crisis.

Malaysia's had a turbulent few months. First, the political turmoil overthrowing the Mahathir Muhammad government in late February depressed economic confidence. Just as the Muhyiddin administration assumed power, Covid-19 movement restrictions in mid-March came into play stalling economic activity for the remainder of the quarter. Even so, exports and manufacturing held ground with almost flat growth over a year ago. But, services including retail, transport, tourism, etc. took a strong beating. On the spending side, it's an across-the-board weakness in all GDP components including consumption, investment, and net trade.

Of course, the 1Q data doesn't capture the full impact of the pandemic. That's for the current quarter when the additional hit from a slump in the global oil prices to the net oil exporter economy will cause a much steeper GDP fall. This is why we have added another 50bp rate cut to our forecast in this cycle.

Key events

Country	Time Data/event	ING	Survey	Prev.
Tuesday 12 May				
China	0230 Apr PPI (YoY%)	-2.6	-2.6	-1.5
	0230 Apr CPI (YoY%)	4.0	3.7	4.3
India	1300 Apr CPI (YoY%)	5.8	5.7	5.91
	1300 Mar Industrial production (YoY%)	-10.5	-8	4.5
Wednesday 13 May				
Malaysia	0500 1Q GDP (YoY%)	-4.2	-	3.6
	0500 1Q Current account (Q) (MYR bn)	2.8	-	7.6
	0500 1Q GDP (Q) (QoQ% SA)	-6.6	-	0.6
S Korea	0000 Apr Unemployment rate (% SA)	4.1	-	3.8
Thursday 14 May				
India	0730 Apr WPI (YoY%)	0.6	-	1
Friday 15 May				
China	0300 Apr Industrial Production (YoY%)	2.2	1.5	-1.1
	0300 Apr Retail Sales (YoY%)	-11.9	-5.2	-15.8
	0300 Apr Fixed asset investment (YTD, YoY%)	-13.0	-8.5	-16.1
India	- Apr Trade deficit (US\$bn)	-8.7	-	-9760
	- Apr Exports (YoY%)	-42.0	-	-34.57
	- Apr Imports (YoY%)	-44.0	-	-28.72
Hong Kong	0930 1Q F GDP (Q) (YoY%)	-	-	-8.9
	0930 1Q F GDP (Q) (QoQ% SA)	-	-	-5.3
Indonesia	0500 Apr Trade balance (US\$mn)	-	-	743.4
	0500 Apr Exports (YoY%)	-	-	-0.2
	0500 Apr Imports (YoY%)	-	-	-0.75

Source: Bloomberg, ING

Author

Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist

ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist

James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith

Digital Editor

sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang

ESG Research

coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials

Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist

samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl

Economist, Germany
Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne
Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst
rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani
Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)
mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill
Credit Strategist
timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek
Senior Economist, Poland
leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA
Senior High Yield Credit Strategist
oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet
Head of European Rates Strategy
antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek
Global Head of Sector Research
jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma
Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare
edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole
FX Strategist
francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman
Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics
Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen
Sector Economist
jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS
dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa
Senior Economist, Philippines
nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov
Senior Credit Analyst
egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke
Consumer Economist
sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga
Senior Sector Economist, Energy
gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier
Head of Corporates Sector Strategy
nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier
Senior Economist, France and Switzerland
charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter
Behavioural Scientist
+31(0)611172684
laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru
Chief Economist, Romania
valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith
Developed Markets Economist, UK
james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen
Senior Sector Strategist, Financials
suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer
Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri
thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands

marcel.klok@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland

piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering

Senior Macro Economist

raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland

rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary

peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan

Chief Economist, Turkey

muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland
Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski
Global Head of Macro
carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel
Foreign Exchange Strategist
+44 20 7767 6405
viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas
Global Head of Editorial Content
+44 (0) 207 767 5331
owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn
Chief Economist, Netherlands
bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte
Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone
peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder
Senior Rates Strategist
benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner
Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE
chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel
Chief Economist, LATAM
+1 646 424 6464
gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo
Economist, Digital Finance
+44 20 7767 5306
carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Podcast | 7 May 2020

Listen: Lockdown lessons from Asia

As the US, UK and other countries in Europe move to ease lockdown measures, we look to countries that have already started to emerge from the crisis for clues about what to expect in the weeks ahead. In this podcast, ING's Head of Asia Pacific Research Rob Carnell discusses the lessons learned from Asia



First in, first out?

China was the first country to enter lockdown and it's been the first to exit. But the first in, first out logic doesn't always stack up. [In this podcast](#), ING's Head of Asia Pacific Research Rob Carnell tells Senior Editor Rebecca Byrne what the West can learn from China's experience, how the country is positioning itself on the world stage in the midst of the pandemic and whether the global recovery could be hampered by another trade war.

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com**Rebecca Byrne**

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Article | 7 May 2020

Asia: Lockdowns work, they also hurt

There is a really wide spectrum of experience for Asia in terms of the Covid-19 outbreak, the responses, the state of the economy and the proximity to a reopening of the economy where it has been closed



Source: Shutterstock


Workers produce protective masks at a factory.

Asia can be split into three groups

In terms of the pandemic, the response, and the state of the economy, you can split Asia into three main groups. :

1. Didn't go into complete lockdown – South Korea, and Taiwan
2. Locked down quickly, and with vigour – (China), Australia, New Zealand
3. Gradually locked down, partial lockdowns, or late lockdowns – everyone else

Asia Pacific and the pandemic



Source: ING
Asia and the Pandemic

No lockdown sees the smallest GDP loss

Both Taiwan and Korea are not far from a domestic “business as usual” setting, except for the fact that they are “islands” in a world where almost everyone else is locked down.

But both are seeing some mixed signs in manufacturing, though the true magnitude is only likely to be evident with the release of April data. Trade figures have been extremely weak. But domestic demand, though depressed, is beginning to come back.

Economic recovery will only come with an end to lockdowns and a moderation in the local aspects of the pandemic

In the vigorous lockdown group, these countries are already largely out of the most severe forms of lockdown, and phasing to less restrictive forms, with greater freedom of movement and more retail opportunities. Both Australia and New Zealand, like China, show very low daily new Covid-19 case. Further opening of the economy will likely be phased, and quickly reversible. Policy measures have largely been taken and whilst reversal is not on even the medium term calendar, it is hard to see further substantive monetary or fiscal easing. Nonetheless, recovery is likely to be slow. Forget V-shaped.

The final group includes the late/partial/incremental lock-downers, into which you can put most of the ASEAN, including Singapore, as well as Japan (though not technically a lockdown in either).

In some cases, progress with the outbreak is being made, with daily new cases numbers trending

lower. In others (Japan) the trends are upwards. But a lack of reliable testing makes it impossible to generalise about this group or make coherent comparisons. Many lockdowns are being extended into May. Singapore and Japan will probably be in lockdown until early June. Indonesia may have to adopt tougher measures after the end of Ramadan. Also in this group is India, where easing restrictions seems premature given the Covid-19 backdrop.

Lockdowns work, they also hurt

While lockdowns remain in place, the economies of these countries will continue to suffer extreme stress in terms of business failure, household spending and employment shocks. Fiscal measures have already been implemented on a large scale where possible, and monetary policy likewise (though with less direct impact).

Some modest further easing is likely on an ongoing basis where available, but in a few cases will this be substantial or definitive. Economic recovery will only come with an end to lockdowns and a moderation in the local aspects of the pandemic.

In time, global pick up also lends a hand.

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

Article | 7 May 2020

China: Challenges shift from supply chain to global demand

China's economy is recovering from the damage caused by Covid-19. The broken supply chain is not the top issue anymore. Weak global demand is. As a result, companies within China may focus more on the domestic market given stimulus from the "New Infra" scheme. But the risk of a new trade and technology war is returning



Source: Shutterstock

A lady help a child to put on face mask as a precautionary measure against the COVID-19 coronavirus, along Tsim Sha Tsui Promenade

Broken supply chain no longer an issue if there is little demand

Back in February, city lockdowns kept Chinese workers away from factories. This created a sharp disruption in the global supply chain.

By mid-March however, many of these workers were finally able to leave their home towns and go back to work. But at the same time, buyers in the US and Europe were withdrawing orders. With no clear indication as to when global demand would recover, many Chinese factories were forced to lay off the workers they had just hired.

This has yet to be fully reflected in the GDP contraction of 6.8% year-on-year in the first quarter. More of this will be seen in the coming quarters as unemployment rates are high in buyers'

markets.

Manufacturers turn to the Chinese market

Factories are now turning to the domestic market. The moderate recovery of inbound tourism during the May Golden Week holiday, even with strict social distancing measures imposed, has given some hope to retailers and manufacturers.

Together with the new infrastructure plan, which is worth CNY8 trillion in 2020, China may be a more promising market for retailers and digital services.



Source: ING

The “New Infra” plan to support the economy

The Chinese government has created a theme of stimulus for the recovery, dubbed “New Infra”. It’s ‘new’ because it has a lot of digitalisation elements compared to the ‘old’ infrastructure, which is largely bricks and mortar.

5G, a big-data centre, AI & Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT), ultra-high voltage connections, high-speed rails and metro networks, and electric-car chargers, are the major elements in the scheme. The plan consists of 22,000 projects, which is worth a total of CNY49.6 trillion over several years, of which 8 trillion yuan will be invested in 2020. Most of this is private investment, combined with government strategic planning.

Frankly, elements in the “New Infra” scheme are not brand new, with the exception of the big-data centre project. The big-data centre idea seems to have emerged from the Covid-19 crisis, with many office workers forced to work from home, while factories have tried to control operation lines remotely without enough workers. These activities have increased the flow of data quite suddenly. The project could allow China to become the champion of remote working in the next decade and also more prepared for an aging population.

Recovery depends on trade and technology wars

Even with a well-planned stimulus scheme, a trade and technology war with the US would make China’s road to recovery more difficult.

Our GDP forecast of -1.5% for the whole of 2020 is based on the assumption that trade and technology wars won't intensify. We may downgrade our GDP forecast if this assumption is wrong.

Author

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

China: Surprise! Exports rebound

Exports expanded in April after contracting in March. But this should not be perceived as an upward trend, and the threat of a trade war could change this. The yuan will be volatile



Source: Shutterstock

A surprise of export rebound

Exports rose 3.5% year-on-year in April after contracting by 6.6% in March.

This is due to exports of medical supplies to the rest of the world. Medical equipment exports rose by 11% year-on-year, year to date in April from -3.4% in March. Another growth item was 5G infrastructure parts and processors, which is driven by government policy rather than by market demand.

Other than these two special items, we find that exports of consumer goods, including clothing and footwear, continued to contract, which signals weak global demand.

The fall in imports deepened a lot to -14.2% YoY in April from -0.9% a month ago. Importers expect weak global demand for goods, as imports of parts for final manufacturing are shrinking.

Exports are likely to contract again

As some major cities begin to relax social distancing measures, China's exports of medical supplies are likely to slow down abruptly.

Without exports of medical supplies, overall exports are likely to contract again on a year-on-year

basis. This is because demand in major economies is weak and will continue to be so due to high levels of unemployment. Matching jobs could take more time than normal longer given the large numbers of unemployed workers.

There could be two exceptional items to support imports in the coming months even if imports of parts for the manufacturing of final products continues to shrink. The first one is imports of oil for national strategic stocks. The second is imports of agricultural products to fulfill the phase one trade deal with US.

Threat of trade war is increasing

The threat of a trade war with the US is increasing. We believe that the exchange of words could go on for another three to six months.

Given the dire situation of the US economy and the fact that a trade war would hurt both economies, the possibility of the US imposing tariffs on Chinese goods, for now, is still low but we would not rule out this risk completely. If the US imposes renewed tariffs on China, China will retaliate.

Yuan moving with the trade war news may need a revision

If these risks increase, the yuan is likely to depreciate against the dollar. This has not been reflected in our point forecast of USD/CNY at 7.15 by end of 2Q20. We will revise the yuan forecast accordingly as the situation develops.

Author

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Philippines: 1Q GDP contracts - steep drop ahead

The streak of 84 consecutive quarters of positive growth has ended, at the hands of Covid-19



Source: Shutterstock

-0.2% 1Q 2020 GDP
first contraction in 84 quarters
Worse than expected

The prelude: 1Q GDP shows early signs of Covid-19 impact

GDP contracted in 1Q due to a volcanic eruption and the early onset of the Covid-19 virus. Disruptions to the supply chain and anxiety about the then looming virus may have hurt investment momentum (-18.3%). Exports and imports fell sharply as the global supply chain was in disarray - with major trading partners China, Japan and Korea all battling the virus from as early as January. Household consumption posted a mere 0.2% expansion as the 15 March implementation of the lockdown forced Filipinos into home quarantine, while shuttering business and manufacturing activity for roughly 75% of the economy. Government spending was the lone bright spot, posting 7.1% growth.

Steep drop ahead

Philippine 1Q GDP dipped unexpectedly into negative territory and we continue to price in a probable deep contraction as early as 2Q, due to stringent lockdown measures and the overall impact of Covid-19. The manufacturing PMI fell into contraction as early as March, remaining so in April (31.6). Manufacturing has remained sidelined, with supply chains disrupted by government restrictions on mobility. Inflation reported last Thursday also hinted at tightening supply conditions, especially for food items which registered an acceleration in inflation to 3.4%, suggesting a possible rebound in price pressures in the near term. The current lockdown which spans almost a full two months of 2Q will undoubtedly drag GDP deep into contraction as we see how destructive an enhanced community quarantine can be for a consumption-driven economy. The 1Q GDP report leads us to downgrade our current -2.2% full year growth forecast to -2.9%.

Help needed

It's all but official. The Philippines will likely post a technical recession in 2020 after 1Q slipped into contraction. 1Q GDP showcases how detrimental lockdown can be for the economy. Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) has rolled out several support measures - with 125 bps worth of rate cuts and 200 bps worth of rate reductions while also partnering with the Treasury to conduct a Php300 bn repurchase agreement. On the fiscal front, the government has rolled out several relief efforts including social amelioration and income replacement. Given the Covid-19 impact on the economy, we expect the government to redouble efforts to plug the gaps through increased spending. The private sector appears to have lost all any punch from its consumption arm.

We expect at least another 25 bps rate cut by the BSP, as early as Thursday. We also look for a possible upsizing of the government's social amelioration package and income replacement programmes, coupled with an aggressive spending plan on construction once the lockdown is lifted.

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.