

Good MornING Asia - 6 March 2019

Australian dollar sitting a little above 0.7050. A push below 0.70 wouldn't take too much and the RBA Governor seems happy to help

In this bundle



Australia

Lowe helps AUD lower

Australian dollar sitting a little above 0.7050. A push below 0.70 wouldn't take too much and the RBA Governor seems happy to help.



China

China: Pro-growth policies signal headwinds remain

China set its GDP growth target for this year at 6.0% to 6.5%, lower than last year's target. Pro-growth measures, despite positive progress in...



Philippines

Philippines: Inflation back within target

Philippine consumer price inflation of 3.8% in February is back within the central bank's (BSP) policy target range of 2-4% after 11 months of...

Lowe helps AUD lower

Australian dollar sitting a little above 0.7050. A push below 0.70 wouldn't take too much and the RBA Governor seems happy to help.



Just a little bit further...

It's hard to find outright calls to make on markets these days, with the US Treasury market priced about right, the dollar too, so aside from the INR, there are few conviction calls to make. One of these is the AUD, which we see weaker this year.

It isn't that there is anything particularly wrong with the Australian economy ([see our recent note for reasons why we think this](#)), but there are few other currencies around which are so wholeheartedly wished weaker by their central bank than the AUD.

In this morning's comments, following the no change decision of the RBA on rates yesterday, RBA Governor, Phillip Lowe said, "It's hard to think of a scenario where interest rates would need to go up this year. Inflation pressures are very benign, wage growth remains low, and the current rate of wage growth is unlikely to generate an inflation rate of 2.5%, and there are a number of other factors that are weighing on inflation at the moment"

A growing number of market economists are rushing to add rate cuts to their forecasts. I don't see it. The economy is going forward, not backward. It just has some issues. The following additional remark by Lowe seems to sum up our expectation of nothing for ages. "...I think it's quite unlikely that inflation's going to be a problem anytime soon, and if it's not, then we can keep the **current setting** of monetary policy for some time" (our emphasis)

BIS warns of risk from Investment Grade bonds

Normally, an investment grade is an indicator of a degree of safety in an investment. But in a very thin day for news, one story has caught my eye, which is the risk posed by the pile of low rated, but still investment grade credit that high yield investors would struggle to absorb in the event of a mass downgrade.

The bit that made me cringe, was an analyst saying words to the effect that "Don't worry, the economic outlook remains benign". in other words, it is a theoretical risk only, but one that is unlikely to occur.

We wrote late last week about Paul Krugman's view that the world is headed for recession. Moreover, the un-named analyst's comments are also a bit chicken-and-egg.

It's an interesting story, but it would be a bit more interesting if high yield credit spreads were at a multiperiod low. We'll leave this one for the credit guys to disentangle. It's a bit too hard for a mere economist.

Day ahead - not much

Aside from Australian GDP, which is released about when this note gets published (expected 0.3%QoQ, 2.6%YoY) there isn't a lot going on in Asia today.

The G-7 is only a bit more lively, with ADP figures providing a prelude to Friday's labour report. Another strong number must surely cause some re-think of the rate cuts priced into the market for the US, though maybe we need to see the March 20 FOMC dot diagram before markets will revise their thinking.

Author

Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro
amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland
mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

Alissa Lefebvre

Economist
alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific
Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist

ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist

James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith

Digital Editor

sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang

ESG Research

coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials

Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist

samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist

timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland

leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy

antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands

marcel.klok@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland

piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering

Senior Macro Economist

raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy
Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki
Chief Economist, Poland
rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent
Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg
philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz
Senior Economist, Hungary
peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner
Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade
inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming
Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands
Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu
Chief Economist, Romania
+40 31 406 8990
ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan
Chief Economist, Turkey
muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang
Chief Economist, Greater China
iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman
Writer, Group Research
+44 20 7767 6209
Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA
Regional Head of Research, Americas
padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley
Chief International Economist, US
james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist
+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist
+31 20 563 8801
martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland
Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro
carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist
+44 20 7767 6405
viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content
+44 (0) 207 767 5331
owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands
bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone
peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist
benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE
chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM
+1 646 424 6464
gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

China: Pro-growth policies signal headwinds remain

China set its GDP growth target for this year at 6.0% to 6.5%, lower than last year's target. Pro-growth measures, despite positive progress in US-China trade talks, suggest to us that either China doesn't have full confidence in a trade truce or that the damages from the trade conflict cannot easily be undone



Source: Shutterstock

GDP growth target of 6% to 6.5% worries the market

Although the market probably should have expected a lower growth target, investors reacted negatively when the 6% to 6.5% range for 2019 was announced. Our forecast is 6.3%.

We think it is more important to understand how the Chinese government plans to support the economy to achieve this growth rate, especially in terms of providing job security.

6.0% – 6.5% GDP growth target
2019

The government emphasised fiscal stimulus more than monetary easing

The fiscal deficit target for this year is 2.8%, higher than 2.6% a year ago. And we know that the actual fiscal deficit is around 0.5 percentage points higher than the planned target.

- There will be CNY 2 trillion of cuts in taxes and fees. Among these, cuts in VAT for various sectors are eye-catching. For example, VAT has been reduced to 13% from 16% for the manufacturing sector, and to 9% from 10% for the transportation and construction sectors.
- There will also be CNY 2.15 trillion of local government special bonds to support infrastructure projects.
- We have estimated that the economy needs CNY 4 trillion of stimulus to be able to grow at 6.0% to 6.5%.

However, the government work report did not highlight monetary policy as a tool for stimulating the economy, and the description of "prudent" has re-appeared, which suggests there could be debates within the government about how strong credit growth should be.

The good news is that private enterprises will get more credit so that they can keep, or even grow, the number of jobs, as the target for such loan growth is set at over 30%.

3% VAT cut for manufacturers
from 16% to 13%

+30% Small business loan growth

The surprise is in the yuan mechanism

We are surprised by the wording of the yuan mechanism, which is very vague.

[As we discussed in a previous note](#), the main focus of this government work report, for us, was the description of the yuan's trend, as this is one of the topics to be resolved before any trade agreement between China and the US. The US has already requested that China keep the yuan stable.

The wording of the yuan mechanism in this government work report simply repeats the 2016 version, citing plans to "improve the exchange rate mechanism and maintain the basic stability of the RMB exchange rate at a reasonable and balanced level".

Since 2016, the USD/CNY has closely followed the dollar index. The repetition of the 2016 wording on the exchange rate mechanism could mean that USD/CNY will continue to follow the dollar index. Our forecast of USD/CNY is 6.75 by the end of 2019.

We will have to see if the US accepts this vague exchange rate mechanism as an answer to its request not to depreciate the yuan.

"The wording of the yuan mechanism in this government work report repeats the 2016 version.... Since 2016, the USD/CNY has closely followed the dollar index."

Do pro-growth measures mean the economy will be just fine?

China is again relying on infrastructure investments and construction activities to help its manufacturing sectors. We believe that with so many pro-growth measures, the economy can grow within the target range of 6.0% to 6.5%.

Data related to the manufacturing sector and investments will improve gradually, particularly manufacturing PMIs, industrial profits, raw material prices and fixed asset investments of construction, property developments and infrastructure.

But if consumers worry and job security and wage growth continue to fall, consumption will take a hit. We will put more weight on consumption growth to gauge this year's economic growth.

The fact that China needs such sizeable fiscal stimulus to support economic growth suggests that the headwinds are significant and will not go away easily. The Chinese government is presumably cautious about the development of the trade war. And businesses are also likely to be careful about making big investment decisions until it is very clear that business risks from the trade war have ended.

Author

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Philippines: Inflation back within target

Philippine consumer price inflation of 3.8% in February is back within the central bank's (BSP) policy target range of 2-4% after 11 months of overshooting. This opens doors for the BSP easing to support growth



Source: Shutterstock

3.8%

Lower than expected

February inflation

Back in the BSP's policy target range

Back within target

Consumer price pressure in the Philippine continued to dissipate in February, returning inflation back to within the BSP's 2-4% target range after 11 months of breach. The 3.8% year-on-year CPI inflation of the last month was in line with our forecast and below the Bloomberg consensus median of 4.0%. As is often the case in a cost-push induced inflation episode, inflation has slid from its peak of 6.7% in September-October 2019, to 3.8% in a matter of 4 months. This was thanks to more stable supply chains and relatively well-behaved oil prices. This adds another data point suggesting that the inflation spectre has been tamed for the time being and, more importantly, inflation expectations are now well-entrenched.

Food basket weighs on headline

The basket-heavy food index, the bane of 2018, has helped bring down headline inflation in 2019 with rice prices showing just a 2.9% increase from a year ago.

Easing inflation leaves policy door wide open

With inflation back within the target range, this leaves the door wide open for newly appointed Governor Ben Diokno to think about easing off the brakes and looking to help support the growth side of the equation.

Governor Diokno has spent his career on the fiscal side of the fence and has indicated that the "role of the BSP is to ensure sustained inclusive growth". With the price goal seemingly in hand, it may be time for the BSP to consider the possibility of reducing the reserve requirement ratio in the near term, and eventually lower policy rates to help chase the 7-8% growth target.

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.