

Good MornING Asia - 21 May 2020

No news is good news for now but Thursday's rally could be tempered by the economic outlook

In this bundle



Asia Morning Bites

ASEAN Morning Bytes

No news is good news for now but Thursday's rally could be tempered by the economic outlook



China

China: a pause from the PBoC

The PBoC did not cut interest rates this month. This is despite deleting the description of a prudent monetary policy stance, which created a market...



Malaysia

Malaysia: Falling prices call for more interest rate cuts

Deep negative inflation in Malaysia has driven the real interest rate to one of the highest in Asia – not a good thing for the post-Covid-19...



FX | Philippines

Philippines: Missing the remittance cushion

The Philippines relies heavily on overseas Filipino workers remittances, but with Covid-19, we expect remittances to fall by up to 6.9% in 2020. As the...

ASEAN Morning Bytes

No news is good news for now but Thursday's rally could be tempered by the economic outlook



EM Space: Fed speakers on deck as investors monitor Covid-19 developments

- **General Asia:** Asian markets may bounce back with the focus shifting to how economies are slowly reopening after lockdowns although gains may be limited given the dour outlook on the economic front. Minutes from the most recent Fed meeting coupled with recent testimonies by FOMC officials point to a possibly drawn-out economic downturn which could be amplified should a second wave hit. Investors will continue to take their cue from developments on the virus front with authorities watching out for signs of a second wave after lockdown measures have been lifted in respective states and countries.
- **Malaysia:** April CPI inflation posted its steepest decline since the GFC falling to -2.9% YoY. Lower food, housing and transport prices were responsible. The weak data prompted a further cut to our full-year 2020 inflation forecast to -2.5% from -1.0%. We have also added another 50bp of easing to our forecast of central bank (BNM) policy rates this year, taking rates to an all-time low of 1.00% ([read more here](#)).
- **Thailand:** A 25bp Bank of Thailand rate cut yesterday takes the policy rate to a record low of 0.50%. With the economy already in a recession that's going to be deeper and more prolonged than any in recent history, we won't rule out further BoT rate cuts in this cycle. And, as the easing option via this conventional route is about to be exhausted, talk of

unconventional easing may well gain some momentum.

- **Indonesia:** Indonesia recorded a current account deficit to GDP ratio of 1.4% for 1Q 2020 with the trade in goods balance staying in surplus. Import compression on the back of slowing economic activity was the main reason for the improvement from the -2.8% balance in 4Q 2019 and we expect this trend to continue. Bank Indonesia's (BI) estimates the current account to GDP ratio slipping below -2.0% for the year. Despite the improvement in the current account, IDR has been vulnerable to depreciation, highlighted by the swoon to 16,500 in March with IDR's fate influenced more by financial account flows in 2020. The DR has steadied since its March weakness as investor sentiment has improved as BI monitors the stability of the currency for policy cues.

What to look out for: Fed speakers and Covid-19 developments

- Fed's Bostic, Williams and Bullard speak (21 May)
- US initial jobless claims and existing home sales (21 May)
- Thailand trade (21 May)
- Malaysia, Thailand, Philippines GIR (22 May)
- Fed's Clarida and Powell speak (22 May)

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

China: a pause from the PBoC

The PBoC did not cut interest rates this month. This is despite deleting the description of a prudent monetary policy stance, which created a market consensus that it was going to ease aggressively. Has the PBoC changed its policy trend as the economy has begun to recover?



Source: Shutterstock

PBoC's pause creates some doubts

China's central bank, the PBoC, did not cut any policy interest rates or reserve requirement ratios (RRR) in May. Open market operations so far for this month have been for a net withdrawal of liquidity.

This has created some doubt over whether the central bank has changed course now that the economy has begun to recover.

Has the PBoC changed stance?

We believe that the PBoC has not changed its rate-cutting trend.

1. There is a risk of more shutdowns of SMEs in China, especially factories, which need help from not only fiscal measures but also preferential monetary policies.
2. The timing is somehow embarrassing for the PBoC if it wants to change its monetary policy stance to a tighter one. The Two Sessions will start this week. It is possible that the PBoC has to wait for the approval of the government work report, which will include the monetary

policy stance for the rest of 2020, before it can act further.

3. The monetary policy stance in the last monetary policy report deleted the strong wording of “no aggressive liquidity injection”. This should imply a more aggressive policy stance rather than changing to tighter monetary policy.

Our expectation on monetary policy

As such, we expect the PBoC could ease during the Two Sessions when the government work report is approved. This should include an interest rate cut and a RRR cut of 0.5 to 1.0 percentage point.

Author

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Malaysia: Falling prices call for more interest rate cuts

Deep negative inflation in Malaysia has driven the real interest rate to one of the highest in Asia – not a good thing for the post-Covid-19 economic recovery. We are adding a 50 basis point cut to our forecast for the Bank Negara Malaysia's policy rate this year, taking it to an all-time low of 1.00% by end-2020



-2.9%

April CPI inflation

Year-on-year

Worse than expected

Movement control dents inflation

Released today, Malaysia's consumer price index for April posted a steeper than expected fall, by 2.9% from a year ago, beating the previous low of -2.4% at the height of the global financial crisis in 2009. This was a significant disappointment for the consensus of -1.6% YoY and our -1.8% forecast.

Core inflation stayed in positive territory in April and was also unchanged from a 1.3% rate in March, meaning most of the fall in the headline was due to food and oil-related CPI components. This isn't a surprise though. Food prices typically rise during the month of Ramadan. This year they were weak because restrictions on movement dented the usual festive season demand. Still, despite two straight months of decline in this component, the yearly increase was unchanged at 1.2%.

The controls on movement and unusually weak Ramadan demand also depressed transport costs, which had already been dragged down by lower gasoline prices. A 21.5% YoY fall here surpasses the 20% fall during the GFC. Adding to these negative forces was housing, with a sharp swing from +1.6% YoY in March to -2.2% in April, which has not been seen in the last two decades.

Entrenched deflationary streak

We recently cut our full-year inflation forecast for 2020 to -1% from -0.2%. This now appears to be optimistic given today's price report. Indeed, it would take a significant demand recovery for inflation pressure to return – something that's unlikely to happen at least throughout the rest of this year, with the lingering impact of the disease and persistently weak economic outlook.

We anticipate a deeper fall in prices ahead, as much as 4%, leading to a further downgrade to our annual inflation forecast, to -2.5%.


More central bank rate cuts

Malaysia's central bank doesn't particularly target inflation in setting monetary policy. But the economy, staring at a deep downturn ahead, is demanding more policy accommodation. Cutting interest rates remains an important option at the central bank's disposal, while there is space to do so.

The BNM has cut the overnight policy rate by a total 100 basis point so far this year, including a 50 basis point cut earlier this month (5 May), taking the overnight policy rate to 2.0%. We have an additional 50bp cut forecast for the next meeting in early July. However, with -3% inflation pushing the real interest rate much higher- at 5.0% it is the highest among Asian countries- we don't see any reason why the BNM policy rate couldn't fall further.

We now add another 50bp cut to our BNM policy forecast, driving the OPR to an all-time low of 1.00% by the end of 2020.

Inflation and central bank policy rates in Asia



Source: CEIC, ING

Author

Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist

ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist

James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith

Digital Editor

sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang

ESG Research

coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials

Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist

samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst
rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)
mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist
timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland
leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist
oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy
antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research
jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare
edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist
francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics
Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist
jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS
dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines
nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov
Senior Credit Analyst
egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke
Consumer Economist
sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga
Senior Sector Economist, Energy
gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier
Head of Corporates Sector Strategy
nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier
Senior Economist, France and Switzerland
charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter
Behavioural Scientist
+31(0)611172684
laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru
Chief Economist, Romania
valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith
Developed Markets Economist, UK
james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen
Senior Sector Strategist, Financials
suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer
Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri
thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante
Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors
maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands

marcel.klok@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland

piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering

Senior Macro Economist

raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland

rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary

peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan

Chief Economist, Turkey

muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland

Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist
+44 20 7767 6405
viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas
Global Head of Editorial Content
+44 (0) 207 767 5331
owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn
Chief Economist, Netherlands
bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte
Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone
peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder
Senior Rates Strategist
benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner
Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE
chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel
Chief Economist, LATAM
+1 646 424 6464
gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo
Economist, Digital Finance
+44 20 7767 5306
carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Philippines: Missing the remittance cushion

The Philippines relies heavily on overseas Filipino workers remittances, but with Covid-19, we expect remittances to fall by up to 6.9% in 2020. As the government resumes import-intensive infrastructure programme too, we believe the current account deficit is likely to widen substantially to \$6.3 bn from -\$460m last year



Source: Shutterstock

-6.9%

Projected OFW remittance growth

In 2020


The current account records the flow of goods, services and transfers between residents and non-residents.

For the Philippines, an economy that routinely posts trade deficits, the current account is largely dependent on secondary income, which is supplied by remittances sent home by Filipinos working outside the country. Overseas Filipino Worker (OFW) remittance flows have been a steady source

of foreign exchange and purchasing power for the Philippines over the past few decades.

From a mere \$5.7 bn back in 1997, OFW remittances have grown at an average rate of 9.3% over a span of 22 years while registering 18 straight years of growth since 2002.

Philippine remittances (volume and growth rate)



Overseas Filipino population is as big as Austria's

Official records vary but we estimate that c.9.2m Filipinos are based overseas, roughly equal to the population of Austria.

On average, each Filipino sends home \$267 a month with cash remittance flows totalling \$30.1bn in 2019. Of the 9.2m, only 2.4m are registered with the government with the balance classified as non-registered OFWs or as Filipinos who may have established permanent residence in another country but continue to send remittances to their families.

Filipinos are everywhere (pretty much)

Filipinos live across the globe with remittances sent from Europe, the Americas, the Middle East, Oceania and even Africa.

Philippines remittance flows continued to expand even during severe economic downturns such as the global financial crisis in 2008

This broad-based deployment forms a natural hedge against specific regional recessions in the past, with weak remittance flows from affected areas compensated by remittances from less affected parts of the world. The consistency of remittance flows has also been tagged to the altruistic nature of these transfers, with Filipinos sending home money to finance daily consumption, housing payments or tuition costs and reported to take on extra work just to make ends meet.

These factors were believed to be the reason why remittance flows continued to expand even during severe economic downturns such as the global financial crisis in 2008 or the Federal Reserve taper tantrum in 2013.

But Covid-19 has been a game changer

The Covid-19 pandemic has changed dynamics substantially, with the virus forcing economies around the world to implement strict lockdown measures to help mitigate the spread of infection. This development has undoubtedly threatened employment for scores of OFWs, with more than 230,000 Filipinos recently seeking aid from Philippine authorities as lockdowns were implemented across the globe.

We believe the initial figures reported by the government don't capture the full extent of OFW job losses, with many Filipino workers facing economic hardship in the coming quarters.

Slowdown in remittances to be widespread as lockdowns bite

Although remittances are sourced from almost every country (remittances from even North Korea hit \$6m in 2019), the bulk of remittance flows are sourced from the top 11 host countries, all of which are facing at least a partial lockdown or border control measures. Lockdowns have grounded economies across the globe, resulting in widespread unemployment and contracting GDP.

The bulk of remittance flows are sourced from the top 11 host countries, all of which are facing at least a partial lockdown or border control measures

Oil exporters from the Middle East are top sources of remittances and, with crude prices currently subdued, we expect jobs prospects for the c.1.3 m OFWs in the region to be challenged even further. The United States, which is another big remittance provide recently reported more than 20m jobs losses for the month of April alone with OFWs likely joining the scores of unemployed.

Overall, economic projections for these countries are bleak and all are expected to be in recession this year, threatening job prospects for millions of OFWs based abroad.

Prospects for recovery don't look good even after lockdown ends

Meanwhile, job prospects for OFWs remain bleak even after lockdowns are lifted as the majority of OFWs are employed in sectors that are expected to struggle in a world of social distancing. Based on a survey conducted for registered OFWs, 37.6% of OFWs are employed in "elementary occupations", which include domestic helpers and hotel/restaurant cleaning staff while 18% work in services and sales sectors.

This suggests that OFWs are likely to continue struggling to find employment as sectors such as hotel and restaurants take longer to recover quickly due to social distancing, leading to less remittance flows for OFWs based in these professions.


Given these dire prospects, we now expect OFW remittances to fall by up to 6.9% in 2020, the first contraction since 2001.

Remittances to contract 6.9% as job market remains challenged

The projected pullback in these once robust inflows spells likely economic hardship for recipients of OFW remittances.

Our current GDP forecast for 2020: -2.9%, factors in a drop off in consumption and investment activity as up to \$2.1bn worth of remittances are lost due to the pandemic. On top of the economic impact of foregone remittances, the slowdown of these income transfers will also be reflected in the deterioration in the Philippines' external position.

OFW employment per sector




Source: PSA

PHP performance tied to current account balance

Over the last five years, remittance flows alongside trade in services (business process outsourcing receipts) grew at averages of 5.7% and 12.2%, supporting the current account by offsetting the chronic trade deficit.

However, in 2018 the current account deficit swelled to -\$8.8bn, driven mainly by a surge in imports, forcing PHP to depreciate by 5.3% for the year. A year later, PHP would swing into appreciation, strengthening by 3.7% as the external position improved, with the current account deficit narrowing to -\$460m.

Philippine current account balance and PHP



Source: Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas


Without remittances, currency to weaken as deficit widens

Due to the pandemic, we expect remittance flows to contract by 6.9% in 2020, therefore we believe the current account is likely to be pushed deeper into deficit as remittances dip by c.\$2.1 bn. In addition, we expect import demand to pick up substantially in the coming months as authorities seek to stimulate the economy by resuming large scale, import-intensive construction projects.

Given the projected sudden reversal in remittances and the government's plans to resume import-intensive infrastructure programmes, we expect the current account deficit to widen substantially in 2020 to \$6.3 bn from -\$460m last year. The sudden swelling of the current account deficit will in turn force PHP back on its heels with the currency expected to weaken to 52.19 by the end of the year.

Given that job prospects for OFWs will remain constrained until the global economy heals, we expect current account woes to continue for the Philippines with the peso losing its footing after its recent outperformance in early 2020.

Philippine current account and components



Source: Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.