

Good MornING Asia - 20 November 2019

The US-China trade war remains the key driver for markets with President Trump now threatening a fresh round of tariffs if there is no trade deal.

In this bundle



Asia Morning Bites

ASEAN Morning Bytes

The US-China trade war remains the key driver for markets. With President Trump threatening a fresh round of tariffs if there is no trade deal, Asian...



Japan

Japan export plunge an illusion

Japan's worsening export growth is largely illusory and growth rates should soon start to improve



Philippines: What's better than a super budget? Two of them

The Philippine congress passed a bill seeking to extend the validity of the 2019 budget, bringing the prospect of a parallel fiscal push in 2020

ASEAN Morning Bytes

The US-China trade war remains the key driver for markets. With President Trump threatening a fresh round of tariffs if there is no trade deal, Asian markets trading today is likely to be more cautious.



EM Space: More tariffs if no trade deal

- **General Asia:** The US-China trade war remains the key driver for markets with President Trump now threatening a fresh round of tariffs if there is no trade deal. Outside trade noise, investors will be looking to the FOMC minutes for direction on the Fed policy as the latest data points to a soft-landing in the US economy. There is little going on in Asia aside from trade figures from Japan and Taiwan, while Malaysian inflation continuing to be a non-event.
- **Malaysia:** October CPI data is due. We agree with the consensus of a dip in inflation to 1.0% YoY from 1.1% in September. As inflation continues to be subdued, growth has now started to weaken with fading export support. Thanks to low inflation, Malaysia's enjoys relatively high real interest rates and a most resilient currency (MYR) in the region. We think all these factors offer scope for the central bank (BNM) to ease monetary policy further to support growth. Hence our forecast of an additional 50bp BNM rate cut in this easing cycle, most likely coming in the first quarter of 2020.
- **Philippines:** Overall balance of payments surplus widened to \$163 million in October from \$38 million in the previous month as financial inflows offset the current account deficit. The year-to-date BoP surplus of \$5.7 billion is a sharp positive swing from the deficit of \$5.6 bn

during the same period in 2018 and this forms the key driver of the currency's (PHP) outperformance among its Asian peers this year.

- **Indonesia:** Finance Ministry sees a wider fiscal deficit between 2.0-2.2% of GDP this year as through October it already hit the 1.8% full-year budget target. With the government aiming to insulate the economy from the ill effects of the slowing global economy, we can expect further deficit widening into 2020.

What to look out for: FOMC minutes

- Malaysia inflation (20 November)
- Taiwan export orders (20 November)
- US MBA mortgage applications (20 November)
- Fed FOMC minutes (21 November)
- Singapore GDP (21 November)
- Thailand trade (21 November)
- Hong Kong inflation* (21 November)
- US existing home sales (21 November)
- Bank Indonesia (21 November)
- Thailand GIR (22 November)
- Malaysia GIR (22 November)
- US consumer sentiment (22 November)

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Japan export plunge an illusion

Japan's worsening export growth is largely illusory and growth rates should soon start to improve




Source: Shutterstock

The curse of annual growth rates

The popularity of year-on-year growth rates in economic reporting in Asia probably stems from the enormous seasonality of some data, which regular seasonal adjustment seems incapable of adequately adjusting. That, together with very choppy series means that usually, year-on-year growth rates can help to smooth data and make the emergence of trends more obvious.

But sometimes, this process delivers misleading messages. For example, the October export figures from Japan released this morning worsened from -5.2%YoY in September, to -9.2%YoY. It looks as if the trade war and technology slump still has Japan deep in its grips. The reality is much less dramatic and much more optimistic.

Japan exports and imports (JPY bn)



Source: Bloomberg

Japan exports and imports


Never mind the percentage growth, feel the levels

When you look at the raw data, unadjusted and in terms of JPY levels, exports rose in October from September (JPY6577bn from 6368bn). The only reason that the year on year growth rate plunged, was that October 2018 exports spiked up to JPY7243, the second-highest reading of that year. And there doesn't seem to be anything particularly seasonal and repetitive about that increase. October 2017 exports were actually a little lower than those in September that year (JPY6692 vs 6810bn), as were October 2016 exports.

In other words, what is really driving the year-on-year deterioration this time, is series noise. Nothing more.

Take a look at what happened to exports in the immediate aftermath of October 2018 - they managed to eke out a few more months at around JPY7000bn, then plunged. Semiconductors, the main victim of the technology slump, played a big role here. And so assuming that export levels remain fairly steady from here to the end of the year, year-on-year growth rates should improve to about -5%YoY in November and December, and then when January figures are released in February 2020, will shoot up to about +18%YoY. And bear in mind, that is on the assumption of flat export levels in billions of JPY. Things could look considerably better than that.

Japan chemical and semiconductor exports improve



Source: Bloomberg

Japan semiconductor and chemicals exports

Chips and chemicals

The disappointing trade spat between South Korea and Japan is hard to discern in the breakdown of chemical exports from Japan. Neither the overall chemical figures nor the organic chemicals subset appear to be exhibiting anything other than random fluctuations. So there is neither evidence of an attempt to front-run export embargoes, or of the bans hitting this sector.

And as for semiconductors, exports of these have not been higher since the global financial crisis in 2008, which is not only good news for Japan, but it perhaps shines a positive spotlight on other tech-heavy economies in the region (Korea, Taiwan, Singapore).

Market implications

The JPY is a little weaker on these trade figures, with their accompanying smaller than expected trade surplus (JPY17.3bn) and the adjusted balance swinging into a small deficit (-JPY34.7bn). The import growth figures at -14.8%YoY down from -1.5% in September also suggest terribly weak domestic demand, whereas in JPY, they were also up slightly (JPY6560 vs 6491bn) and suggest nothing out of the ordinary occurring. The import growth figures for December will likely outpace those of exports in returning to positive annual growth, even if nothing, in reality, is really changing.

What these figures do hint at, is a broad moderation in the cyclical damage caused by the tech slump, together with no further general deterioration stemming from the ongoing trade war. The JPY has been a beneficiary of these global risk events, and if these negative forces are now ebbing, the JPY may see its support beginning to fade, even as its local fundamentals start to improve.

Author

Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

Alissa Lefebvre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist

ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist

James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith

Digital Editor

sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland

adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang

ESG Research

coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT

jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind

Senior Economist, Services and Leisure

Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc

Sector Strategist, Financials

Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan

Junior Economist

samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl

Senior Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist

timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland

leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy

antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands

marcel.klok@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski

Senior Economist, Poland

piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering

Senior Macro Economist

raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland

rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary

peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan

Chief Economist, Turkey

muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland

Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands

bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist

benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Snap | 19 November 2019


Philippines: What's better than a super budget? Two of them

The Philippine congress passed a bill seeking to extend the validity of the 2019 budget, bringing the prospect of a parallel fiscal push in 2020



Source: Shutterstock

Philippines budget balance and expenditure growth



Source: Bloomberg

Fiscal push could last well into 2020

The Philippine congress has approved a bill extending the validity of the 2019 budget (both for operating expenses and for capital outlay) until December 2020. Although the bill will require approval from both the Senate and the President, this development is crucial to ensure that the sustained fiscal push which helped lift 3Q GDP to 6.2% (September surged by 39%) will continue to deliver. Given cash-based budgeting, the government would not be able to carry project funds over to 2020 without this bill being passed into law, making this development worth noting. And although public construction and operating and maintenance costs of the government account for a mere 13% of overall GDP, the recovery in government spending was able to provide a welcome boost to 3Q GDP growth momentum, as capital formation remained in the red.

What's better than a super budget? Two of them

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) upgraded its 2020 forecast to 6.3% (from 6.2%) on expectations the 2020 budget would be approved and as the Bangko Sentral ng Pilipinas (BSP) walks back part of the 2018 rate hike cycle. Most analysts also point to possible clearer skies in 2020 should the budget be passed on time and global headwinds fade. However, should the 2019 budget be extended, we are now faced with the prospect of a double dose of fiscal stimulus (2019 and 2020 operating in parallel) in tandem with BSP's current easing cycle. From here we can see even faster GDP growth in 2020, base effects withstanding, with robust consumption, rejuvenated investment and the two-headed fiscal budget working together to deliver a solid growth performance next year. Should these factors come together, the prospect of effectively chasing the higher 2020 growth target of 6.5-7.5% will become more tenable, with or without a global slowdown.

Spend spend spend = borrow borrow borrow

The projected increase in spending will ostensibly result in an increase in borrowing from the national government in late 2019 and into 2020. We expect liquidity conditions to tighten considerably in 1Q 2020, just as they did in early 2019, with the national government bond issuances expected to crowd out the rush of private sector capital raising exercises. We forecast that the tighter liquidity conditions could prod the BSP to sustain its easing momentum but tighter liquidity conditions could still very well see bond yields revisit an upward trajectory.

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.