

Good MornING Asia - 13 February 2019

Trump offers to allow the March 1 deadline to slide, government shutdown deadline may be averted by Congress deal, Brexit deadline apparently not a deadline at all. So when is a deadline a deadline?

In this bundle



China | South Korea

Deadline or dead-boring

Trump offers to allow the March 1 deadline to slide, government shutdown deadline may be averted by Congress deal, Brexit deadline apparently not a...



Asia Morning Bites

ASEAN Morning Bytes

General market tone: Slight risk-on. Global markets seen to edge higher on Wednesday given positive undertones on trade and the US shutdown.



Philippines

Philippines: Trade deficit improves but exports remain in contraction

The import growth is negative as all subsectors are in contraction

Deadline or dead-boring

Trump offers to allow the March 1 deadline to slide, government shutdown deadline may be averted by Congress deal, Brexit deadline apparently not a deadline at all. So when is a deadline a deadline?



egg timer

Don't shoot!

According to the Merriam-Webster dictionary, the historical derivation of the word "deadline" is "*a line drawn within or around a prison that a prisoner passes at the risk of being shot*".

Recent deadlines seem to lack the same sense of drama. No one appears likely to get shot anyway. Indeed, all recent deadlines look as if they may well be passed without incident. This may not be a bad thing if it means that the chances of a deal of some sort, whether on US-China trade, the US border wall, or Brexit, are increased. Though the reason for holding negotiators to a time-delineated deadline with threats of an economic apocalypse is that it is supposed to encourage participants to compromise and deliver a result.

The fact that it doesn't, is because of a concept in economics called "time inconsistency". Although this sounds like something Dr Who might suffer from, it is the fairly simple observation that if at the time a deadline looms, the benefits of letting it slide without sanction outweigh the perceived costs, then the deadline will likely be crossed, without triggering any incident. Similar arguments apply to monetary policy, where squeezing out inflation through higher rates and a promised recession will only work if the central bank has amassed some credibility, probably by having done this before. Setting credible targets, and accruing a hawkish reputation all help.

The China-US trade dispute may deliver a result because the US has already imposed tariffs - they seem not to care (so much) that the economic consequences of a trade war escalation for them may also be negative, so long as the outcome is worse for China. On the border wall, President Trump's bluff has already been called once. And while he may not be the most consistent player in a game like this, making it harder for the opposition to play, markets would probably bet on him allowing this one to slide too.

As for Brexit, we are in the dark. Nothing like this has been done before. The consequences of crossing the deadline without a deal seem too apocalyptic, making you think that the time inconsistency argument will come into play, rather than that it will encourage one or other side to blink in these negotiations. That tactic may indeed have been what the UK government had been hoping for if reports of an overheard conversation between Chief UK negotiator, Olly Robbins, and other colleagues in a late night bar are to be believed. But then as the deadline could always be shifted, surely this has always been viewed as a hollow threat by Europeans, and by Brexiters, who don't believe a no-deal Brexit would be apocalyptic anyway. Sadly, probable deadline slippage means we will still probably be following this story in months to come.

Korean unemployment rises

Korea's unemployment rate rose sharply in January. The January rate rose to 4.4% from December's 3.8%. Unemployment rates are some of the economics profession's most flaky statistics, and can rise when the labour market is improving. This is not the case here, and this rise can be easily classified as a "bad" rise in the unemployment rate - here's why:

1. The actual numbers of unemployed rose from 944 thousand to 1.224 million
2. The numbers of "employed" fell by 406 thousand, with particularly large falls in construction, business and personal services, and smaller but significant falls in agriculture and manufacturing.
3. The numbers of economically active persons (the labour force) fell by 127 thousand. Although this makes it easier for the unemployment rate to go up, as this is calculated as unemployed divided by the labour force, expressed as a percentage, it also means that unemployed are becoming discouraged, and giving up the search for work.

All of which are very good reasons, along with the decline in inflation in Korea, to believe that the Bank of Korea could reverse last November's 25bp rate hike before much more time has passed. It is also a reason to expect some slippage in the KRW, even if optimism on a US-China trade deal is keeping the USD soft for the time being.

China money supply data

We may get money supply data from China today - and that may show some signs of recent stimulus measures flowing through, which could provide a little support for the CNY, which has been looking challenged again recently.

New yuan loans in January are thought to have more than doubled from CNY1080bn in December to CNY3000bn with aggregate financing up from CNY1589bn to CNY3300bn. M2 growth is expected to pick up a little too (8.2%YoY from 8.1%).

The question is, where is this money going? And is enough of the aggregate lending flowing to the private-owned sector to alleviate the spike in debt defaults we have seen, and to support stable

employment? That question remains to be answered.

Author

Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist

ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson

EM Sovereign Strategist

James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith

Digital Editor

sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak

Senior Economist, Poland
adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang
Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan
min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang
ESG Research
coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman
Senior Sector Strategist, TMT
jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind
Senior Economist, Services and Leisure
Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc
Sector Strategist, Financials
Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan
Junior Economist
samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl
Senior Economist, Germany
Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne
Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst
rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani
Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)
mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill
Credit Strategist
timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek
Senior Economist, Poland
leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist
oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet
Head of European Rates Strategy
antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek
Global Head of Sector Research
jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma
Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare
edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole
FX Strategist
francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman
Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics
Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen
Sector Economist
jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin
Chief Economist, CIS
dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa
Senior Economist, Philippines
nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov
Senior Credit Analyst
egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke
Consumer Economist
sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga
Senior Sector Economist, Energy
gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy
nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier
Senior Economist, France and Switzerland
charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter
Behavioural Scientist
+31(0)611172684
laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru
Chief Economist, Romania
valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith
Developed Markets Economist, UK
james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen
Senior Sector Strategist, Financials
suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer
Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri
thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante
Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors
maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klokk
Senior Economist, Netherlands
marcel.klokk@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski
Senior Economist, Poland
piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli
Senior Economist, Italy, Greece
paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom
Chief Economist and Global Head of Research
marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering

Senior Macro Economist

raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki

Chief Economist, Poland

rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz

Senior Economist, Hungary

peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan

Chief Economist, Turkey

muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon

Asia Chief Economist

+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet

Senior Interest Rate Strategist

+31 20 563 8801

martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski

Senior Economist, Poland

Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands

bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist

benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

ASEAN Morning Bytes

General market tone: Slight risk-on. Global markets seen to edge higher on Wednesday given positive undertones on trade and the US shutdown.



EM Space: Trump appears open to negotiate

- **General Asia:** Investors will take solace in general positive developments on both the US-China trade negotiations and the border security issue. Market players will likely be looking out for US inflation and Fed speeches for further direction.
- **Philippines:** The Philippines reported another substantial trade deficit at \$3.75 bn for the month of December, boosting the full-year deficit to \$41.4 bn, up 51.3% from the previous year. Exports recorded another month of contraction, down 12.3% with the mainstay electronics subsector down by more than 15% in a sign of the ill effects of the trade war between the US and China kicking in. Meanwhile, imports contracted across the board with capital goods and raw materials registering surprise contractions. With the trade gap seen to remaining a prevailing theme in 2019, we expect an eventual depreciation pressure on the Peso by the yearend despite its recent strength.

What to look out for: Heavy data calendar and Fed speakers

- Fed Mester speech (13 February)
- Fed Bostic speech (13 February)

- Taiwan GDP (13 February)
- US inflation (13 February)
- Japan GDP (13 February)
- Malaysia GDP (14 February)
- Fed Harker speech (14 February)
- EZ GDP (14 February)
- US retail sales (14 February)
- China trade (14 February)
- Singapore GDP (15 February)
- China inflation (15 February)
- Indonesia trade (15 February)
- Thailand GIR (15 February)
- Taiwan trade (15 February)
- US industrial production and consumer sentiment (15 February)
- Philippines remittances (15 February)

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Philippines: Trade deficit improves but exports remain in contraction

The import growth is negative as all subsectors are in contraction



Source: Shutterstock

-\$3.75 bn December trade gap

Better than expected

Trade gap improves but exports remain in contraction

The Philippines posted a trade deficit of US\$3.75 billion with exports remaining in contraction while import growth slowed sharply (-9.4%). All subsectors of imports showed negative growth with capital goods, raw materials, fuel and consumer goods all in the red to close out the year. Consumer imports were once again hit by the one-off effects of the car buying spree in late 2017, but we are mildly concerned about the surprise pullback in capital goods and raw materials. If this continues, this could show that recent aggressive tightening by the BSP is starting to bite into investment appetite, hampering the nascent investment-driven growth story that we have witnessed of late.

Exports slumped again by -12.3% YoY with the key electronics subsector dragging on the entire

sector, down 15.2% while the rest of the export base contracting by 8.2% with global trade likely starting to see the ill effects of the ongoing US-China trade spat. For the year so far, exports have posted a disappointing -1.8% growth rate, indicating that the sector may need more than just a depreciating currency to take off.

2018 trade gap swells to US\$41.4bn, wider by 51.3%

Despite the blip in December, import growth registered a solid 13.4% expansion, bannered by capital goods (12.9%) and raw materials (13.8%) as the Philippines attempts to move into a more investment-driven growth story. The recent tightening cycle by the central bank may hinder this growth to some extent while fuel imports will also likely be smaller in 2019, leading us to expect only single-digit growth in the import bill for the year. Meanwhile, exports are seen to remain lacklustre given the dependence on the electronics sector to carry the entire export base, all the more given the external environment and the US-China trade war. Despite the continued weakening of the Peso, export performance has not gained the so-called competitive edge that we had hoped it would derive from a weaker currency. Much more will be needed to get the export base off the ground. Overall, the trade gap will remain relatively wide in 2019, which could continue to exert a weakening bias on the Peso throughout the year.

Author

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.