

Good MornING Asia - 11 November 2019

How high will bond yields go? That depends on how soon and what sort of trade deal we are getting, if any.

In this bundle



How high will bond yields go?

How high will bond yields go? That depends on how soon and what sort of trade deal we are getting, if any.

By Robert Carnell



FX | China

China: Exports stabilise but uncertainty clouds yuan

China's exports seem to be stabilising, with electronic exports bottoming out. Imports, particularly soybeans and LNG, continued to fall...



Malaysia

Malaysia cuts reserve requirement by 50 basis points

Bank Negara Malaysia's move to ease via a reserve requirement cut comes amid deteriorating economic conditions. And we expect it to go further with...

Opinion | 10 November 2019

How high will bond yields go?

How high will bond yields go? That depends on how soon and what sort of trade deal we are getting, if any.



Source: Shutterstock

Up, up and away!

Since their September intraday low of 1.4271%, 10Y US Treasury yields have moved erratically higher. But the recent move taking them to 1.94% sets a new high since that low. You have to wonder, how much more? The answer is relevant for us, since the fate of Asian currencies such as the KRW and CNY will rest on similar considerations. The next retracement level of note for yields from charts looks to be 2.12%, so a further 20bp or so increase in yields looks to be eminently plausible in short order. But is this also consistent with fundamentals?

Model-based approaches are one way of looking at this question, but then I don't think fundamentals were what took bond yields to their recent lows, so asking how high they might go "fundamentally", ignores what happens if the same factors return again. I refer of course to trade war fears.

And the news here remains messy, but perhaps in a different way than before. I checked my phone as usual this morning on the way into work for any tweets or clues as to which way this was going. But aside from one tweet from the US President, saying that talks were coming along "very nicely" but adding that China wanted a deal much more badly than he did, there wasn't much. This tweet doesn't, in fact, tell us anything new. I think it is virtually a verbatim repeat of one from several months back, when bond yields were heading in the opposite direction.

So if there is nothing new here, are markets simply now talking themselves out of recession fears in the same way that they talked themselves into them previously?

The outcome is looking increasingly binary

I confess that some sort of trade deal does look in the works, but the other news from the twittersphere is less comforting. The problem is that it is not at all clear who, on the US side is in charge of the negotiations. Robert Lighthizer is officially the lead negotiator. But ultimately, it seems as if the President alone can decide whether a deal will happen. His adviser, Peter Navarro, is taking a characteristically tough line, and as his comments reported on line suggest (he doesn't appear to tweet himself), talk of rollback of tariffs may simply be fake news. Still, even he seems to view some sort of "glide path to a phase one" deal as being a realistic assessment of the current state of play. If so, then how does this relate to the bond market and Asian currencies?

From our perspective, some rollback of tariffs would need to be delivered in order for China to sign up to any deal. Let's not forget that China also has needs and demands from this deal, it is not all about what the US wants. So if no rollback, it is hard to see even a phase one deal happening. And if not, and no deal ensues, then a rapid plunge to new bond yield lows would likely be on the cards.

But that then also suggests that any deal that *does* get done, *will* involve rollback, and *will* involve some concessions on Intellectual Property (a US red-line), and so could be regarded as somewhat substantive.

That could be enough to see bond yields pushing up against that 2.12% resistance. and maybe even through it.

What it does *not* look like we will now get, which was once a third option, was a deal which basically just acknowledged the Chinese efforts on increased agricultural purchases, and made some half-hearted commitments to looking again at tariffs based on some future contingencies. That sort of "Dino" deal (deal-in-name-only) would have been unlikely to support bond yields at today's levels, and so would likely have given way to a slide back down, though perhaps not as rapidly as with a clear no-deal outcome, complete with recriminations and escalation.

At least this helps clear the options a little. Our probability tree now looks to have one less node on it.

Asia Day ahead

We have already had core machine orders for September from Japan today. That data is a proxy for current business investment in plant and machinery, so it helps form opinions about the strength of overall economic growth. 3Q19 Japanese GDP is due out on Thursday, so this is one of the last bits of hard data before that figure will be released. And it wasn't good. Despite rising 5.1%YoY, up from -14.5% in August, September orders fell 2.9%MoM. This is hardly the front-loading ahead of the October consumption tax hike that saw orders in March 2014 rising 13.7%MoM (admittedly falling sharply thereafter). So it now looks as if we are relying on what looks like it will have been about a 2.8% real consumer spending boost to deliver a stronger outcome than the 0.9%QoQ (seasonally adjusted annualised rate) forecast.

(And From Prakash Sakpal): Bank Negara Malaysia's (BNM) move on Friday to ease via a 50 basis

point reserve requirement cut comes amid deteriorating economic conditions. And we expect BNM to go further with overnight policy rate cuts. This week's data on September industrial production (due out today) and 3Q19 GDP (due on Friday) should underpin rising downside growth risks. We have recently revised our 3Q GDP forecast to 4.4% from 4.8%, taking down the full-year 2019 forecast to 4.5% from 4.7%, and expect at least 50bp BNM policy rate cuts in the first quarter of 2020.

Author

Robert Carnell

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

China: Exports stabilise but uncertainty clouds yuan

China's exports seem to be stabilising, with electronic exports bottoming out. Imports, particularly soybeans and LNG, continued to fall substantially. This is probably a tactic in the trade negotiations. Though the market has reacted very positively to news about a Phase One deal, we are more sceptical about the size of the deal



Source: Shutterstock

China's export stabilised on electronics

Exports contracted 0.9% year-on-year in October after contracting 3.2%YoY in the previous month.

Some export items experienced clear growth on a monthly basis, for example, automatic data processing parts and products (+14%MoM). This could be a result of increased smartphone orders. But exports may contract again in November and December, as exports for Western holidays will have already been shipped.

Imports were more related to trade negotiations

Imports contracted 6.4%YoY in October after an 8.3%YoY contraction in September.

Most of the contraction came from a decrease in fruit (-35%MoM), soybean (-24%MoM) and LNG (-20%MoM) imports. These are items which are all on the table in trade talks between the US and

China. We expect these imports to rise somewhat when the phase one deal is signed in December.

So many uncertainties in the phase one deal

The market has reacted positively to the news about a potential phase one deal, especially the possible rollback of tariffs imposed in September on \$112 billion of goods.

We are sceptical about the size of the rollback, however. If it's too big, China could be more reluctant to return to the negotiating table later on, or the terms could be much harsher for the US in future deals, so we think the US is unlikely to agree to this. If it's too small, China may see the move as an insignificant concession that will do little to help its economy. It is difficult for the two sides to compromise on the size of the rollback, which seems to be the key factor in getting a deal signed.

We think USD/CNY will reflect these uncertainties once the market realises that the phase one deal is not a one-way bet.

Author

Iris Pang

Chief Economist, Greater China

iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Malaysia cuts reserve requirement by 50 basis points

Bank Negara Malaysia's move to ease via a reserve requirement cut comes amid deteriorating economic conditions. And we expect it to go further with overnight policy rate cuts



Source: Shutterstock

Governor of the Central Bank of Malaysia Nor Shamsiah Mohd Yunus

3.0% Statutory Reserve Requirement
After 50 bps cut today

A surprise SRR cut of 50 bps

Just days after leaving the main policy rate (overnight policy rate or OPR) unchanged at the meeting earlier this week, the Bank Negara Malaysia announced a surprise reduction in the bank's Statutory Reserve Requirement (SRR) rate from 3.5% to 3.0%.

The [BNM statement](#) said the move was to maintain sufficient liquidity in the domestic financial system and it expected it to support the efficient functioning of the domestic financial markets and facilitate effective liquidity management by the banking institutions.

A precursor to weak growth

We view the SRR cut as a clear precursor to the deteriorating growth outlook. We should get confirmation of this next week when third-quarter GDP numbers are released on 15 November.

Indeed, we have revised our growth forecast for the third quarter down to 4.4% year-on-year from 4.8%. Full-year 2019 GDP should come in at 4.5% rather than the 4.7% we had expected. That said, it's still within the central bank's 4.3-4.8% forecasts range.

Easing cycle has further to go

The BNM statement also noted that the SRR cut wasn't a signal on its monetary policy stance and we need to look at the overnight policy rate as the 'sole indicator' for that. However, we believe the central bank will be prepared to provide further policy accommodation through more OPR cuts ahead, as continued low inflation and a stable-to-strong currency provide scope for such a policy and should prevent significant deterioration in the economic outlook.

The BNM cut the OPR by 25bp at this year's May meeting. Today's move raises confidence in our forecasts of an additional 50bp OPR cut in the current easing cycle, and we're looking for that to happen in the first quarter of 2020.

Author

Alissa Lefebre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@asia.ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior European Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist
ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson
EM Sovereign Strategist
James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith
Digital Editor
sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky
EMEA FX & FI Strategist
frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak
Senior Economist, Poland
adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang
Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan
min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com

Coco Zhang
ESG Research
coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman
Senior Sector Strategist, TMT
jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind
Senior Economist, Services and Leisure
Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc
Sector Strategist, Financials
Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan
Junior Economist
samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl
Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist

timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland

leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Oleksiy Soroka, CFA

Senior High Yield Credit Strategist

oleksiy.soroka@ing.com

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy

antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporates Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors
maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok
Senior Economist, Netherlands
marcel.klok@ing.com

Piotr Poplawski
Senior Economist, Poland
piotr.poplawski@ing.pl

Paolo Pizzoli
Senior Economist, Italy, Greece
paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom
Chief Economist and Global Head of Research
marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering
Senior Macro Economist
raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen
Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios
maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller
Head of Financials Sector Strategy
Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson
Head of Commodities Strategy
Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Rafal Benecki
Chief Economist, Poland
rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent
Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg
philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz
Senior Economist, Hungary
peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade
inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming
Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands
Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu
Chief Economist, Romania
+40 31 406 8990
ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan
Chief Economist, Turkey
muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang
Chief Economist, Greater China
iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman
Writer, Group Research
+44 20 7767 6209
Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA
Regional Head of Research, Americas
padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley
Chief International Economist, US
james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon
Asia Chief Economist
+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet
Senior Interest Rate Strategist
+31 20 563 8801
martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Robert Carnell
Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific
robert.carnell@asia.ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski
Senior Economist, Poland

Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel

Foreign Exchange Strategist

+44 20 7767 6405

viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content

+44 (0) 207 767 5331

owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn

Chief Economist, Netherlands

bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone

peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder

Senior Rates Strategist

benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel

Chief Economist, LATAM

+1 646 424 6464

gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.