

3 May 2018

Article

THINK Outside is selected content from trusted third-party providers.

Chris Dillow: Why a US trade war may not be a disaster

Our tendency to overweight obvious information at the expense of less salient facts may be exaggerating fears of a trade war

Contents

- Do higher tariffs really damage trade?
- Slower trade means slower productivity
- Overrating and underrating

Thanks to the work of Daniel Kahneman, we know that we are all prone to make countless subtle errors of judgment. One of these is the availability heuristic, a tendency to overweight the importance of obvious information whilst underweighting less salient facts. I fear many are making this error when they take fright at the prospect of a trade war.

Of course, nobody thinks rising tariffs around the world is a good idea. Nor, however, is it necessarily disastrous.

Do higher tariffs really damage trade?

A big clue that this is the case comes from work by Monique Ebell

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/002795011623800113> at the NIESR and Silvia Nenci

<https://ideas.repec.org/p/rtr/wpaper/0110.html> at Roma Tre University. They show that cuts in

tariffs and free trade deals have only a small effect in stimulating trade, especially in services. It's possible therefore that the opposite is also true - that higher tariffs will do little to depress it.

Companies who have found reliable suppliers will be slow to look for alternatives. And exporters who have spent time and money winning a foothold in overseas markets will want to keep their customers. Higher tariffs, therefore, might well squeeze firms' profit margins but not perhaps do much damage to trade - especially if companies believe they'll be only temporary.

In fact, if you want to know what a big slowdown in world trade looks like, you don't need to consider the possible effects of a trade war. You just need to look at the recent past. The CPB <https://www.cpb.nl/en/worldtrademonitor>, which provides official Dutch statistics, says that world trade volumes grew by 6.9% per year between 1991 and 2007 but have only grown by 1.8% per year since. And even in the last seven years, they have only grown by 2.6% per year.

These facts tell us that trade growth can fall a lot without any rise in tariffs: these haven't changed much since the 1990s.

2.6% World trade volumes per year
In each of last seven years

Slower trade means slower productivity

There are many reasons <https://voxeu.org/article/trade-and-growth-end-era> why this has happened. Companies have learned that there are limits to how far they can relocate production to China. Uncertainty about demand and credit conditions have deterred firms from investing in overseas sales networks. Demand in western economies has shifted <https://voxeu.org/article/falling-elasticity-global-trade-economic-activity> away from high-import areas such as capital goods and toward lower-import sectors such as consumer services. And so on.

Whatever the cause of the slowdown, the effects have been nasty. It's no accident at all that slower growth in world trade has come at the same time as a slowdown in labour productivity growth in western economies. Common sense says the two are linked. Less world trade means less division of labour and hence less specialisation and hence a loss of productivity gains. And it means less competition from overseas and hence less pressure upon firms to become more efficient. Slower trade growth, then, has contributed to stagnant productivity. And stagnant productivity means flat real wages.

World trade, and its determinants, might seem a matter for pointy-headed technocrats. But in fact, it hits every family in the western world in the pocket.

Which raises a paradox. Whilst the threat of a trade war has made headlines, the steady drip-drip of slow world trade growth for years attracted much less attention.

Overrating and underrating

Which is where the availability heuristic comes in. We overrate the importance of policy and

underrate the significance of millions of decentralised decisions by companies and consumers around the world.

This is true not just of trade policy but of monetary policy too. Although journalists and some economists obsess about changes in interest rates, the actual impact of these upon output is usually quite small <https://ideas.repec.org/p/boe/boeewp/0493.html>. Economic growth depends upon much more than monetary policy.

We exaggerate the influence of policymakers – for good or ill – and underestimate the importance of emergence, the fact that our economic fate is determined, unintentionally, by countless people going about their everyday business.

Guest contributors do not necessarily reflect ING House views

Chris Dillow

Investors Chronicle writer

chris.dillow@ft.com

Disclaimer

"THINK Outside" is a collection of specially selected content from sources that ING deems reliable, such as economic think-tanks and academic institutions, which ING Bank N.V. ("**ING**") uses to expand the range of independent opinions and sources you can find on the THINK website. Some of these sources are not the property of or managed by ING, and therefore ING cannot guarantee the correctness, completeness, actuality and quality of such sources, nor the availability at any given time of the data and information provided, and ING cannot accept any liability in this respect, insofar as this is permissible pursuant to the applicable laws and regulations.

This publication does not necessarily reflect the ING house view. This publication has been prepared solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved.

ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam).