

Which asset class has got it right?


Equity markets have retraced around 50% of their Q120 sell-off and are focusing on the recovery. Credit markets are also performing well. However, sovereign debt, commodities and to some degree FX markets are still pricing recessionary levels. Which asset class has got it right? **This article is from our [Monthly Economic Update](#)**



People wearing medical masks in front of the Wall Street stock exchange in the financial district of New York City

Source: Shutterstock

Total Return Indices by Asset Class: US Treasuries bid, Commodities offered, Equities and Credit recover



Source: ING, Equities: MSCI World (USD)

Equity markets: powerful recovery, but questions still need to be answered


The MSCI World Equity Index has recovered more than half the losses it suffered between February and March. The quicker reaction from central bankers and especially politicians compared to events in 2008/2009 has certainly been appreciated by investors. That 2008/2009 playbook of aggressive liquidity provisions has again been seen as a move by central bankers to push investors out along the credit curve and into equity markets. So far, so good.

The narrative in equity markets seems to be that: i) given the prospect of another Fed-fuelled rally in financial asset prices and ii) such a paltry risk-free rate that iii) investors are prepared to look through the 2020 slowdown and attach more weight to the 2021 recovery. For reference, investors currently price a 20% fall in S&P 500 earnings in 2020, followed by a 25% recovery next year.

Our concern here is that the consensus 20% decline in 2020 US corporate earnings is too optimistic. James Knightley's 2020 US GDP forecast for a contraction of 7% is well below the consensus of -4%. If he is right, the 2020 drop in US corporate profits looks set to dwarf the US\$200-230 billion rolling four quarter losses seen during the GFC crisis.

Equally, the poor transparency for corporate profits – where even Amazon and Apple are struggling for guidance – suggests investors will need some strong compensation for holding equities. Given the recent 35% rally off the lows and the expansion in P/E multiples, the 12-month forward earnings yield on the S&P 500 now offers less than a 400 basis point pick-up over the long end of the US Treasury market. In uncertain times like these, higher earnings expectations or lower valuations may be needed to keep equity markets supported. We err towards the latter.

US corporate profits look set to fall heavily, earnings yields may not be attractive enough



Source: ING calculations, Macrobond, Bloomberg

Credit markets: Under-pricing default rates

Credit spreads have shown much the same picture as equity markets with about half of the spread widening being retraced from roughly a month ago. At that time, we had a look at these valuations and concluded that credit markets were pricing in potentially too much economic fallout and consequent rise in default rates. Looking at that same valuation now, by calculating expected default rates from the prevalent credit spreads in investment grade and high yield, we think that markets are taking a rather benign view of future default rates. Framing credit spreads at times of turmoil is never an easy exercise but looking back at default rate levels, the depth of the economic downturn and the accompanying spreads will give us some guidance where spreads could or should be trending from here. This is highlighted in the figure below and backed up by the theoretical compensation for these spreads based on loss, given default calculations assuming 40% recovery rates (i.e. how high should credit spreads be to compensate for certain cumulative or annual default rates).

The graph; the index and the accompanying 1yr default rate that is being priced into spreads (LHS axis) currently shows that after the sharp rally, we are now looking at a scenario where default rates are expected to approach levels seen during the recession in the early 2000s (dot-com bubble) and will stay below those seen during the global financial crisis some 10 years ago. However, the 7% GDP contraction for 2020 in the US indicates that close to GFC style default rates are far more likely.


To back that up, Moody's said it expects a speculative-grade 6.8% default rate in 12 months for "a short, sharp downturn" and 16.1% for a "GFC-style" crisis (to compare, default rates peaked at 13.4% in 2008-2009). Hence it is clear that markets are expecting a V-shaped recovery.

Looking at ING scenarios and/or recessionary environments and accompanying default rates, it is safe to say that a 7% annual default rate is a given. The truth might well lie in between the elevated levels of the Global Financial Crisis and that most "optimistic" scenario. This, however, at least for the time being is not a systemic crisis but one that will lead to higher corporate leverage and pressure speculative-grade issuers, as such default rates might well hit 10%, but the GFC peak at c. 13% should be avoided, unless we see winter lockdowns.

Importantly, this crisis has one big difference in terms of financing - markets are not closed. Bank balance sheets too are stronger and will be able to absorb more, and let's not forget government

support measures (not just QE) are also different to the GFC and offer some bankruptcy protection. Hence as stated before, we feel comfortable with default rates approaching 10% but not reaching GFC levels. But that still means credit spreads could widen.

European High Yield credit spreads versus speculative grade defaults



Source: ING Credit Strategy


Bond Markets: Follow the flows, as that is where the money goes to work

The Covid-induced lockdown saw significant outflows from risk assets, especially emerging markets and high yield, but also investment grade corporates. In more recent weeks, flows have gone back into corporates, including high yield. But despite the prior outsized outflow from emerging markets, there have not been marked reverse flows back in. Part of this reflects the contrast with big support put in place for developed market-based corporates through the various support facilities, but part also reflects a residual fear factor that a second wave of negativity has yet to hit emerging markets.

Mild aggregate flows in the money market masks some massive movements. US corporates initially liquidated money market holdings significantly to get access to quick liquidity. But then, the Fed's Money Market Facility was fast-tracked into place as a viable backstop. In the meantime, primary markets had reopened, allowing corporates to have a more traditional route to liquidity and taking pressure of the money market funds.

Meanwhile, in government bonds there was an initial inflow, and that has been sustained, even as rates collapsed to new historic lows (or deeper into negative turf in many regimes).

Bond flows as % of total assets under management



Source: EPFR Global, ING estimates

Bottom line, the support being provided by central banks and governments has calmed the pain in the corporate space. There it still some vulnerability attached to high yield though.

Bigger residual angst is in emerging markets (higher beta). Here, the support comes mostly in the guise of supra-national support, which comes with ratings and default risks as typical riders. Meanwhile, government bonds and money markets remain recipients of residual cash.

Worth also taking note of the cash going back into inflation-linked funds. This is supposed to be a dis-inflationary environment, but with some obvious upside risks to prices in an environment where scarcity does breed spikes in prices in some places.

Flows show the glass as being half full, with stressed scenarios waiting in the wings.

FX Markets: More inclined towards a slowdown

Our generally bearish view on the dollar, particularly in the second half of 2020, is premised on: a) broader signs of the recovery coming through, which will b) allow dollar liquidity to be put to work in higher-yielding and perhaps faster-growing economies.

The signs of that theme already emerging are patchy at best. High beta FX continues to trade not far from its lows and roughly 25% down on the dollar since the start of the year. And it is only the safe-haven Japanese yen, which is firmer against the dollar this year.

Until clearer and more confident signs of a recovery emerge, we think we will see a much more differentiated recovery coming through in FX markets. Based on our [FX scorecards](#), we tend to favour the Swedish krona and Australian dollar in the G10 space, and North Asia in the emerging market FX world (as long as a new trade US-China trade war does not erupt).

Our view of a gently higher EUR/USD this year, culminating in an end-year target of 1.20, again is premised on the global recovery story, but also eurozone fiscal premia being contained largely through ECB actions. Were the latter not the case, the global recovery story would also be challenged (as it was in 2012) and EUR/USD would be ending the year under 1.10.

Year-to-date performance against the dollar of selected G20 currencies



Source: ING

Author

Chris Turner

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE

chris.turner@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA

Regional Head of Research, Americas

padhraic.garvey@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom

this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.