


US politics watch: Impeachment deepens the divide

The impeachment of President Trump has major implications for the Democratic party primaries and the 2020 general election. In this latest update to our in-depth report [US Politics Watch: Four Scenarios for 2020 and Beyond](#), we have again teamed up with Oxford Analytica to examine how the race for the White House could play out



Source: ING

President Trump has become only the third President to be impeached. But despite the solid

support of Democrats in the House of Representatives, the likelihood of the President being removed from office remains small, given the strong Republican majority in the Senate. Nevertheless, impeachment has major implications for the electoral landscape, both for the Democratic party primaries as well as the 2020 general election.

Since early October, public opinion polls have consistently shown greater support for impeachment than opposition to it, though that gap has narrowed slightly. Critically however, the Republican party shows no sign of abandoning the President. No Republican congressman has yet indicated his or her support for the articles of impeachment. Under the impeachment process, the House decides whether a president should stand trial in the Senate; the Senate tries the President, and all 100 Senators vote on whether to convict. Polarized partisanship in the present Congress means that President Trump, having been impeached by the House, will be acquitted by the Senate, where the entire Democratic caucus, every Independent, and 20 Republican Senators would need to vote against the president for him to be convicted.

If public opinion started to turn on Trump – and, crucially, if Republican senators decided that it was more costly to their own political standing to keep him in office than to replace him – then we could see a rapid shift towards conviction. If certain former Republican senators are correct in claiming that the votes for conviction would be there if the voting were secret, it may be inferred that Trump's support among Republican senators is wide but shallow and instrumental: their support is based on political calculations that they would risk primary challenges or general election defeat through depressed turnout if they voted to convict.


Whether or not the Senate chooses to convict the President will affect the four election scenarios outlined in our initial report published in April 2019, [‘Politics watch: 4 scenarios for America's next presidential election’](#) and in the September update [‘US politics: Trump weathers the storms’](#). Impeachment is now set to be a key driver of the 2020 election.

Public attitudes to impeachment, as of 16 December 2019 (% of respondents)




Source: projects.fivethirtyeight.com/impeachment-polls

Public attitudes to impeachment, as of 16 December 2019 (% of respondents)



Source: projects.fivethirtyeight.com/impeachment-polls

Makeup of the United States Congress and votes needed for conviction



Source: The United States Congress, www.congress.gov (3 November 2019)


The impeachment proceedings are likely to influence the Democratic party primary contests that begin with the Iowa Caucus on 3 February, and also the wider general election, where President Trump will seek a second mandate from the US electorate.

Biden maintains a fragile lead in the Democratic race

With the end of the year approaching, former Vice President Joe Biden remains the front runner for the Democratic nomination. However, four candidates are jockeying for the lead in the early voting states of Iowa and New Hampshire, solidifying themselves as top tier candidates. Moreover, Michael Bloomberg's entry into the race will eat away at advertising time in Super Tuesday states, leaving the ultimate outcome in flux.

Democrats polling above 1%

Categorization is based on November estimates of likely voter appeal, although many candidates appeal to both camps.



Source: RealClearPolitics

While the positive momentum for Elizabeth Warren and Pete Buttigieg has livened up the Democratic primary, structurally little changed. The primacy of electability is evident among the majority of the Democratic party electorate as the most important factor in the minds of voters.

The fight between centrist and populist Democrats has become more complex. Senator Bernie Sanders and Senator Warren are both competing for Populist support (although their politics differ sharply); Buttigieg and Biden are competing for Centrist votes; and Warren and Buttigieg are competing for college-educated white voters, which overlap in both groups. Donors appear to doubt that Biden can win the nomination which is why other centrist candidates are still entering the race, despite the long odds of success joining at this late hour without a campaign organization in place. No candidate in decades has won a presidential election having entered a

race so close to the Iowa caucuses.

Former New York City Mayor Michael Bloomberg has entered the race, spending \$30 million in one week of advertising – more than all the other candidates raised in the entirety of the third quarter. He won his mayoralty as a Republican and then an Independent, and has apologized for one of his key policies as mayor. After entering the race, he polled at 8%, but the numbers dropped afterwards; the Monmouth Poll (rated at A+) has him nationally at 5%.

At the moment, Bloomberg's chances appear to be slim. But this could change if, for example, Biden continues to perform poorly in debates, and Buttigieg does not do well in the South. However, exempting oneself from the first four competitions speaks to Bloomberg's organizational weakness as well as to the probability that by the time he gets his campaign underway, he will face candidates with higher polling averages and momentum that will make them more difficult to stop.

Bloomberg is not popular with African-Americans and Latinos, in part due to his Mayoral policy of 'stop-and-frisk,' which disproportionately targeted these ethnicities. They represent around 40% of the probable Democratic primary electorate and are overrepresented in Southern and Southwestern states that will vote on Super Tuesday (3 March). Since Bloomberg is skipping the first four states, this would be his first test before the voters. Furthermore, it may not be an easy task to persuade working-class white voters in Iowa, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Ohio and Pennsylvania that he is the candidate who best understands their concerns.

What the impeachment process means for Biden

The impeachment process was spurred by a whistleblower's complaint about President Trump's dealings with Ukraine. In a July phone call, Trump asked his Ukrainian counterpart, Volodymyr Zelensky, to investigate his political rival Joe Biden and his son, Hunter, who previously worked for a Ukrainian energy firm. Emails show that Trump then sought to withhold aid from Ukraine. However, Republicans contend that the two issues were unrelated and there was no 'quid pro quo'. They continue to allege wrongdoing by Biden, and Senator Lindsey Graham has promised an investigation.

This poses a major unknown for the Biden campaign. Although numerous impeachment witnesses and the Western foreign policy community say the former Vice President did nothing wrong, an investigation could still cause political problems for him. A precedent is the investigation into the 2012 Benghazi attack, which put Hillary Clinton's tenure as Secretary of State on trial. In 2015, the current Republican leader in the House of Representatives admitted this inquiry was politically motivated. Similarly, the FBI investigation into Clinton's email practices revealed no major wrongdoing but were still politically damaging.


Based on the small sample size of past precedents, and given that allegations about Biden are circulating mostly in media not followed by Democratic primary voters, it is unlikely to cause significant damage to him. One indicator that there is an effect will be if primary voters lower their opinion of his electability, the most important quality a candidate can have in this cycle. But we may not see evidence of such a shift for weeks.

Impact of impeachment on the 2020 election scenarios

The impeachment process now moves to the Senate, which will have to decide whether or not to convict the President and remove him from office. The outcome will change the dynamics for the four scenarios of the 2020 election we have outlined in our foundation report from April 2019 [‘US politics watch: Four scenarios for 2020’](#).

These scenarios highlight the high stakes involved. There is plenty of time for surprises along the way and we will continue to watch developments closely and update our views accordingly.

Impact of the impeachment process on 2020 scenarios



Source: Oxford Analytica

1 A second term for President Trump

- **Not convicted**

Given the current makeup of the House of Representatives, this is currently the default outcome.

A pure partisan split in the House and Senate would enable the President to argue that the charges against him were merely an attempt to overturn the 2016 election result. The campaign would play to Trump's combative style, with his behavior remaining a key issue.

A House inquiry of two months or so and a Senate trial of six weeks might direct considerable public attention to facts that hurt Trump's standing with voters.

- **Convicted and removed from office**

Under these circumstances, the re-election of President Trump is the least likely, as he would have

been forcibly removed from office with the assistance of his own party. Indeed, his removal would indicate that almost 40% of the Republican Senate caucus voted for his conviction, signaling that the Republican party had abandoned him. This would undoubtedly lead to him being excluded from the Republican party nomination for the 2020 election. However, unless convicted of a crime, which impeachment is not, he would not be barred from running for re-election as a third-party candidate -- a situation that cannot be ruled out depending on the manner of his removal from office.

2 Another Republican wins office

- **Not convicted**

If President Trump is not convicted, with votes falling along party lines, there will continue to be limited enthusiasm for a Republican challenger, particularly as there will be little to no support from the party apparatus. With the primary contests set to begin in a few months, this outcome would also kill off the potential for a non-Trump Republican to effectively seek the party's nomination.

- **Convicted and removed from office**

In the event President Trump is removed from office, it is a near certainty that another Republican candidate would contest the 2020 general election as the party's nominee for President, significantly increasing the potential for this scenario to take place. Vice President Mike Pence would be the most likely individual to become the nominee under these circumstances, although he has been implicated in the Ukraine scandal and could - depending upon the extent of voters' perceptions of his involvement - be at risk of being replaced by a nominee with no connections to the matter. While this would be challenging for the Republican party, time still exists for such a candidate to move the discussion away from President Trump and potentially win in November 2020.

3 A Centrist Democrat

- **Not convicted**

This outcome is favorable for Centrist Democrats because the Democratic primary contests will be dominated by the need to replace President Trump with the most electable candidate. As the Centrist Democratic candidates have positioned themselves along those lines, this result strengthens them significantly. This narrative, which is also likely to have an impact on independent voters, would remain the key point of the 2020 general election, minimizing the importance of policy positions and any policy successes from the White House.

- **Convicted and removed from office**

Conversely, this outcome is the most damaging to a Centrist Democrat. With President Trump removed from office, Centrists would be forced to win a primary campaign without their main political foil, which would allow Populist Democratic candidates to define the primary agenda. Similarly, during a general election campaign, this advantage would shift to the eventual Republican nominee, who would be free to discuss the policies of the Trump Presidency, without the personal baggage associated with it.


4 A Populist Democrat

- **Not convicted**

Failure to convict President Trump would have a slightly negative impact on the potential for a Populist Democrat to be elected. While strengthened by their early support for impeachment, a scenario under which Trump remains in office will keep impeachment at the top of the primary campaign agenda, playing into the narrative that Centrist Democratic candidates seek to present to the electorate. During the general election, populist candidates would be freer to pursue a campaign on issues, but such issues might not be the key driver of the election outcome in such a scenario.

- **Convicted and removed from office**

This outcome favors the Populist Democratic candidates. By removing President Trump from the primary campaign and general election, Populist candidates would be in a stronger position to campaign on central issues, such as Medicare for All, student debt forgiveness and a Green New Deal, and force the election to be about the domestic agenda, an area of strength, hindering both Centrist Democrats and the non-Trump Republican nominee.



Economic policy preferences and the dollar

When examining the presidential election and its implication for economic policy there are three key areas to focus on.

- Firstly, there is the stance of fiscal policy. Loose fiscal policy, either through lower taxes, more spending, or a combination of the two typically results in the Federal Reserve running tighter monetary policy. Historically, this has been a positive backdrop for the US dollar.
- Secondly, there is the regulatory framework. Tighter regulations may be interpreted as a hindrance to business activity and may lower growth even though, if properly implemented, it provides safeguards and can create incentives. The perception of slightly weaker growth may result in lower interest rates and be a mild dollar negative.
- Thirdly, there is protectionism. The implementation of tariffs as a tool to extract trade

concessions under Trump's Presidency has hurt business sentiment by creating uncertainty and raising costs. With China responding in kind, both economies have experienced headwinds. Amid weak global growth, the US economy has outperformed and the dollar has stayed firm. If trade tensions were to persist, this could maintain a safe haven bid for the dollar. Should they ease, this could create an environment for better global growth and see investment flows start to move out of the US dollar.

Author

James Knightley

Chief International Economist

james.knightley@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.