

UK at risk of falling behind on climate goals after Autumn Statement

In an era of looming public spending restraint, the UK risks lagging behind in key areas of its net-zero strategy. We look at what the recent Autumn Statement means for the electric vehicle transition, energy efficiency and investment in green electricity



Buildings and manufacturing/construction are areas where climate plans are most lacking

UK budget leaves net-zero plans at risk of slipping behind

There was plenty to chew on in [last week's UK Budget](#), not least that much of the anticipated fiscal pain has been pushed back until after the next election. Chancellor Jeremy Hunt had calculated that calmer financial markets and the announcement of certain tax rises means he can push back some tough spending decisions.


But the Budget also raised interesting questions about the UK's transition to net zero and sustainability. Climate change received several mentions in the Chancellor's statement, which came against the backdrop of the [COP27 conference](#).

However, in an era of ever-increasing spending restraint, the UK risks lagging behind in several areas of its [net-zero strategy](#), outlined last year. The government's independent net-zero advisor,

the Climate Change Committee (CCC), found earlier this year that the majority of the government's carbon reductions carry at least some risks – and in many cases they are significant. Here, we delve into three areas where the Autumn Statement was relevant to Britain's net-zero ambitions.

[Read our initial reaction to the UK's Autumn Statement](#)

How the Climate Change Committee grades the UK's progress on its net-zero strategy




Buildings and manufacturing/construction are areas where plans are most lacking

Reduction in CO₂ emissions required by 2035 vs baseline (MtCO₂e)

How the Climate Change Committee ranked the government's plans

■ Credible plans ■ Some risks ■ Significant risks ■ Insufficient plans



1 Electricity generation

- The existing energy profits levy (windfall tax) covering oil and gas companies will increase from 25% to 35% and is being extended by three years to 2028. It will be expanded to cover renewables generators, who will see a 45% tax on profits when wholesale electricity prices are above £75/MWh.

- Household energy support will be made less generous, with the average household set to pay £3,000 a year from April. That's slightly below what's implied by current market prices over a 12-month period. Previously, the average household – not on means-tested benefits – was set to pay £2,500 a year until the third quarter of 2024, with a £400 discount between October and April.
- The government reaffirmed its commitment to nuclear and reiterated plans to go ahead with the Sizewell C project.

Since the summer, we've argued that a broader windfall tax, especially one covering renewables, looked inevitable. Amid concerns about public finances, this measure had the rare attribute of both being politically popular and a decent revenue generator. The latter is less true now given the recent collapse in gas prices. But remember the UK has – in effect – an open-ended liability to gas prices via its household/business energy cap. These latest tax measures, to some extent, provide a natural hedge against future spikes in prices ([our house view](#) is that European gas prices could end up higher next winter than in the current one).

But how will this affect the UK's transition to greener energy? [Our team wrote in detail](#) about some of the risks associated with a similar scheme mooted by the EU earlier this year.

The UK has been a relative success story in recent years, in that emissions associated with electricity generation have already fallen by half of what is needed by 2050 to be consistent with net zero, according to the CCC. Offshore wind is still favoured over onshore by the government, and most development is now achieved via Contract for Difference (CFD) auctions. These set a pre-agreed "strike" price for future energy produced and consequently mean producers are obliged to return excess revenues when wholesale prices are higher. As you'd expect, the new tax won't apply to electricity producers with CFD agreements.

Given that this is now the primary means of allocating new wind investment, the new tax decisions should have a less obvious adverse effect on the ability to attract new capital.

2 Transport


For the first time, fully electric vehicles (EVs) will be subject to Vehicle Excise Duty (VED) from 2025. Existing EV road users will pay the standard rate, currently £165 a year. Battery-electric vehicles (BEV) are currently exempt, and plugin-hybrids (PHEV) pay a lower rate.

Away from energy support, the landmark decision to begin taxing electric vehicles was perhaps the most interesting sustainability-linked decision taken in the Autumn Statement.

The incentive for change is obvious. Fuel duty makes up roughly 3% of government receipts (or £30bn annually), and that proportion will gradually fall as the prevalence of EVs increases. Still, that makes the UK among the first in Europe to start tackling the issue of how EVs should be taxed. And it shines a spotlight on whether the government needs to do more to keep the pace of EV adoption on track.

There's no doubt that government intervention here is less essential than it once was. The proportion of battery EVs relative to overall sales has continually increased and made up 15% of new car purchases in the third quarter. The result is that "surface transport" now looks like one of the easier areas of transition for the UK – the technology is well developed, unlike some other net-zero areas, and consumer appetite appears strong.

Fully electric cars made up 15% of total sales in the third quarter



That said, battery EVs only make up around 1% of the total fleet, and the government's ambition to end petrol/diesel car sales by 2030 is premised on the assumption that EV costs will continue to tumble. Rising input costs and ongoing supply issues – particularly for batteries/battery metals – are a clear challenge to that logic. So too are higher electricity prices, which have reduced the operational savings versus conventional vehicles (albeit that's partly offset by improvements in mileage).

There's also a mounting risk that existing demand for EVs will outpace the growth of charging infrastructure. While growth in public chargers is averaging 8% a quarter, more will need to be done for dense residential areas. Half of UK properties are either flats or terraced houses, making it harder for households to charge vehicles without some form of public infrastructure. Public funding here is currently fairly limited.

So should the government be doing more, not less, to incentivise EV adoption? It's debatable whether the introduction of vehicle duty for EVs materially shifts the incentives to buy. But UK consumers, unlike those in France and Germany, are largely no longer eligible for government EV grant payments. The UK has also opted against German-style support for lowering the cost of public transport in recent months, making car travel less desirable.

The lesson here is that the government's announcement on EV duty is probably only the first step. Ultimately there's going to be pressure to increase the tax burden for emissions-generating vehicles, but that'll be challenging without disproportionately affecting lower-income households. Vehicle taxation may inevitably need to be coupled with a form of road pricing to counter the expected increase in congestion, as well as larger incentives for consumers to switch to public transport.

Buildings

An additional £6bn of funding from 2025 for energy efficiency projects, in addition to £6.6bn in the current parliamentary period. Introducing new 'Energy Efficiency Taskforce' to aid delivery.


This is arguably the area where government intervention is most lacking. [Our previous analysis](#) suggests that reducing UK emissions from buildings will require greater public investment by 2025 than transport or electricity generation, where private funds are set to play a larger role. The CCC found earlier this year that ministers' plans in this area were overwhelmingly insufficient or carry significant risk.

This is undoubtedly a challenging area. The UK's housing stock is ageing, with more than a third of properties built before 1945, the highest proportion in Europe. Britain also stands out for its reliance on gas as a source of domestic heating. Less than half of homes are rated EPC C or higher (the level generally seen as the minimum acceptable energy efficiency).

Even so, in some key respects, the UK has gone backwards. Unlike other major European economies, Britain closed its flagship energy efficiency grant scheme and hasn't yet introduced a replacement. The result was that by 2021, the number of annual home insulation installations delivered under government-linked schemes had fallen by 90% from a peak of more than two million in 2012. While that doesn't capture renovations outside of official schemes, there's been little discernible improvement in building energy efficiency ratings over the past decade, suggesting privately-funded measures haven't adequately filled the gap.

In areas where recent government funding has been available, uptake has been fairly steady. The government introduced a £5,000 grant for heat pump installations earlier this year, but so far has only been redeemed 3200 times (roughly 0.2% of total gas boilers sold in 2019).

UK has gone backwards on publicly-sponsored home insulation installs



Without additional funding commitments, achieving the targeted 15% reduction in

buildings/industrial emissions by 2030 will be a challenge. There will also be pressure for ministers to look at other means of incentivising private investment by households. Government-guaranteed loans/mortgages for renovations, with reduced interest rates, is one possible option.

Beyond financing, the other major obstacle to achieving these targets lies in worker shortages. While data is hard to come by, the CCC estimates that 200,000 extra hires will be needed by the mid-2020s to achieve the necessary pace of building improvements. But staff shortages are a key restraint on UK economic activity, and have been exacerbated by poor health and lower inward EU migration. With the exception of additional funding for the health service/education over the next couple of years, there was little in the Autumn Budget that is likely to materially change (an admittedly complex) issue.

Alongside buildings, manufacturing/construction stand-outs as another area where progress was judged to be limited by the CCC. This received little attention in the Autumn Statement, but the government has been making some progress on establishing initial Carbon Capture and Storage "clusters". [Our team wrote more on this topic earlier this year.](#)

Author

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security

discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.