

Solid UK jobs backdrop bolsters Bank of England's rate hike case


At face value, the UK jobs market looks much like it did pre-pandemic. Taken with rising headline inflation, that makes a February rate hike look more likely. But a severe wage-price spiral looks unlikely, even if pay growth is close to pre-virus rates. That suggests the Bank of England will hike less quickly than markets are now assuming



On all the main metrics, the UK jobs market looks remarkably similar to its pre-pandemic state. The latest fall in the unemployment rate to 4.1% takes it to within a whisker of its pre-Covid level. The ending of the furlough scheme last September has been a smooth success, with no discernible increase in redundancies – a stark contrast to what happened ahead of the scheme's original end-date in October 2020.

Alongside rising headline inflation rates and growing evidence that Omicron's impact has been modest, a February rate rise from the Bank of England looks increasingly likely.

Redundancies have remained stable despite wage support ending last September



Source: ONS, ING calculations


But markets are probably overestimating what comes thereafter. For Bank rate to head north of 1% later this year, as investors are currently pricing, we need signs that wage pressures are building further, akin to the trend seen in the US right now.

The data has been pretty hard to interpret recently, owing to various Covid-related distortions. But cutting through the noise, it looks like wage growth has slowed but remains roughly around pre-pandemic rates – which in themselves were at historically-elevated levels.

Some of this is undoubtedly due to skill shortages in parts of the jobs market, often a combination of lower inward migration and other structural factors. The chart below shows a reasonable link between sectors that have seen pay growth in excess of pre-virus trends, and those with vacancy rates above their historical averages. Unsurprisingly that's in areas like transport/logistics – a function of lorry driver shortages and the rapid shift to online consumer spending during Covid.

Wage growth has exceeded pre-crisis trends in sectors with above-average vacancy rates

Above-trend pay growth is more common in industries where vacancy rates are higher than usual



Source: Macrobond, ONS, ING calculations


For median pay, we have compared the current wage level in each sector to where it would have been had pre-virus trend growth continued. Similarly, we have compared vacancy rates to their average level in 2018/2019 in each sector.

But part of the wage growth story through 2021 was probably also a by-product of the jobs market playing catch-up after the pandemic. There appears to have been strong demand for jobs both from employers and employees.

Vacancy rates are strong, though the number of people starting, or due to start, a new job was well-above historical averages through the autumn. This looks a lot like catch-up after a prolonged period of below-average job switching during the pandemic.

Indeed, if we added up job-to-job flows since early 2020 (albeit a fairly crude measure), they are still some way below what we'd have expected had pre-virus trends continued instead.

Job-to-job flows have increased since last summer's reopenings



Source: ONS, Macrobond, ING

Grey line combines weekly data on numbers 'started a new job recently' and 'waiting to start', and is a three-month moving average. Purple line (cumulative job-to-job flows) is as of the third quarter, which is the latest data point

Remember too that employment was always likely to rebound much more rapidly than after past recessions, owing to the heavy concentration of pandemic job losses (around half) in consumer services. These sectors have a history of both leading the wider jobs market out of downturns, and having above-average levels of employee turnover in a typical year.

We'd expect to see employment growth slow over coming months as this effect dissipates, potentially taking some of the pressure off wage growth if the initial mismatches we saw in the jobs market last summer have begun to fade.

In short, we think the jobs market is strong enough to support two rate hikes this year, in addition to the 'quantitative tightening' that the Bank is likely to undertake in tandem. But the lack of a severe wage-price spiral limits the case for raising rates faster and further than in any of the post-financial crisis years.

Author

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.