

Three short-term effects of Asia's largest trading deal

The recently signed RCEP trade deal is expected to eliminate a range of tariffs on imports within 20 years, but what does that mean in the short run?



Vietnam's Prime Minister Nguyen Xuan Phuc (L) and Minister of Industry and Trade Tran Tuan Anh (R) cheer after the virtual signing ceremony for the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) in Hanoi, Vietnam, 15 November 2020

Source: Shutterstock

Three important effects of RCEP

The Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership or RCEP is a new trade agreement between 10 ASEAN countries in Asia Pacific, along with China, Japan, South Korea, Australia and New Zealand. The agreement replaces existing trade agreements between ASEAN countries and the other five countries. It also brings China and Japan, and South Korea and Japan, into trade agreements together for the first time.

Although, RCEP will take time to come into force, with ratification in each country yet to be completed, but the primary aim is to reduce tariffs on imports within 20 years. Admittedly, that is a long time and raises the question of the short-term relevance of this trade agreement if any at all.

But we think, three important impacts are likely to be felt quite quickly.

A well-timed boost for free trade

This trade agreement sends an important signal that could be more powerful than actually reducing the import tariffs.

RCEP is a vote in favour of free trade after years of rising frictions - and some of the deal's own signatories could do with this message: China and Australia came to the table to sign RCEP, but have been exchanging threats to restrict imports of key products.

RCEP is a vote in favour of free trade after years of rising frictions


Countries outside the newly-formed trade bloc may be given pause for thought about the costs of their own trade barriers - especially India, which was initially part of the negotiations but left the table in 2019. Though it was never part of the talks, the US now stands outside of two major trade blocs in Asia-Pacific, having quit negotiations for a Trans-Pacific Partnership deal. These talks evolved into the 2018 CPTPP deal between many of the RCEP countries (Australia, Brunei, Japan, Malaysia, New Zealand, Singapore, Viet Nam) and Canada, Mexico, Chile and Peru.

2 Tackling trade costs

Although the countries in the agreement account for nearly 30% of world output, trade flows between the 15 countries account for around 12% of world trade - larger than the CPTPP and NAFTA's replacement, the USMCA.

This share could grow further if the deal can make a meaningful difference to regional trade costs, which are relatively high.

Trade between RCEP countries has the potential to grow



Source: UNCTAD, ING

Trade costs between RCEP countries have proved stubbornly high despite the patchwork of trade

agreements already in place.

For example, the costs relating to the combination of tariffs and non-tariff barriers between Lao PDR and Brunei are more than eight times higher than the costs of trading these goods within the countries' borders. Also, trade costs between Thailand and New Zealand are double the costs of selling the goods in their domestic markets.

RCEP should help to lower trade costs

Additional costs of international trade relative to domestic trade, %

	Lao PDR	Brunei	Myanmar	Cambodia	Philippines	Singapore	Indonesia	Japan	Korea	China	Thailand	Malaysia	New Zealand	Australia
Lao PDR		850	652	166	358	267	295	189	232	137	53	218	334	298
Brunei	850		390	235	214	141	207	232	194	176	146	90	197	230
Myanmar	652	390		305	241	155	169	152	147	114	96	120	292	214
Cambodia	166	235	305		203	157	170	130	126	113	64	109	221	158
Philippines	358	214	241	203		123	121	85	95	97	79	83	155	129
Singapore	267	141	155	157	123		108	130	114	116	102	62	162	123
Indonesia	295	207	169	170	121	108		104	106	104	84	72	140	103
Japan	189	232	152	130	85	130	104		74	73	66	74	113	92
Korea	232	194	147	126	95	114	106	74		60	88	74	112	87
China	137	176	114	113	97	116	104	73	60		76	65	102	82
Thailand	53	146	96	64	79	102	84	66	88	76		47	100	75
Malaysia	218	90	120	109	83	62	72	74	74	65	47		99	72
New Zealand	334	197	292	221	155	162	140	113	112	102	100	99		53
Australia	298	230	214	158	129	123	103	92	87	82	75	72	53	

Source: ESCAP-World Bank Trade Costs Database, ING

As a free trade deal, tariff reductions are an important part of RCEP, but the deal should also focus minds on non-tariff barriers between RCEP countries in anticipation of the opportunities ahead. For one, the deal will create a large market for goods produced to the same standards, helping ASEAN producers currently producing different specifications for China, Japan and Korea.

At the border, new rules of origin requirements will treat RCEP countries as equals with regard to qualifying for zero tariffs. And an alternative mechanism will qualify goods if they have been transformed into a different tariff code in an RCEP country. Times for border compliance procedures in some RCEP countries currently rank among the longest in [the world](#), so there are significant potential gains from streamlining administration.

3 Intra-Asian trade flows matter for global supply chains

Trade between RCEP countries forms some of the early links in supply chains that go beyond the region, including electronics and textiles exports to Europe and the US. A reduction in trade costs could benefit resilience in supply chains by making it cheaper (and more feasible) for suppliers to substitute inputs.

When negotiations began eight years ago, RCEP signatories could have hardly anticipated how significant the commitment to reducing trade barriers could become.

But as well as countering protectionism, RCEP could be a decisive step in reducing trade costs in the region and therefore boost trade flows and supply chain resilience.

Author

Olivia Grace

Editor

olivia.grace@ing.com

Julian Geib

Junior Economist, Global Trade

julian.geib@ing.de

Zoltán Homolya

Economic research trainee

zoltan.homolya@ing.com

Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com

Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl

Alissa Lefebvre

Economist

alissa.lefebvre@ing.com

Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com

Ruben Dewitte

Economist

+32495364780

ruben.dewitte@ing.com

Kinga Havasi

Economic research trainee

kinga.havasi@ing.com

Marten van Garderen

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

marten.van.garderen@ing.com

David Havrlant

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

david.havrlant@ing.com

Sander Burgers

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

sander.burgers@ing.com

Lynn Song

Chief Economist, Greater China

lynn.song@ing.com

Michiel Tukker

Senior UK & Eurozone Rates Strategist

michiel.tukker@ing.com

Michal Rubaszek

Senior Economist, Poland

michal.rubaszek@ing.pl

This is a test author

Stefan Posea

Economist, Romania

tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Jesse Norcross

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

jesse.norcross@ing.com

Teise Stellema

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

teise.stellema@ing.com

Diederik Stadig

Senior Economist, Healthcare & Technology

diederik.stadig@ing.com

Diogo Gouveia

Sector Economist

diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com

Marine Leleux

Sector Strategist, Financials

marine.leleux2@ing.com

Ewa Manthey

Commodities Strategist
ewa.manthey@ing.com

ING Analysts

James Wilson
EM Sovereign Strategist
James.wilson@ing.com

Sophie Smith
Digital Editor
sophie.smith@ing.com

Frantisek Taborsky
EMEA FX & FI Strategist
frantisek.taborsky@ing.com

Adam Antoniak
Senior Economist, Poland
adam.antoniak@ing.pl

Min Joo Kang
Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan
min.joo.kang@ing.com

Coco Zhang
ESG Research
coco.zhang@ing.com

Jan Frederik Slijkerman
Senior Sector Strategist, TMT
jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com

Katinka Jongkind
Senior Economist, Services and Leisure
Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com

Marina Le Blanc
Sector Strategist, Financials
Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com

Samuel Abettan
Junior Economist
samuel.abettan@ing.com

Franziska Biehl
Senior Economist, Germany

Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de

Rebecca Byrne

Deputy Global Head of Editorial and Supervisory Analyst

rebecca.byrne@ing.com

Mirjam Bani

Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)

mirjam.bani@ing.com

Timothy Rahill

Credit Strategist

timothy.rahill@ing.com

Leszek Kasek

Senior Economist, Poland

leszek.kasek@ing.pl

Antoine Bouvet

Head of European Rates Strategy

antoine.bouvet@ing.com

Jeroen van den Broek

Global Head of Sector Research

jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com

Edse Dantuma

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare

edse.dantuma@ing.com

Francesco Pesole

FX Strategist

francesco.pesole@ing.com

Rico Luman

Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics

Rico.Luman@ing.com

Jurjen Witteveen

Sector Economist

jurjen.witteveen@ing.com

Dmitry Dolgin

Chief Economist, CIS

dmitry.dolgin@ing.de

Nicholas Mapa

Senior Economist, Philippines

nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com

Egor Fedorov

Senior Credit Analyst

egor.fedorov@ing.com

Sebastian Franke

Consumer Economist

sebastian.franke@ing.de

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Nadège Tillier

Head of Corporate Sector Strategy

nadege.tillier@ing.com

Charlotte de Montpellier

Senior Economist, France and Switzerland

charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com

Laura Straeter

Behavioural Scientist

+31(0)611172684

laura.Straeter@ing.com

Valentin Tataru

Chief Economist, Romania

valentin.tataru@ing.com

James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

james.smith@ing.com

Suvi Platerink Kosonen

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com

Thijs Geijer

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

thijs.geijer@ing.com

Maurice van Sante

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

maurice.van.sante@ing.com

Marcel Klok

Senior Economist, Netherlands
marcel.klok@ing.com

Paolo Pizzoli
Senior Economist, Italy, Greece
paolo.pizzoli@ing.com

Marieke Blom
Chief Economist and Global Head of Research
marieke.blom@ing.com

Raoul Leering
Senior Macro Economist
raoul.leering@ing.com

Maarten Leen
Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios
maarten.leen@ing.com

Maureen Schuller
Head of Financials Sector Strategy
Maureen.Schuller@ing.com

Warren Patterson
Head of Commodities Strategy
Warren.Patterson@ing.com

Rafal Benecki
Chief Economist, Poland
rafal.benecki@ing.pl

Philippe Ledent
Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg
philippe.ledent@ing.com

Peter Virovacz
Senior Economist, Hungary
peter.virovacz@ing.com

Inga Fechner
Senior Economist, Global Trade
inga.fechner@ing.de

Dimitry Fleming
Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands
Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com

Ciprian Dascalu

Chief Economist, Romania
+40 31 406 8990
ciprian.dascalu@ing.com

Muhammet Mercan
Chief Economist, Turkey
muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr

Iris Pang
Chief Economist, Greater China
iris.pang@asia.ing.com

Sophie Freeman
Writer, Group Research
+44 20 7767 6209
Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com

Padhraic Garvey, CFA
Regional Head of Research, Americas
padhraic.garvey@ing.com

James Knightley
Chief International Economist, US
james.knightley@ing.com

Tim Condon
Asia Chief Economist
+65 6232-6020

Martin van Vliet
Senior Interest Rate Strategist
+31 20 563 8801
martin.van.vliet@ing.com

Karol Pogorzelski
Senior Economist, Poland
Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl

Carsten Brzeski
Global Head of Macro
carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Viraj Patel
Foreign Exchange Strategist
+44 20 7767 6405
viraj.patel@ing.com

Owen Thomas

Global Head of Editorial Content
+44 (0) 207 767 5331
owen.thomas@ing.com

Bert Colijn
Chief Economist, Netherlands
bert.colijn@ing.com

Peter Vanden Houte
Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone
peter.vandenhoute@ing.com

Benjamin Schroeder
Senior Rates Strategist
benjamin.schroeder@ing.com

Chris Turner
Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE
chris.turner@ing.com

Gustavo Rangel
Chief Economist, LATAM
+1 646 424 6464
gustavo.rangel@ing.com

Carlo Cocuzzo
Economist, Digital Finance
+44 20 7767 5306
carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com