

OPEC+ meeting: Great expectations

OPEC+ are set to meet on 5 and 6 March. The market is expecting a lot from them given the demand impact from Covid-19. It is clear that OPEC+ needs to take action. However, the level of cuts needed is less clear



Source: Shutterstock

Covid-19 & demand worries

The biggest worry for the oil market at the moment is the demand impact from the Covid-19 virus outbreak. The hit this is having on demand does mean that the expected surplus over 1H20 has grown, and therefore the need for even further action from OPEC+. As [we mentioned recently](#), we are assuming that we will see demand growth slowing by around 400Mbbls/d over the year, and so leaving demand growth at just 800Mbbls/d for 2020.

However this is an evolving number, and there clearly is further downside to demand growth as we see an increase in the number of reported cases outside of China.

[Why we have revised our price forecasts lower](#)

OPEC+ performance

Back in December, OPEC+ agreed to additional cuts of 500Mbbbls/d for 1Q20. This, along with continued over compliance from Saudi Arabia, takes effective cuts for the group to 2.1MMbbbls/d over the quarter. OPEC performance with the deal over January was good, with output averaging 28.32MMbbbls/d over the month, down 505Mbbbls/d MoM. This fall was driven by the deeper cuts agreed, along with a plunge in supply from Libya, given the ongoing export blockade in the country. Libyan output has fallen from an average of 1.14MMbbbls/d in December to around 120Mbbbls/d currently.

When OPEC+ decided on deeper cuts in December, they failed to extend the deal through until the end of June, which still left a sizeable surplus in 2Q20. The group decided that it would review the market situation at the extraordinary meeting scheduled for next week, before agreeing to any action for the second quarter. However, the outbreak of Covid-19 has meant that action from OPEC+ has become more pressing.

What do they need to agree on?

The OPEC+ joint technical committee (JTC) recommended to OPEC+ ministers to extend the current deal through until the end of this year, whilst deepening cuts by 600Mbbbls/d over 2Q20. More recently, there have been media reports that Saudi Arabia is pushing for an additional 1MMbbbls/d of cuts.

We believe that deeper cuts of 600Mbbbls/d over 2Q20 should be enough to keep the market largely balanced over the second quarter for now

We believe that deeper cuts of 600Mbbbls/d over 2Q20 should be enough to keep the market largely balanced over the second quarter for now. However, there is also uncertainty over when Libyan supply will return to normal. If output in the country returns to normal fairly quickly we will probably need to see cuts closer to the 1MMbbbls/d that Saudi Arabia is reportedly pushing for.

As we have seen in the past, it is Russia who needs convincing when it comes to production cuts, and this time it is no different. Russia has been fairly quiet after the recommendation made by the JTC several weeks ago. However, the renewed pressure we are currently seeing on prices should be enough to send a signal to OPEC+ that they need to take action at the meeting. Failing to do so would mean only further downside to our [current price forecasts](#).

We believe the market will be disappointed with anything that falls short of the JTC recommendation.

Author

Warren Patterson

Head of Commodities Strategy

Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.