


# Our latest views on the major central banks

Our take on what could be on the horizon for the Federal Reserve, European Central Bank, the Bank of England and the Bank of Japan over the coming months



In the run up to the European Central Bank's March meeting, official statements have pointed to an increasingly heated debate about where to stop the current rate cut cycle

## Federal Reserve

In the immediate aftermath of the election, it was sensed that pro-growth tax cuts and deregulation combined with potentially inflation-boosting tariffs and immigration controls would mean the Federal Reserve would have less scope to cut rates. In the wake of recent disappointing data, there has been more focus on the potential downsides of President Donald Trump's policy thrust. There are concerns that aggressive government austerity will be bad news for overall economic activity and that steep tariff increases would eat into the spending power of middle and lower income households. This has reinvigorated the belief that the Fed may need to offer more support.

Despite worries about government-related job losses, unemployment is low and inflation is still tracking too hot, so we see little prospect of a rate cut before late summer. Nonetheless, we see a

good chance of rate cuts in September and December, with a third coming in March next year – particularly with housing-related inflation measures looking better behaved, which would act as an offset to some of the tariff-related inflation fears. This would leave the policy rate in the 3.5-3.75% range – still well above the 3% level the Fed has as its long-term forecast.

## European Central Bank

Another cut and then what? In the run up to the European Central Bank's March meeting, official statements have pointed to an increasingly heated debate about where to stop the current rate cut cycle. While the well-known doves continue calling for a policy rate at 2% by the summer, the hawks have started to emerge again, questioning the need to cut rates below 2.5%.

Despite this debate, a 25bp rate cut at the March meeting still looks like a done deal. The policy rate would then be at the upper end of the range for the neutral interest rate. With inflation notoriously being too high but the economy remaining weak, the ECB will have to find a fragile balance between losing control again and supporting the economy. As we think that the central bank's own growth forecasts are still too optimistic, we see the ECB cutting to at least 2% by the summer once the eurozone economy indeed turns to out to be weaker than it had expected.

## Bank of England

Having cut rates in February, the path of least resistance is for the Bank of England to keep lowering rates once per quarter for the remainder of the year. While Catherine Mann – once the arch-hawk of the committee – has begun voting for more front-loaded cuts, it's not a view shared by many others. Indeed, we detect some caution at the Bank about the recent rise in energy prices and the fact that headline inflation is set to rise above 3% this year. Still, the jobs market is under more visible pressure and we expect service sector inflation to fall back in the spring, undershooting the most recent BoE forecasts. That should make the Bank more comfortable with cutting rates much closer to 3% than markets are currently pricing.

## Bank of Japan

After a rate hike in January, the Bank of Japan is likely to remain on hold at its March meeting, monitoring the impact of previous rate hikes and other developments such as inflation, wage growth and US tariff policy. Given the recent sharp moves in the FX and JGB markets, the BoJ's communication should be cautious about sending any signals on the timing of future rate hikes.

We expect the BoJ to deliver a 25bp hike as early as May while maintaining its flexibility in the JGB purchase operation in order to manage any sudden moves in the JGB markets. In our view, the spring wage negotiations are key for gauging the timing of the BoJ's next rate hike, while the main risk factor remains Trump's tariff policy and how it could affect the Japanese economy.

## Author

### James Knightley

Chief International Economist, US

[james.knightley@ing.com](mailto:james.knightley@ing.com)

### Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

[carsten.brzeski@ing.de](mailto:carsten.brzeski@ing.de)

### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

### Min Joo Kang

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

[min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com](mailto:min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit [www.ing.com](http://www.ing.com).