

## Is the South Korean economy headed for a recession?

Our [last report on Korea](#) flagged the difficulties the economy was facing. Since then, things have worsened - the economy may even be in a recession. The central bank looks close to responding with some easing, though they have dragged their feet, which hasn't helped. The government may also have to relegate longer-term restructuring for short term support



Source: Shutterstock

# 1.75%

BoK base rate

Expected 1.25% by year end

### In need of some stimulus

Around the region, rates are being cut. Malaysia, Philippines, India, New Zealand and Australia

have all cut rates, and more are likely to be on the way now that the US Federal Reserve seems to have shifted to an easing bias.

---

*The Korean economy may actually be in a recession right now, we're simply waiting for the data to confirm it*


---

Compared to most of these economies, the argument for some easing from the Bank of Korea is considerably more compelling and is exacerbated by the rate hike in November 2018 which we never felt was justified by the Korean macroeconomic situation. Moreover, since [we last wrote about this economy at length](#), economic conditions have deteriorated further with the escalation of the trade war and the morphing of the global technology slump into a tech war of its own.

The best you can say about the Korean economy right now is that year-on-year comparisons will be less unhelpful in 2019. But this is an economy that may actually be in a recession right now, we're simply waiting for the data to confirm it. Forecasting an imminent upturn is a difficult thought-experiment.

[Read our country profile on South Korea from January](#)

## Korean exports by region and by Asian country YoY% (May 2019)



Source: CEIC

## More than temporary weakness for the external sector

Korea is an export power-house, punching well above its place of the 11th biggest global economy by GDP to rank fifth by export share. But what ought to be a sign of strength is a serious handicap against the backdrop of slowing global trade growth. The World Trade Organisation (WTO) forecast for global trade growth this year is only 2.6%, which is slower than global GDP growth (3.3%), so the headwinds for Korea's external sector are very severe indeed. This is more than a little temporary external weakness (as the BoK initially suggested as a reason not to respond with more accommodative policy).

---


*Though the decline in Korea's exports is predominantly a*

## *semiconductor phenomenon, it's not as if Korea's other major export sectors are looking like they'll make up for this*

Though the decline in Korea's exports is still, predominantly, a semiconductor phenomenon, it is not as if Korea's other major export sectors are looking like they'll make up for this. Indeed, the more traditional sectors of steel, autos, petrol etc. are also looking a bit subdued, probably reflecting a more general slowdown in global demand.

In the semiconductor industry itself, the Bank of Korea asserts that volume exports are picking up. That may well be the case, but producer price series for semi-conductors still show prices declining at anything between 11%YoY for semiconductors themselves, to 23% YoY for integrated circuits. It will take more than a slight uptick in volumes to offset that.

## Korean exports and electronics producer prices




Source: CEIC

## Where do Korean exports land?

By destination, more than 50% of Korean exports are destined for other parts of Asia, with North Asia - China (41%), Hong Kong (11%), Japan (10%) and Taiwan (5%) taking the lion's share.

Within South East Asia, Vietnam is the standout recipient at 13.5%, dwarfing the Korean export receipts of most other Southeast Asian economies, even some many times its size. The 2015 Free Trade Agreement has probably helped this, with Vietnam reducing its tariffs by 89% and South Korea reducing its tariffs by 95%. Today, about 40% of Samsung handphones are reportedly made in Vietnam, so electronic imports for assembly and then re-export make up a significant amount of this intra-regional trade.

## Korean exports by destination



Source: CEIC

## Philippines, another standout

The other standout country in Asia, though for the wrong reasons, is the Philippines. The Philippines is seeing the strongest decline in growth of exports from Korea when compared to any Asian country. A database of trade shows that electronics goods, mainly integrated circuits, make up about a third of all South Korean exports to the Philippines - far more than any other category. While most reports cite exports from the Philippines to Korea being mainly agricultural produce (bananas and pineapples) as well as copper, the Observatory of Economic Complexity (OEC) of MIT shows electrical and electronic goods making up more than half Philippine - Korean exports. There is clearly a strong degree of interlinkage in this sector.

---

*The Philippines is seeing the strongest decline in growth of exports from Korea of any Asian country*

---


With a free trade deal between Korea and the Philippines due in November, some firms may be holding back exports until after that deal is signed. But the global tech slump may also be playing its role here by weighing on intra-regional exports.

## Domestic economy looks (slightly) better

While the external economy is struggling, and the best that can be said of it is that it may be showing signs of reaching a floor, the domestic economy looks slightly better. Inventory to shipments ratios are now falling (see charts below, where inventory ratios are inverted) and together with rising production in the quarters ahead, they also point to rising investment and capacity building.

Retail sales volumes have been slowing on a trend basis, but overall, sales volumes are still holding up reasonably well. And though the unemployment rate has been heading the other direction (higher) it has been doing so at a very gradual pace. However, that hasn't propped up inflation, which at 0.7%, is too far from the Bank of Korea's inflation target for it to be ignored further, and together with weakness elsewhere, make the case for rate cuts almost overwhelming.

## Production, investment and inventory cycle troughing (YoY%)



Source: CEIC

## Bank of Korea coming round to the idea of some easing

The central bank governor, Lee Ju-yeol admitted at the BoK's semi-annual briefing recently that Korean inflation would likely miss the Bank's 1.1% forecast from April. He also suggested that there was a rising chance that the semiconductor recovery would be delayed. These and other comments seem to be warming the market up for a rate cut, perhaps as early as this month when they next meet (18 July).

---

*While Governor Lee has indicated that there is not much room for monetary policy easing, we believe there is certainly room for at least two 25bp rate cuts*


---

Downward revisions to both growth and inflation forecasts in the BoK's quarterly Economic outlook for July look highly probable, which should further increase the chances of a rate cut at that meeting. The last BoK meeting already had one dissenter to the no-change policy, and that too could be a precursor to a broader change of mind within the Bank.

While Governor Lee has indicated that there is not much room for monetary policy easing, we believe there is certainly room for at least two 25bp rate cuts. One to reverse last November's unnecessary hike and another to deliver some genuine easing. If we get a July cut, then we may not have to wait too much longer until the next.

Some slight won (KRW) appreciation since May, driven by Federal Reserve rate cut expectations, makes it easier for the BoK to move, though it is difficult to come up with a cogent set of explanations as to why the BoK previously felt that the currency's weakness made it harder for them to act. Nonetheless, to the extent that they felt this was a constraint before, now they should be slightly more relaxed.

## Korean unemployment and inflation




Source: CEIC

## Housing - not a bubble, but another reason to ease

One other factor that prompted the rate hike in late 2018 was housing. This was never a convincing story back then, when house price growth was modest, and largely confined to the Seoul Metropolitan area, which still remains one of the strongest regions nationally for house price growth, but even there, house prices are barely keeping pace with wages, and in other parts of the country, is either very weak or falling.

National house price growth is now less than 2%YoY. We expect it to fall further and even register some negative year-on-year comparisons in the quarters ahead. Easier monetary policy would provide some insurance against a harder and more painful decline in house prices, though we don't think there is a bubble on the verge of bursting. House price growth has never been that strong.

## Korean residential house prices (YoY%)



Source: CEIC

## The Korean won and bond yields

Despite the likelihood of some policy easing from the central bank, the main drivers for the

currency are:

1. Global financial market risk appetite, in particular, sentiment about the trade war
2. Korea's trade balance
3. The US dollar

Recent swings in the Korean won are down to almost exclusively non-domestic factors: the growing expectation of Fed easing, ever-declining US Treasury yields, and some sense of relief following the G20 meeting which averted even greater tariff rates on China or retaliation. But looking ahead, we are a bit concerned that Fed easing, and subsequent dollar weakness that has helped the KRW swing from 1180 to break 1150, is overdone and could flip back. To some extent, this has already happened with the KRW already now at 1180. Higher still, is of course possible.

---

*Our forecast of a gradual appreciation of the KRW is centred on an expectation of a gradually improving global outlook as we reach the end of the year*


---

A catalyst for this is hard to predict in advance, though could include anything that undermined the easier Fed view – More evidence of strength on the labour market will remain key, but also stronger activity, wages and inflation data. If the US really is slowing now, then this probably won't be a problem, but if recent softer data flows are more a result of inherent noise rather than genuine signal, then it can't be ruled out.

Our forecast of a gradual appreciation of the KRW is centred on an expectation of a gradually improving global outlook as we reach the end of the year and as the commencement of the US Presidential race-proper limits further trade aggression, if only for 2019.

Korea's Treasury bonds rallied on the weak Korean story before they were pushed even lower by the US Treasury story. We have been surprised at just how far US Treasury yields have fallen, and remain suspicious that current levels are sustainable especially if the US is simply showing signs of slowdown, not hurtling into recession, consequently, for both Korean Treasury bonds and the KRW, we have some reversal of recent moves, though the conviction on the timing and extent of this is very low.

## Korean Treasury yields and the Won



Source: Bloomberg



## Government and fiscal policies

President Moon Jae-in's government continues to focus on measures to provide peace and reconciliation on the Korean peninsula, and in that respect, although there has been no breakthrough on North Korea, the support of US President Trump and recent revitalisation of talks is proof of some progress.

---

*We don't think the government should abandon its reform goals of making the economy less reliant on a few big family-run conglomerates*

---

But on the economy, the approach of tax and spend has been somewhat less successful, though it is hard to draw firm conclusions given the hostile global environment, and the counterfactual of what might have happened in the absence of a trade war or tech slump would probably have been considerably better. Active industrial policy is not the direction this current government follows, though in April a supplementary budget was crafted, amongst other things, to provide economic support.

The overall size of the budget was KRW 6.7 trillion, about 0.3-0.4% GDP, though arguably on the low side given the scale of the external problem and also the fact that about one-third of that total was related to health and safety, not economic support.

Korea has low rates of public debt to GDP. Consequently, given the uniquely difficult state of the external and domestic environment, one can make a very good argument for further targeted support, especially bearing in mind that the BoK's room for manoeuvre is limited.

We don't, however, think the government should abandon its reform goals of making the economy less reliant on a few big family-run conglomerates, known as chaebol. A more competitive market structure would be positive for Korean growth, even if it meant giving up some of its market share of global exports. In the current environment that doesn't seem like a bad trade-off.

## Korean Supplementary budget (April 2019)

Measure	Cost (KRW tr)	Description
<b>Health and safety related</b>	<b>-2.2</b>	
Reduce Fine Dust	-0.8	Promote scrapping of old vehicles, support remissions restrictions at industrial sites
Promote environment friendly technologies	-0.4	Promote environment friendly vehicles, installation of solar panels, expand financial support for R&D and new technology
Fine dust monitoring	-0.1	Strengthen fine dust monitoring, work on cooperation with China
Health concerns	-0.2	Hand out dust masks and support purchase of air purifiers, improve subway air quality
Citizen Safety	-0.7	Strengthen mountain fire management, other disaster management, promote corporate investment in workplace safety
<b>Economy boost</b>	<b>-4.5</b>	
Export support and ventures	-1.1	Support exporters going into new overseas markets, promote venture startups and support upscaling, develop tourism
Promote new industries	-0.3	Help develop 5G technologies, promote smart factories and fintech services, expand job training programs
Support local economies	-1	Support local economies affected by restructuring, invest in local infrastructure projects, provide emergency funding to small merchants
Increase working class support	-1.5	Expand unemployment benefits, social security benefits, emergency payments and energy vouchers
Support for employment	-0.6	Provide employment support, career change, create public sector jobs
<b>Total</b>	<b>-6.7</b>	

Source: Ministry of Finance - Korea



## Longer term government plans - service sector the focus

The government seems to see more promise in the services sector, where it feels it lags behind the most developed world economies. Policies to push Korea to a more developed services future include:

- Providing the same level of support to services that has been extended to manufacturing firms
- Invest in basic infrastructure, including national statistics
- Promote convergence between the manufacturing and service sectors
- Build a regulatory foundation
- Relax regulations on promising service sectors, including healthcare and tourism
- Improve the logistics agency

These are of course very long run ambitions, but consistent with a modern developed economy. Though it brings with it a number of problems, including slower economic productivity growth, and in turn slower wages growth across both manufacturing and service sectors. One could argue that in trying to rid itself of some of its current problems, this policy direction will simply swap them for problems being suffered by most G-7 economies, and for which there seem few obvious remedies.

## Korea forecast summary

	1Q 19	2Q19	3Q19	4Q19	2019	2020	2021
GDP (%YoY)	1.7	2.1	0.6	1.1	1.4	2.5	2.3
CPI (%YoY)	0.5	0.7	0.6	1	0.7	1.7	1.9
Unemployment rate (eop)	3.8	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2	4
Residential real estate (%YoY)	2.7	1.9	1	-0.3	1.3	0.9	3.4
Fiscal balance (consolidated ex soc sec)					-1.9	-3.9	-3.8
Debt/GDP (%)					38.6	41.3	43.5
Current account balance (US\$bn and % GDP)	11.6	17.5	27.5	26.5	3.9	3.8	3.7
7-day repo rate (eop)	1.75	1.75	1.5	1.25	1.25	1	1
10Y yields (eop)	1.83	1.6	1.5	1.45	1.45	1.7	1.9
USD/KRW (eop)	1,135	1,155	1,150	1,180	1,180	1,160	1,140

Source: ING

## Author

### Amrita Naik Nimbalkar

Junior Economist, Global Macro

[amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com](mailto:amrita.naik.nimbalkar@ing.com)

### Mateusz Sutowicz

Senior Economist, Poland

[mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl](mailto:mateusz.sutowicz@ing.pl)

### Alissa Lefebvre

Economist

[alissa.lefebvre@ing.com](mailto:alissa.lefebvre@ing.com)

### Deepali Bhargava

Regional Head of Research, Asia-Pacific

[Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com](mailto:Deepali.Bhargava@ing.com)

**Ruben Dewitte**

Economist

+32495364780

[ruben.dewitte@ing.com](mailto:ruben.dewitte@ing.com)

**Kinga Havasi**

Economic research trainee

[kinga.havasi@ing.com](mailto:kinga.havasi@ing.com)

**Marten van Garderen**

Consumer Economist, Netherlands

[marten.van.garderen@ing.com](mailto:marten.van.garderen@ing.com)

**David Havrlant**

Chief Economist, Czech Republic

420 770 321 486

[david.havrlant@ing.com](mailto:david.havrlant@ing.com)

**Sander Burgers**

Senior Economist, Dutch Housing

[sander.burgers@ing.com](mailto:sander.burgers@ing.com)

**Lynn Song**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[lynn.song@asia.ing.com](mailto:lynn.song@asia.ing.com)

**Michiel Tukker**

Senior European Rates Strategist

[michiel.tukker@ing.com](mailto:michiel.tukker@ing.com)

**Michal Rubaszek**

Senior Economist, Poland

[michal.rubaszek@ing.pl](mailto:michal.rubaszek@ing.pl)

**This is a test author**

**Stefan Posea**

Economist, Romania

[tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com](mailto:tiberiu-stefan.posea@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Jesse Norcross**

Senior Sector Strategist, Real Estate

[jesse.norcross@ing.com](mailto:jesse.norcross@ing.com)

**Teise Stellema**

Research Assistant, Energy Transition

[teise.stellema@ing.com](mailto:teise.stellema@ing.com)

**Diederik Stadig**

Sector Economist, TMT & Healthcare

[diederik.stadig@ing.com](mailto:diederik.stadig@ing.com)

**Diogo Gouveia**

Sector Economist

[diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com](mailto:diogo.duarte.vieira.de.gouveia@ing.com)

**Marine Leleux**

Sector Strategist, Financials

[marine.leleux2@ing.com](mailto:marine.leleux2@ing.com)

**Ewa Manthey**

Commodities Strategist

[ewa.manthey@ing.com](mailto:ewa.manthey@ing.com)

**ING Analysts**

**James Wilson**

EM Sovereign Strategist

[James.wilson@ing.com](mailto:James.wilson@ing.com)

**Sophie Smith**

Digital Editor

[sophie.smith@ing.com](mailto:sophie.smith@ing.com)

**Frantisek Taborsky**

EMEA FX & FI Strategist

[frantisek.taborsky@ing.com](mailto:frantisek.taborsky@ing.com)

**Adam Antoniak**

Senior Economist, Poland

[adam.antoniak@ing.pl](mailto:adam.antoniak@ing.pl)

**Min Joo Kang**

Senior Economist, South Korea and Japan

[min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com](mailto:min.joo.kang@asia.ing.com)

**Coco Zhang**

ESG Research

[coco.zhang@ing.com](mailto:coco.zhang@ing.com)

**Jan Frederik Slijkerman**

Senior Sector Strategist, TMT  
[jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com](mailto:jan.frederik.slijkerman@ing.com)

**Katinka Jongkind**  
Senior Economist, Services and Leisure  
[Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com](mailto:Katinka.Jongkind@ing.com)

**Marina Le Blanc**  
Sector Strategist, Financials  
[Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com](mailto:Marina.Le.Blanc@ing.com)

**Samuel Abettan**  
Junior Economist  
[samuel.abettan@ing.com](mailto:samuel.abettan@ing.com)

**Franziska Biehl**  
Senior Economist, Germany  
[Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de](mailto:Franziska.Marie.Biehl@ing.de)

**Rebecca Byrne**  
Senior Editor and Supervisory Analyst  
[rebecca.byrne@ing.com](mailto:rebecca.byrne@ing.com)

**Mirjam Bani**  
Sector Economist, Commercial Real Estate & Public Sector (Netherlands)  
[mirjam.bani@ing.com](mailto:mirjam.bani@ing.com)

**Timothy Rahill**  
Credit Strategist  
[timothy.rahill@ing.com](mailto:timothy.rahill@ing.com)

**Leszek Kasek**  
Senior Economist, Poland  
[leszek.kasek@ing.pl](mailto:leszek.kasek@ing.pl)

**Oleksiy Soroka, CFA**  
Senior High Yield Credit Strategist  
[oleksiy.soroka@ing.com](mailto:oleksiy.soroka@ing.com)

**Antoine Bouvet**  
Head of European Rates Strategy  
[antoine.bouvet@ing.com](mailto:antoine.bouvet@ing.com)

**Jeroen van den Broek**  
Global Head of Sector Research  
[jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com](mailto:jeroen.van.den.broek@ing.com)

**Edse Dantuma**

Senior Sector Economist, Industry and Healthcare  
[edse.dantuma@ing.com](mailto:edse.dantuma@ing.com)

**Francesco Pesole**  
FX Strategist  
[francesco.pesole@ing.com](mailto:francesco.pesole@ing.com)

**Rico Luman**  
Senior Sector Economist, Transport and Logistics  
[Rico.Luman@ing.com](mailto:Rico.Luman@ing.com)

**Jurjen Witteveen**  
Sector Economist  
[jurjen.witteveen@ing.com](mailto:jurjen.witteveen@ing.com)

**Dmitry Dolgin**  
Chief Economist, CIS  
[dmitry.dolgin@ing.de](mailto:dmitry.dolgin@ing.de)

**Nicholas Mapa**  
Senior Economist, Philippines  
[nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com](mailto:nicholas.antonio.mapa@asia.ing.com)

**Egor Fedorov**  
Senior Credit Analyst  
[egor.fedorov@ing.com](mailto:egor.fedorov@ing.com)

**Sebastian Franke**  
Consumer Economist  
[sebastian.franke@ing.de](mailto:sebastian.franke@ing.de)

**Gerben Hieminga**  
Senior Sector Economist, Energy  
[gerben.hieminga@ing.com](mailto:gerben.hieminga@ing.com)

**Nadège Tillier**  
Head of Corporates Sector Strategy  
[nadege.tillier@ing.com](mailto:nadege.tillier@ing.com)

**Charlotte de Montpellier**  
Senior Economist, France and Switzerland  
[charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com](mailto:charlotte.de.montpellier@ing.com)

**Laura Straeter**  
Behavioural Scientist  
+31(0)611172684  
[laura.Straeter@ing.com](mailto:laura.Straeter@ing.com)

**Valentin Tataru**

Chief Economist, Romania

[valentin.tataru@ing.com](mailto:valentin.tataru@ing.com)

**James Smith**

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

**Suvi Platerink Kosonen**

Senior Sector Strategist, Financials

[suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com](mailto:suvi.platerink-kosonen@ing.com)

**Thijs Geijer**

Senior Sector Economist, Food & Agri

[thijs.geijer@ing.com](mailto:thijs.geijer@ing.com)

**Maurice van Sante**

Senior Economist Construction & Team Lead Sectors

[maurice.van.sante@ing.com](mailto:maurice.van.sante@ing.com)

**Marcel Klok**

Senior Economist, Netherlands

[marcel.klok@ing.com](mailto:marcel.klok@ing.com)

**Piotr Poplawski**

Senior Economist, Poland

[piotr.poplawski@ing.pl](mailto:piotr.poplawski@ing.pl)

**Paolo Pizzoli**

Senior Economist, Italy, Greece

[paolo.pizzoli@ing.com](mailto:paolo.pizzoli@ing.com)

**Marieke Blom**

Chief Economist and Global Head of Research

[marieke.blom@ing.com](mailto:marieke.blom@ing.com)

**Raoul Leering**

Senior Macro Economist

[raoul.leering@ing.com](mailto:raoul.leering@ing.com)

**Maarten Leen**

Head of Global IFRS9 ME Scenarios

[maarten.leen@ing.com](mailto:maarten.leen@ing.com)

**Maureen Schuller**

Head of Financials Sector Strategy

[Maureen.Schuller@ing.com](mailto:Maureen.Schuller@ing.com)

**Warren Patterson**

Head of Commodities Strategy

[Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com](mailto:Warren.Patterson@asia.ing.com)

**Rafal Benecki**

Chief Economist, Poland

[rafal.benecki@ing.pl](mailto:rafal.benecki@ing.pl)

**Philippe Ledent**

Senior Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg

[philippe.ledent@ing.com](mailto:philippe.ledent@ing.com)

**Peter Virovacz**

Senior Economist, Hungary

[peter.virovacz@ing.com](mailto:peter.virovacz@ing.com)

**Inga Fechner**

Senior Economist, Germany, Global Trade

[inga.fechner@ing.de](mailto:inga.fechner@ing.de)

**Dimitry Fleming**

Senior Data Analyst, Netherlands

[Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com](mailto:Dimitry.Fleming@ing.com)

**Ciprian Dascalu**

Chief Economist, Romania

+40 31 406 8990

[ciprian.dascalu@ing.com](mailto:ciprian.dascalu@ing.com)

**Muhammet Mercan**

Chief Economist, Turkey

[muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr](mailto:muhammet.mercan@ingbank.com.tr)

**Iris Pang**

Chief Economist, Greater China

[iris.pang@asia.ing.com](mailto:iris.pang@asia.ing.com)

**Sophie Freeman**

Writer, Group Research

+44 20 7767 6209

[Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com](mailto:Sophie.Freeman@uk.ing.com)

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

**James Knightley**

Chief International Economist, US



[james.knightley@ing.com](mailto:james.knightley@ing.com)

**Tim Condon**

Asia Chief Economist  
+65 6232-6020

**Martin van Vliet**

Senior Interest Rate Strategist  
+31 20 563 8801  
[martin.van.vliet@ing.com](mailto:martin.van.vliet@ing.com)

**Karol Pogorzelski**

Senior Economist, Poland  
[Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl](mailto:Karol.Pogorzelski@ing.pl)

**Carsten Brzeski**

Global Head of Macro  
[carsten.brzeski@ing.de](mailto:carsten.brzeski@ing.de)

**Viraj Patel**

Foreign Exchange Strategist  
+44 20 7767 6405  
[viraj.patel@ing.com](mailto:viraj.patel@ing.com)

**Owen Thomas**

Global Head of Editorial Content  
+44 (0) 207 767 5331  
[owen.thomas@ing.com](mailto:owen.thomas@ing.com)

**Bert Colijn**

Chief Economist, Netherlands  
[bert.colijn@ing.com](mailto:bert.colijn@ing.com)

**Peter Vanden Houte**

Chief Economist, Belgium, Luxembourg, Eurozone  
[peter.vandenhoute@ing.com](mailto:peter.vandenhoute@ing.com)

**Benjamin Schroeder**

Senior Rates Strategist  
[benjamin.schroeder@ing.com](mailto:benjamin.schroeder@ing.com)

**Chris Turner**

Global Head of Markets and Regional Head of Research for UK & CEE  
[chris.turner@ing.com](mailto:chris.turner@ing.com)

**Gustavo Rangel**

Chief Economist, LATAM  
+1 646 424 6464

[gustavo.rangel@ing.com](mailto:gustavo.rangel@ing.com)

**Carlo Cocuzzo**

Economist, Digital Finance

+44 20 7767 5306

[carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com](mailto:carlo.cocuzzo@ing.com)