

Is REPowerEU too focused on renewables as a way to cut out Russian gas?

The European Commission has rolled out its plan to reduce gas dependence on Russia. Renewables get too much attention considering their limited potential to replace gas for heating purposes



Reducing Europe's gas dependency on Russia has everything to do with heating demand, and far less with power demand

The European Commission's so-called REPowerEU plan aims to cut out 100 billion cubic metres (bcm) of Russian gas imports – worth roughly two-thirds of total imports from Russia – by the end of this year. From a short-term economic perspective, the Commission's emergency measures on energy prices are [the most important](#). These are the four key takeaways from an energy system perspective:

[European Commission presents plans to reduce dependence on Russian gas](#)

1 Liquefied natural gas will need to do most of the work

What the Commission has in mind is to cut out 100 bcm of Russian natural gas from its imports. The EU [imported](#) 155 bcm of natural gas from Russia in 2021 through pipelines, a level that has remained consistent in the last five years, ranging from 152 to 166 bcm.

REPowerEU focusses on replacing Russian gas with non-Russian gas supplies of 60 bcm, most of which would come from increasing liquefied natural gas (LNG) imports. Such an objective seems very challenging, given current European import capacity and the cost at which this would be done.

The plan targets another 20 bcm to come from front-loaded wind and solar renewables adoption, to replace gas use in the power sector.


Energy savings in buildings, like turning the thermostat down, could save another 14 bcm, something the International Energy Agency also [recommended](#).

Other measures such as front-loaded biogas adoption, green hydrogen uptake, and heat pump installation could also support the transition out of Russian gas. But the potential of these is very limited in the short term.

[How Europe can cut natural gas imports from Russia significantly within a year](#)

REPowerEU proposes to reduce Russian natural gas imports by two-thirds this year (100bn cubic meter)

Alternative solutions to Russian natural gas pipeline flows, in billion cubic meter (bcm)



Source: ING Research, European Commission

The numbers show no lack of ambition, [although](#) it remains to be seen how much of the Commission’s proposal will be rubber-stamped at the two-day EU summit in Versailles this week.

2 Short term climate pain, versus long-run gain

Assuming the EU wants to almost fully reduce its Russian gas dependence ([by 80%](#)), it would mean an additional 30 bcm from the gas-to-coal switch. The plan doesn’t mention explicitly gas-to-coal switches or [carbon capture and storage \(CCS\) solutions](#) to reduce the carbon footprint of fossil fuels, but Frans Timmermans, the Commission’s vice president for the European Green Deal, [mentioned](#) a few days ago that countries could do this in line with 2030 climate goals.

[Germany](#) is already reactivating coal-fired power plants as it is reluctant to prolong its remaining nuclear reactors. This bodes badly for European greenhouse gases in the short run. The [recent](#)

[drop](#) in the EU carbon price only adds to that.

On the other hand, note that REPowerEU comes on top of climate change policies the EU is currently negotiating with the [Fit for 55 package](#), which is designed to cut emissions faster this decade. REPowerEU is a step up from what the Fit for 55 package intended and confirms that climate ambitions and energy security are not incompatible.

Full implementation of Fit for 55 would already reduce the EU's annual fossil gas consumption by 30%, equivalent to 100 bcm by 2030. REPowerEU would increase that figure up to 155 bcm by 2030.

[How governments are tempting corporates with CCS: Carbon Capture and Storage](#)

[Carbon prices in the EU crash despite rising fossil fuel prices](#)

[Just how fit is the EU's Fit for 55 climate strategy for Europe's economy?](#)

3 The current market needs mandatory filling targets for gas storage facilities

Europe's gas storage facilities play a pivotal role in providing energy security throughout the winter in which gas use is highest and highly dependent on weather conditions. Currently, after a relatively mild winter, gas reserves are filled by around 30%. Reserves stood at just 20% after the cold winter of 2018.


Now that the heating season is almost over, the priority is all about filling up gas storages throughout the summer to prepare for next winter. In April, the Commission wants to present legislation that requires underground gas storage capacity to be filled every year to at least 90% by 1 October. Some countries already have such legislation in place, like France, whilst others, like the Netherlands, don't.

The proposal therefore ends the dilemma for gas operators, who have to choose between filling gas reserves this summer, at a very high cost, or waiting for gas prices to fall, risking the security of supply again next winter.

The forward gas curve currently provides no economic incentive to replenish reserves as prices are expected to be higher in the spring and summer (buying season) and lower during the winter (selling season). Hence, the current market needs mandatory filling targets, although they come at high costs.

The TTF forward gas curve highlights the dilemma of gas operator

In euros/MWh



Source: ING Research, Refinitiv

4 REHeatingEU would have been a better name


To support the communication of the EU's energy resiliency, Timmermans [suggested](#) we “dash into renewable energy at lightning speed”. That is also indicated by the name of the plan, REPowerEU, as renewables are good at producing power from solar and wind energy.

But renewables do a poor job at producing heat to keep our buildings comfortable and to run high-temperature processes in manufacturing. And that's what Europe needs half of its energy for. This heating demand is predominantly generated by gas and coal use. Only a fifth of Europe's energy stems from power generation, and there gas is only one of the many energy sources that can be used.

So, reducing Europe's gas dependency on Russia has everything to do with heating demand, and far less with power demand. While it is common practice to frame the energy transition in terms of solar and wind power, the potential to reduce Russian gas demand is limited. The focus should be firmly on gas supply and demand for heating purposes. That's why REHeatingEU would have been a better name.

Gas is mostly used for heating purposes in buildings and manufacturing processes

Total energy consumption in Europe per energy use in 2019



Source: ING Research based on International Energy Agency

Europe is still far from being self-sufficient in energy production and is still largely dependent on its imports. The Russian-Ukraine crisis has sped up the process to phase out fossil fuels and to become less dependent on Russian gas. But replacing two-thirds of imported Russian gas in fewer than 10 months is not only a technical challenge. It will also result in a substantial cost for all energy consumers. The success of the plan will ultimately depend on two factors: on the one hand, the political will to take investment and regulatory decisions in a very short amount of time, and on the other, the ability of energy consumers to pay their energy bills and moderate their gas consumption.

Author

Gerben Hieminga

Senior Sector Economist, Energy

gerben.hieminga@ing.com

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an

investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.