

## Rates: Put these on and inflation disappears!

With bond market goggles on there is no inflation, it seems. Leave them on and look hard enough and a tint of distant deflation dawns. That's one version of where we are. The other rationalises the slip lower in market rates by an intense overflow of liquidity. We like that explanation most. But we are also tempted to at least give those goggles a go, just in case



A woman in New York wears protective goggles which must make seeing clearly what's in front of her pretty difficult

### **Inflation is a concern if we actually get it. And if we do, market rates should rise in anticipation of eventual rate hikes**

Higher inflation is supposed to coincide with higher market rates. Why? Two main reasons. First, market rates contain inflation, so if inflation rises then so too should market rates. Second, higher inflation will typically cause central banks to raise rates; maybe not immediately, but eventually. Market rates would then typically rise as a consequence of the former and in anticipation of the latter.

But let's break this out. Inflation only matters if it persists. In other words, if inflation rises well

above trend but then falls back to well below trend, then it matters far less, or at least it is less scary. Moreover, if the bond market believes that to be the future with a degree of conviction, then it need not worry about a short-term burst in inflation.

---

*Central banks are intent on staring down inflation only when it is actually there*

---

In addition, central banks during this particular recovery are very unlikely to anticipate inflation through a forecasting model. Rather, they seem intent on staring down inflation when it is actually there. The Federal Reserve has an explicit average inflation targeting policy now, which allows them to take some risks to the upside for inflation, and they have also mandated upon themselves a requirement to secure a recovery for the most vulnerable segments of society (and not just the median household). So an imminent rate hike risk is significantly downsized.

## **So far we've (just) had a rise in prices. Inflation has yet to be proven. Is this why market rates have fallen?**

And as of yet, inflation has not been proven. Yes prices have risen, but that is not inflation - a lot of it is a base effect (not all, but a decent chunk). All the signs point to inflation. The very simple spurt in demand against an inability to supply is enough, and we are seeing that right left and centre.

---

*Some in bond markets fear real wage erosion rather than a wage-price spiral*

---

But that can be rectified - get the supply chains sorted and coax employees away from stimulus cheques and the supply side can come roaring back. Then, what we could have is a one-off rise in prices, with no follow-through. More worryingly, if price rises are followed by a lack of wage inflation, we would see real wage erosion, and more a deflationary feeling than a reflationary one.

## US 10yr real rate (nominal market rate less inflation expectations), %



Source: Macrobond, ING estimates

We relate this, not because it is our view, but to help look into the mindset of certain segments of the bond market that are simply not believers that we have an inflation problem. And in fairness their opinion does matter, as bond holders receiving fixed coupons have the most to lose if inflation is in fact the real deal.

Negative real yields don't paint a pretty picture either.

The bond market has, in fact, built an elevated inflation expectation, but to do so it has had to dig deep into negative real yield territory. That in itself is a worrying sign. A negative real yield is not telling us anything positive about the future.

## Or is it because market rates have been bullied lower by an excess of liquidity and strong international buying of Treasuries?

The counterargument is that bond markets are in fact concerned about inflation, but market rates are being bullied lower by an excess of liquidity. There is some merit in this argument. The near \$500bn of overnight cash being posted back to the Fed on a daily basis from market players with nowhere better to put it is indicative of an excess of liquidity in the system.

---


*Big liquidity is swashing around. This is really pushing market rates down*

---

The fact that front end bills rates and general collateral repo rates are posting negative rates tells us the same story and, in fact, is a partial cause for the posting at the Fed in the first place - zero percent at the Fed's window is better than sub-zero elsewhere. And what we see going into the Fed's reverse repo window is only a part of the excess. A lot of other forms of roaming liquidity will make their way into other asset classes, including the fixed income market, thus placing

downward pressure on market rates.

## Surplus cash going back to the Fed at 0% through its reverse repo facility, USD bn



Source: Federal Reserve, ING estimates

On top of that, we have the Fed buying bonds through its bond-buying programme (generating the liquidity in the first place), and non-public demand for US bonds remains high, too. Not just from domestic players, but also from international players that get a decent pick-up (even when FX adjusted). And remember, players sitting in Tokyo or Frankfurt don't care where US inflation is. The inflation rate that they need to outperform is their own domestic one.

---

*Steady market rates have also tempted in (boring) carry buyers*

---

The other important technical factor is volatility. Long end rates have done effectively nothing since the end of February. In March, the higher rates' narrative was still a very persuasive one, especially given the rapid rise in the rear-view mirror. But as we progressed through April, into May and now June, the environment has been one very conducive to simply holding bonds that may not yield a lot, but are churning out a steady running yield that is well in excess of funding costs (Fed at zero and ECB deeply negative); being long carry.

## Probably more liquidity-driven, with long carry flows. But a nagging risk case scenario persists till its disproved

So which is it? Why are market rates facing down rather than up? Either there is no inflation concern, and central banks in consequence will not have to hike by much (if at all). Or, there is in fact an inflation concern, but it is being dominated by an excess of liquidity and desire to buy into boring carry positions that do just fine for as long as rates are steady.

If pushed, we are in the latter camp. This is a liquidity-driven spurge that is resulting in mis-valuation (market rates too low). But that's no more than an educated judgement. Nothing is for sure. In fact, using bonds as a pure predictor of the future, precisely the reverse is being discounted.

## Author

**Padhraic Garvey, CFA**

Regional Head of Research, Americas

[padhraic.garvey@ing.com](mailto:padhraic.garvey@ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. (“ING”) solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user’s investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit <http://www.ing.com>.