

The global implications of Germany's September elections

Germany's September elections not only mark the end of the Merkel era but could also mark a structural change in Germany's fiscal stance



Top candidates for the upcoming federal elections (L-R) Annalena Baerbock (Green Party), Olaf Scholz (SPD), Christian Lindner (FDP) pose in front of a picture of Armin Laschet (CDU) for photographers prior to a discussion board in Berlin, Germany

Source: Shutterstock

Since the 2017 elections, the German economy has gone through several ups and downs, while the structural economic problems have not changed. The 2017 elections took place against a backdrop of strong growth, low unemployment and the last phase of what many called the country's second *Wirtschaftswunder* the so-called economic miracle.


The German economy had started to fall behind its eurozone peers

Since then industry, in particular, has experienced what it means for a traditional stronghold to face structural changes. On a gradual decline since mid-2018 due to several one-off factors, as well as ongoing trade tensions, this was the first sector to suffer from the outbreak of Covid in Asia. It became an important growth driver during the second lockdown and is currently suffering from

supply chain frictions.

More generally speaking, the German economy had started to fall behind its eurozone peers in 2018 and 2019 but thanks to significant fiscal stimulus since the start of the pandemic will be one of the first eurozone countries to have returned to its pre-crisis level. Were all the warnings about structural weakness exaggerated or have they simply been resolved?


WEF Global Competitiveness Ranking



Source: World Economic Forum

The answer to that question is 'not really'. Looking at some structural indicators, the German economy has, at best, stood still over the last few years, if not lost further ground. Rankings on international competitiveness have seen the German economy dropping further between 2017 and 2019. The quality of traditional infrastructure has weakened. Investment growth had been much weaker than in the rest of the eurozone until the start of the crisis.

The Digital Economy and Society Index, 2020 Ranking



Source: European Commission

Internet access remains slow and expensive compared with many other countries. And the costs of the energy transition are still high. Due to the pandemic, not all of the structural economic indicators were updated in 2020 or 2021 but the overall picture of the available indicators hardly looks any different to how things were in 2017.

Economic policies according to the election manifestos

The pandemic has just been another reminder of Germany's lack of digitalisation. Whether it is the digital infrastructure, the educational system, e-government or digital services, there is clearly plenty of upside potential for the economy in the years ahead. The U-turn of the incumbent government on fiscal policy, already ahead of the pandemic but in full swing during the pandemic, has prepared the ground for a more general acceptance of public spending and investment. Therefore, it does not come as a surprise that all parties have presented many plans on how and where to invest in the coming years. Climate change, demographic change with its impact on pensions and health care, digitalisation, energy transition and the structural change from manufacturing to services, just to mention a few hot topics, are all in the proposals. The financing of all these ideas, however, is not always very clear.

The pandemic has just been another reminder of Germany's lack of digitalisation

What differentiates the four parties, with the highest likelihood to join the next government, is taxes. While the CDU/CSU and FDP advocate no tax increases but propose different forms of tax relief, the Greens and the SPD have proposed tax increases for the highest income bracket as well as the introduction of a wealth tax.

The discussion on the constitutional debt brake has somehow died down. CDU/CSU and FDP advocate a relatively swift return to fiscal policies in line with the debt brake, while the SPD remains silent on this issue and the Greens propose a reform. In our view, this discussion is mainly shadow boxing as it requires a two-thirds majority in parliament to change the constitutional debt brake. It looks very unlikely that any such majority could emerge after the elections. However, the CDU/CSU, Greens and also the SPD seem open to the idea of at least temporary workarounds, allowing for more investment and, in turn, higher debt in the coming years. This workaround could be a shadow household for investment in digitalisation, infrastructure or the fight against climate change.

All in all, there seems to be a broad consensus on the need for more investment and fiscal stimulus, obviously with widely differing views on the size and how to finance it. Regarding the eurozone level, however, views and proposals diverge much more. The Greens have the most 'federal' approach, while the CDU and FDP manifestos clearly put a brake on dreams of a fiscal union. Both parties would like to return to strict implementation of the fiscal rules. The CDU/CSU is keeping the door open to some changes in the fiscal rules but only if these changes lead to stricter rules.

The FDP is again advocating a mechanism for orderly sovereign default in the eurozone. While the SPD is keeping further reforms of the monetary union's institutional framework in the air, the Greens are more precise with their ideas of eurozone fiscal capacity and changes to the fiscal rules. This demarcation line is also visible on other European fiscal issues with the Greens and SPD advocating own resources for the EU from a digital or carbon border tax, while the FDP rules out such own resources and the CDU remains rather vague.

The manifesto options

Main (European) economic topics in election manifestos of the four parties realistically forming the next government, in whatever combination

	CDU	SPD	Greens	FDP
Corporate tax	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce corporate tax to 25% 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimum tax rate of 25% in medium term 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce corporate tax to 25%
Income tax/ introduction wealth tax	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No tax increases Tax cuts only if public finances allow it 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tax increases for highest income bracket Introduction of wealth tax 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tax increases for highest income bracket Introduction of a wealth tax 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reform of income taxes, lower tax burden, increase of top income bracket
Debt brake	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Return to debt brake but no clear timetable 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No mentioning of debt brake at all 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reform of debt brake to allow for investments 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Return to debt brake asap
Fiscal rules/EMU	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open to revision of Stability Pact Rules but only if it doesn't mean watering down. Stricter implementation of the rules Next Generation EU has to remain one-off 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further develop the Stability and Growth Pact into a sustainability pact. Developing the EU into a genuine fiscal, economic and social union 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Develop the euro into a credible, international reserve currency so that Europe preserves and expands its sovereignty. Morph ESM into European Monetary Fund Reform of the SGP to prevent too much austerity, create space for investments, European Monetary Fund Eurozone fiscal capacity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quick return to strict implementation of current fiscal rules ESM is to be transformed into a European Monetary Fund Reforms of the Stability and Growth Pact to get tougher sanctions Return to SGP rules, mechanism for orderly sovereign default
EU own resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not clear 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ETS, Digital tax, carbon border tax 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Digital tax, carbon border tax 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No

The experience question


The September elections will be the first federal elections ever in which the incumbent chancellor will not run for another term in office. As a consequence, the so-called Amtsbonus, the advantage of having the incumbent chancellor on the ticket, will not apply. Except for the SPD candidate and current finance minister Olaf Scholz, none of the running candidates has any experience at the national executive level.

CDU candidate Armin Laschet is currently minister-president of North-Rhine Westphalia, while Green candidate Annalena Baerbock does not have any experience in the executive. She is currently a member of the German parliament and party co-leader of the Greens. This lack of experience and a lapse in judgement by some of the candidates - be it slightly [blown-up resumes](#), [plagiarism](#), [inappropriate laughing in public](#) or other communication missteps - have put the focus on personality over policy. Instead of winning the electorate's hearts, it currently looks as if the candidate who makes the least mistakes in the next two months will win the race.

However, don't forget that at the elections, German voters cannot directly vote for the next chancellor; they have to give their vote to a party. Here, local personalities and topics matter as well. Fun fact: the Chancellor doesn't have to be a person from the election list. In theory, anyone could be put forward to be elected as the next chancellor by the next parliament. As long as he or she gets a majority of the votes there.

How the politicians stack up

Evaluation of politicians according to sympathy and achievement (Mean values on a scale from -5 to +5)




Source: Forschungsgruppe Wahlen: Politbarometer, July 2020 - July 2021

A more fickle electorate

Developments over recent months have shown that German politics, or at least voter support, has become more fluid. Larger swings in the polls have become normal. Look at the latest rise and fall of the Greens. Therefore, with some two months to go, no one should jump to early conclusions about the final outcome. Personal missteps by the leading candidates as well as unexpected events such as the recent floods in parts of Germany could easily be game-changers in the coming weeks.

If there were general elections next Sunday who would you vote for?



Source: Wahlrecht/Forsa

Generally speaking, unless the Greens stage another comeback due to the latest floods, with climate change taking centre stage again, the CDU/CDU will probably come in as the largest party. Anything north of 30% would be a success, anything below a disappointment. The Greens currently look like the clear number two, still hoping to close the margin with the CDU/CSU. FDP, AfD and SPD will fight to become the third-largest party, with all three ranging between 10% and 15% currently. The Left Party has lost some ground and stands around 7%. Next to these six parties, no new party is expected to make the 5% threshold. But we should watch out for the

European party Volt, which made some surprising gains in other European countries.

Change of times? Not really

Judging from the latest developments, we've expanded our base case scenario and see a CDU/Green and a CDU/FDP coalition as the most likely outcome (or possibly even another attempt to get the three parties into a coalition, as in 2017). In our view, any of these outcomes bodes well for more fiscal stimulus in Germany but don't expect any major changes regarding fiscal policy at the eurozone level.

Given the CDU's very explicit views, a coalition with the Greens is unlikely to advance ideas for making the European Recovery Fund more permanent and for closer fiscal integration. While in other countries, political divisions may centre around europhiles and eurosceptics, in Germany, it is only the level of eurozone integration that separates parties.

It currently looks as if the big German push for more eurozone fiscal stimulus will come with a "Germany first" investment agenda and some trickling through effects for the rest of the eurozone, rather than a "euro first" push. While this is bad news for euro federalists, it is good news for analysts and commentators, as the never-ending discussion on what should and will (or is politically acceptable to) happen is set to continue.

Author

Carsten Brzeski

Global Head of Macro

carsten.brzeski@ing.de

Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies).* The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit www.ing.com.