

## Brexit: Optimism returns, but is it justified?

There are a growing number of reasons to be cautiously optimistic about UK-EU talks. Negotiations seem to be heading slowly in the right direction, while political pressure is building in the UK. That suggests a deal can still be achieved, but with the de-facto deadline still a few weeks off, there's also plenty of scope for things to go wrong



### Things are starting to look a little brighter

It's now three weeks since the UK unveiled its controversial Internal Markets Bill (IMB), legislation that would grant powers to override parts of the withdrawal agreement agreed just last year between the UK and EU. The fireworks created by this move led many, ourselves included, to conclude that the chances of a deal were slipping. [We wrote at the time](#) that we thought the odds of a deal were at best 50:50.

But as another round of trade negotiations draw to a close, there is a renewed sense of optimism that a deal might still be on the cards after all.

The first piece of good news is that despite the bill having gone through the House of Commons this week, Brussels has not shut down trade talks - wary perhaps of avoiding the blame if both sides were to fall back on WTO trade terms next year. Instead, the EU has signalled it is pursuing legal action against London, but this will take time and doesn't preclude a deal being done in the meantime.

And in light of the critical global reaction to the bill - including from Joe Biden - the UK government appears to be taking a more conciliatory approach. It has, for instance, offered Parliament a veto over the controversial powers in the IMB. While this in itself will do little to dampen concerns in Brussels, it does signal flexibility from ministers.

Importantly, [the bill will also reportedly](#) not go to the House of Lords until much later in the year, and that too has helped take some of the immediate heat out of the situation.

## The Internal Markets Bill isn't necessarily a barrier to a deal

Now, clearly the EU won't ratify a trade deal with the UK while the threat of overriding the withdrawal agreement remains. And equally the UK won't want to be seen to be climbing down over the bill as talks enter their final stages.

There's no clean way out of this impasse. But there's a growing expectation that Brussels will require the bill to be adapted as the price of a deal and at that point, with some careful political manoeuvring, the UK could find an off-ramp. The UK government could for instance publicly claim that the tactic was successful in securing an agreement, and that the 'safety net' provided by the bill would no longer be required.

In short, the IMB is not necessarily a barrier to a deal being done, and encouragingly there have been signs that negotiations have taken a more positive turn.

The UK this week [reportedly](#) put forward a range of papers on different issues, including on state aid and fishing. So far there has been no breakthrough, but the fact that Britain is putting forward new proposals is undoubtedly a step forward compared to the previous past few rounds.


---

*The fact that Britain is putting forward new proposals is undoubtedly a step forward*

---

All of this comes as pressure grows on the UK government to avoid 'no deal'. The return of Covid-19 risks a volatile winter for the economy, and a chaotic start to 2021 would only add further challenges. The Conservatives have also now slipped below Labour in the polls for the first time since 2019, according to an [Opinium](#) poll over the weekend. We know too that support for Scottish independence is rising, and it's widely believed that a 'no deal' scenario could add further impetus to the campaign.

## The Conservatives are now neck-and-neck in the polls with Labour



Source: Various polling agencies via Wikipedia

Individual dots represent polling from YouGov, SavantaComres, Deltapoll, Opinium, Redfield & Wilton Strategies, Kantar, Ipsos MORI, Survation, Number Cruncher Politics, BMG

## A deal would still require big compromises from the UK

Putting all of that together, there does appear to be a case for some cautious optimism. But it's not all positive - and there are still a few key ways that it could all go wrong.

Firstly, none of what we discussed above changes the fact that the UK is going to have to make some serious compromises if it wants a deal - chiefly on state aid. The EU will, at the very least, want Britain to create an independent regulator to police the government's state support policies. So far, the UK has been very reticent to do this, instead signalling that it wants greater scope to support industry in the post-Covid era.

---

*The UK is going to have to make some serious compromises if it wants a deal*

---

But even if PM Johnson is willing to accept these choices, there will undoubtedly be dissent among some Conservative MPs in Parliament. And while the government does have a strong majority in the House of Commons, recent experience with coronavirus legislation has shown that ministers increasingly need to strike compromises with MPs to secure ongoing support.

That potentially opens the door to an alternative scenario, where no agreement is reached this year, and instead UK negotiators return to the table in 2021 to chart a course towards an even more distant agreement (e.g. one that waives some but not all tariffs), involving fewer so-called 'level-playing field' commitments. Whether or not this is realistic is, of course, the subject of plenty of debate. At the very least it would take a while, and in the meantime, there would still be all of the initial disruption expected in a 'no trade deal' scenario.

Returning to the original question, have the chances of a deal gone up? Well the answer seems to be yes, but even so, the risk of there being no deal is still pretty high - and indeed much higher than we always felt the risk of 'no deal' was back in 2019 surrounding the original Brexit deadlines.

[For more on what all of this means for the economy, read our recent piece](#)

## Author

### James Smith

Developed Markets Economist, UK

[james.smith@ing.com](mailto:james.smith@ing.com)

## Disclaimer

This publication has been prepared by the Economic and Financial Analysis Division of ING Bank N.V. ("ING") solely for information purposes without regard to any particular user's investment objectives, financial situation, or means. *ING forms part of ING Group (being for this purpose ING Group N.V. and its subsidiary and affiliated companies)*. The information in the publication is not an investment recommendation and it is not investment, legal or tax advice or an offer or solicitation to purchase or sell any financial instrument. Reasonable care has been taken to ensure that this publication is not untrue or misleading when published, but ING does not represent that it is accurate or complete. ING does not accept any liability for any direct, indirect or consequential loss arising from any use of this publication. Unless otherwise stated, any views, forecasts, or estimates are solely those of the author(s), as of the date of the publication and are subject to change without notice.

The distribution of this publication may be restricted by law or regulation in different jurisdictions and persons into whose possession this publication comes should inform themselves about, and observe, such restrictions.

Copyright and database rights protection exists in this report and it may not be reproduced, distributed or published by any person for any purpose without the prior express consent of ING. All rights are reserved. ING Bank N.V. is authorised by the Dutch Central Bank and supervised by the European Central Bank (ECB), the Dutch Central Bank (DNB) and the Dutch Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM). ING Bank N.V. is incorporated in the Netherlands (Trade Register no. 33031431 Amsterdam). In the United Kingdom this information is approved and/or communicated by ING Bank N.V., London Branch. ING Bank N.V., London Branch is authorised by the Prudential Regulation Authority and is subject to regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and limited regulation by the Prudential Regulation Authority. ING Bank N.V., London branch is registered in England (Registration number BR000341) at 8-10 Moorgate, London EC2 6DA. For US Investors: Any person wishing to discuss this report or effect transactions in any security discussed herein should contact ING Financial Markets LLC, which is a member of the NYSE, FINRA and SIPC and part of ING, and which has accepted responsibility for the distribution of this report in the United States under applicable requirements.

Additional information is available on request. For more information about ING Group, please visit [www.ing.com](http://www.ing.com).